



TX Mental Health National Outcome Measures (NOMS): CMHS Uniform Reporting System:



URS Year 6 Reporting

Utilization Rates/Number of Consumers Served	US FY 2007	TX	U.S. Rate	States
Penetration Rate per 1,000 population	6,121,641	10.23	20.14	58
Community Utilization per 1,000 population	5,553,938	10.14	19.01	55
State Hospital Utilization per 1,000 population	175,583	0.65	0.60	52
Other Psychiatric Inpatient Utilization per 1,000	358,295	0.46	1.43	40

Adult Employment Status	US FY 2007	TX	U.S. Rate	States
Employment Status (percent in Labor Force)	664,394	54%	39%	55
Employment Status (percent with Employment Data)	664,394	17%	21%	55

Adult Consumer Survey measures	US FY 2007	TX	U.S. Rate	States
Positive About Outcomes	-	56%	71%	55

Child/Family Consumer Survey measures	US FY 2007	TX	U.S. Rate	States
Positive About Outcomes	-	57%	65%	51

Readmission Rates: (Civil "non-Forensic" clients)	US FY 2007	TX	U.S. Rate	States
State Hospital Readmissions: 30 Days	15,008	9.2%	9.4%	49
State Hospital Readmissions: 180 Days	31,741	21.2%	19.9%	48
State Hospital Readmissions: 30 Days: Adults	12,945	9.6%	9.8%	48
State Hospital Readmissions: 180 Days: Adults	26,953	22.0%	20.3%	47
State Hospital Readmissions: 30 Days: Children	981	5.3%	6.7%	38
State Hospital Readmissions: 180 Days: Children	2,249	14.0%	15.3%	42

Living Situation	US FY 2007	TX	U.S. Rate	States
Living Situation: Private Residence	3,617,109	91.2%	80.1%	54
Living Situation: Homeless/Shelter	133,838	2.7%	3.0%	51
Living Situation: Jails/Prisons	98,813	1.7%	2.2%	51

Implementation of Evidence-Based Practices	US FY 2007	TX	U.S. Rate	States
Supported Housing	82,230	2.8%	5%	32
Supported Employment	45,279	1.6%	2%	37
Assertive Community Treatment	57,054	2.1%	3%	37
Family PsychoEducation	14,875		2%	14
Dual Diagnosis Treatment	38,673	5.70%	4%	22
Illness Self Management	118,413	54.44%	15%	18
Medications Management	194,637	59.34%	29%	14

Child/Adolescent EBP Services	US FY 2007	TX	U.S. Rate	States
Therapeutic Foster Care	12,462		3%	27
Multi Systemic Therapy	4,680	0.4%	1%	16
Functional Family Therapy	6,204		4%	10

Change in Social Connectedness	US FY 2007	TX	U.S. Rate	States
Adult Improved Social Connectedness		63%	72%	45
Child/Family Improved Social Connectedness		77%	84%	36



STATE MENTAL HEALTH MEASURES: CMHS Uniform Reporting System Measures



STATE: Texas

URS Year 6 Reporting

Basic Measures	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Indicator 1: Penetration Rate per 1,000 population	240,443	10.23	6,121,641	20.14	58
Indicator 2: Community Utilization per 1,000 population	238,252	10.14	5,553,938	19.01	55
Indicator 3: State Hospital Utilization per 1,000 population	15,242	0.65	175,583	0.60	52
Indicator 4: Medicaid Funding Status	100,915	42%	2,860,234	57%	46
Indicator 5: Employment Status (percent employed)	24,363	17%	664,394	21%	55
Indicator 6: State Hospital Adult Admissions	15,505	1.10	155,892	1.00	50
Indicator 7: Community Adult Admissions	112,773	0.59	2,288,918	0.63	48
Indicator 8: State Hospital LOS Discharged Adult Patients	-	14 days	-	49 days	49
Indicator 9: State Hospital LOS Resident Adult Patients	-	37 days	-	83 days	49
Indicator 10: Percent of Client who meet SMI definition	-	89%	-	69%	57
Indicator 11: Adults with Co-occurring MH/SA Disorders	-	24%	-	24%	43
Indicator 12: Children with Co-occurring MH/SA Disorders	-	6%	-	6%	43
Basic Measure: Adult Consumer Survey measures	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Indicator 13: Positive About Access	-	74%	-	85%	54
Indicator 14: Positive About Quality and Appropriateness	-	81%	-	86%	54
Indicator 15: Positive About Outcomes	-	56%	-	71%	55
Indicator 16: Positive on Participation in Treatment Planning	-	66%	-	82%	54
Indicator 17: Positive General Satisfaction with Services	-	86%	-	87%	55
Basic Measure: Child/Family Consumer Survey measures	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Indicator 18: Positive About Access	-	80%	-	85%	50
Indicator 19: Positive about General Satisfaction	-	80%	-	84%	51
Indicator 20: Positive About Outcomes	-	57%	-	65%	51
Indicator 21: Positive on Participation in Treatment Planning	-	86%	-	87%	51
Indicator 22: Positive Cultural Sensitivity of Providers	-	91%	-	93%	50
Basic Measure: Consumer Living Situations	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Indicator 23: Private Residence	158,445	91%	3,617,109	80%	54
Indicator 24: Jail/Correctional Facility	3,018	2%	98,813	2%	51
Indicator 25: Homeless or Shelter	4,654	3%	133,844	3%	51
Basic Measure: Hospital Readmissions	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Indicator 26: State Hospital Readmissions: 30 Days	1,485	9%	15,008	9%	49
Indicator 27: State Hospital Readmissions: 180 Days	3,429	21%	31,741	20%	48
Indicator 28: Readmission to any psychiatric hospital: 30 Days	1,576	10%	37,362	14%	28
Basic Measure: State Mental Health Finance	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Indicator 29: SMHA Expenditures for Community MH	\$503,600,000	61%	\$20,673,112,328	70%	51
Indicator 30: SMHA Revenues from State Sources	\$524,200,000	64%	\$13,400,813,293	46%	51
Indicator 31: Total SMHA Expenditures	\$832,200,000		\$29,397,421,631		51
Developmental Measures	State Number	Rate	US FY 2007	Rate	States
Dev 1: Percent Adults with SMI and Children with SED	213,392	89%	4,030,266	66%	57
Developmental Measure: Adult Evidence Based Practices	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Dev 2: Assertive Community Treatment	3,597	2.1%	57,054	2.7%	49
Dev 3: Supported Housing	4,831	2.8%	82,230	5.4%	32
Dev 4: Supported Employment	2,767	1.6%	45,279	2.5%	37
Dev 5: Family Psychoeducation			15,031	1.8%	14
Dev 6: Integrated Dual Diagnosis Treatment (MH/SA)	9,759	5.7%	38,673	4.2%	22
Dev 7: Illness Self-Management and Recovery	93,211	54.4%	119,006	15.4%	18
Dev 8: Medications Management	101,591	59.3%	196,816	29.2%	14
Developmental Measure: Child Evidence Based Practices	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Dev 9: Therapeutic Foster Care			12,462	2.6%	27
Dev 10: Multisystemic Therapy	169	0.4%	4,680	1.4%	16
Dev 11: Functional Family Therapy	-	-	6,204	3.8%	10
Developmental Measure: Use of New Generation Medications	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Dev 12: New Generation Meds: State Hospitals	4,960	89.6%	32,589	72.9%	32
Dev 13: New Generation Meds: Community MH	22,676	54.8%	139,641	51.5%	26
Dev 14: New Generation Meds: Total System	25,986	61.5%	111,421	50.3%	19
Developmental Measure: Outcome	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Dev 15: Adult Criminal Justice Contacts	18	5.6%	29,045	7.0%	39
Dev 16: Juvenile Justice Contacts	25	9.4%	4,262	6.1%	36
Dev 17: School Attendance (Improved)	87	42.6%	6,919	34.2%	31

Mental Health Community Services Block Grant: CMHS Uniform Reporting System: 2007 State Report



Texas

State URS Contact Person

Tanya Guthrie, Ph.D.

FY 2005 Mental Health Block Grant Expenditures

\$31,100,000

FY 2005 SMHA Community MH Expenditures

\$503,600,000

909 W. 45th St., Mail Code 2018, Austin, Texas 78751

Phone: 512-206-5730

Email: tanya.guthrie@dshs.state.tx.us

FY 2005 Per Capita Community MH Expenditures

\$22.51

FY 2005 Community Percent of Total SMHA Spending

61%

FY 2005 Total SMHA Mental Health Expenditures

\$832,200,000

FY 2005 Per Capita Total SMHA Mental Health Expenditures

\$37.21

Statewide Mental Health Agency Data*

240,443 Total Clients Served by SMHA System

10.23

Total Utilization rate per 1,000 population

238,252 Clients Served in Community Settings

10.14

Community Utilization rate per 1,000 population

15,242 Clients Served in State Hospitals

0.65

Hospital Utilization rate per 1,000 population

Gender

52% Female

48% Male

Age

9.1% Age 0 to 12

10.3% Age 13-17

4.4% Age 18 to 20

73.4% Age 21 to 64

2.1% Age 65 to 74

0.7% Age 75 and over

- Age Not Available

Race/Ethnicity:

0.2% American Indian/Alaskan Native

0.8% Asian

21.7% Black or African American

- Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander

74.6% White

2.4% Multi-Racial

26.3% Hispanic or Latino

0.2% Race not available

Living Situation (with known status)

91.2% Private Residence

0.2% Foster Home

3.7% Residential Care

0.0% Crisis Residence

0.0% Children's Residential Treatment

0.2% Institutional Setting

1.7% Jail/Correctional Facility

2.7% Homeless or Shelter

0.3% Other Living Situation

- Living Situation Not Available

Employment Adult With Known Status:

17% Percent Employed

68% Percent Not In Labor Force

15% Percent Unemployed

Medicaid Funding Status of Consumers

- Medicaid Only

58% SMHA Funds Only (Non-Medicaid)

42.0% Both Medicaid and Other Funds

Consumer Perception of Care: (Children/Adolescents)

80% Access to Services

80% Quality/Appropriateness of Services

57% Outcomes from Services

86% Participation in Treatment Planning

91% Cultural Sensitivity of Providers

Consumer Perception of Care: (Adults):

74% Access to Services

81% Quality/Appropriateness of Services

56% Outcomes from Services

66% Participation in Treatment Planning

86% Overall Satisfaction with Care

Outcome Measures Developmental

5.6% Adults Arrested this Year

Implementation of Evidence-Based Practices

2.1% Assertive Community Treatment

2.8% Supported Housing

1.6% Supported Employment

- Family Psychoeducation

5.7% Integrated Dual Diagnosis Treatment (MH/SA)

54.4% Illness Self-Management and Recovery

59.3% Medications Management

- Therapeutic Foster Care

0.42% MultiSystemic Therapy

- Family Functional Therapy

9.4% Youth Arrested this year

42.6% Improved School Attendance

State Hospital Readmissions (Civil Status Patients)

9% State Hospital Readmissions: 30 Days

21% State Hospital Readmissions: 180 Days

10% Readmission to any psychiatric hospital: 30 Days

* Based on 2007 URS data provided by US States and Territories per annual reporting guidelines.



The Community Mental Health Block Grant is administered by the Center for Mental Health Services within the Substance Abuse and Mental Health
Services Administration of the US Department of Health and Human Services



STATE MENTAL HEALTH INDICATORS BY REGION: CMHS Uniform Reporting System: URS Year 6

Basic Measures	North	South	Midwest	West	SouthWest	Rate	States Responding
Indicator 1: Penetration Rate (per 1000 population)	26.40	20.14	19.52	19.15	16.15	20.14	58
Indicator 2: Community Utilization (per 1000 population)	25.41	19.42	16.77	18.06	15.56	19.01	55
Indicator 3: State Hospital Utilization (per 1000 population)	0.46	0.90	0.56	0.31	0.68	0.60	52
Indicator 4: Medicaid Funding Status of Clients Served	58.2%	54.8%	61.1%	63.3%	50.0%	57.3%	46
Indicator 5: Employment Status (% employed)	23%	19%	24%	12%	25%	83%	55
Indicator 6: State Hospital Admission Rate	16.79	11.34	13.46	21.49	9.16	1.01	50
Indicator 7: Community Admission Rate	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.65	48
Indicator 8: State Hospital Median LOS Discharged Adults	49	21	28	76	20	49.0	49
Indicator 9: State Hospital Median LOS Resident Adults	65	39	49.5	98	49	964	49
Indicator 10: Percent of Client who meet SMI definition	60%	73%	76%	86%	62%	69.1%	57
Indicator 11: Adults with Co-occurring MH/SA Disorders	26%	17%	21%	23%	24%	23.8%	43
Indicator 12: Children with Co-occurring MH/SA Disorders	5%	4%	8%	15%	4%	6.3%	43

Adult Consumer Survey Measures	North	South	Midwest	West	SouthWest	Rate	States Responding
Indicator 13: Positive About Access	85%	86%	80%	85%	82%	85%	54
Indicator 14: Positive About Quality and Appropriateness	88%	88%	84%	86%	83%	86%	54
Indicator 15: Positive About Outcomes	78%	71%	68%	70%	69%	71%	55
Indicator 16: Positive on Participation in Treatment Planning	83%	80%	78%	86%	76%	82%	54
Indicator 17: Positive General Satisfaction with Services	87%	88%	82%	88%	86%	87%	55

Child/Family Consumer Survey Measures	North	South	Midwest	West	SouthWest	Rate	States Responding
Indicator 18: Positive About Access	82%	85%	84%	85%	81%	85%	50
Indicator 19: Positive About General Satisfaction	78%	85%	81%	85%	81%	84%	51
Indicator 20: Positive About Outcomes	65%	67%	83%	64%	71%	65%	51
Indicator 21: Positive on Participation in Treatment Planning	82%	87%	88%	88%	87%	87%	51
Indicator 22: Positive Cultural Sensitivity of Providers	79%	92%	92%	95%	91%	93%	50

Consumer Living Situations	North	South	Midwest	West	SouthWest	Rate	States Responding
Indicator 23: Living Situation: Private Residence	75%	82%	83%	79%	85%	80.1%	54
Indicator 24: Living Situation: Jails/Prisons	3.4%	1.4%	0.9%	3.9%	1.6%	2.2%	51
Indicator 25: Homeless Status of Clients	3.5%	2.1%	2.5%	4.6%	2.8%	3.0%	51

Hospital Readmissions	North	South	Midwest	West	SouthWest	Rate	States Responding
Indicator 26: State Hospital readmissions: 30 Days	8.1%	9.5%	12.6%	6.0%	7.5%	9.4%	49
Indicator 27: State Hospital readmissions: 180 Days	18.4%	22.6%	18.3%	13.4%	17.9%	19.9%	48
Indicator 28: Readmission to any psychiatric hospital: 30 Days	18.7%	6.5%	11.4%	8.8%	10.3%	14.2%	28

Mental Health Finance	North	South	Midwest	West	SouthWest	Rate	States Responding
Indicator 29: Percent of SMHA Expenditures for Community MH	71%	57%	71%	79%	71%	70%	51
Indicator 30: Percent of SMHA Revenues from State Sources	52%	49%	45%	36%	45%	46%	51

Regional groupings of States based on SAMHSA's Block Grant Regions.

ACCESS DOMAIN: TABLE 1: Demographic Characteristics of Persons Served by the State Mental Health Authority, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Age	Total Served: State N	Total Served: State %	Total Served: U.S. N	Total Served: U.S. N	Penetration Rates (rate per 1,000 population): State	Penetration Rate (rate per 1,000 population): SouthWest	Penetration Rates (rate per 1,000 population): U.S.	States Reporting
0 to 12	21,837	9.1%	883,202	14.4%	4.6	12.3	16.9	58
13 to 17	24,780	10.3%	807,963	13.2%	14.0	27.6	37.7	58
18 to 20	10,670	4.4%	273,626	4.5%	10.5	17.8	21.8	58
21 to 64	176,569	73.4%	3,866,031	63.2%	12.9	18.1	22.0	58
65 to 74	4,999	2.1%	169,368	2.8%	4.0	5.6	9.0	58
75 and over	1,588	0.7%	111,912	1.8%	1.5	3.1	6.1	57
Not Available	-	-	9,539	0.2%				31
TOTAL	240,443	100%	6,121,641	100%	10.2	16.2	20.1	58

Gender	Total Served: State N	Total Served: State %	Total Served: U.S. N	Total Served: U.S. N	Penetration Rates (rate per 1,000 population): State	Penetration Rate (rate per 1,000 population): SouthWest	Penetration Rates (rate per 1,000 population): U.S.	States Reporting
Female	124,179	51.6%	3,134,214	51.2%	10.5	17.0	20.3	58
Male	115,789	48.2%	2,947,828	48.2%	9.9	15.3	19.7	58
Not Available	475	0.2%	39,599	0.6%	-			38
TOTAL	240,443	100%	6,121,641	100%	10.2	16.2	20.1	58

Race/Ethnicity	Total Served: State N	Total Served: State %	Total Served: U.S. N	Total Served: U.S. N	Penetration Rates (rate per 1,000 population): State	Penetration Rate (rate per 1,000 population): SouthWest	Penetration Rates (rate per 1,000 population): U.S.	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	553	0.2%	72,878	1.2%	3.4	19.1	25.1	53
Asian	1,922	0.8%	80,296	1.3%	2.4	3.8	6.1	56
Black/African American	52,209	21.7%	1,228,744	20.1%	18.6	22.8	32.0	56
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	-	-	12,662	0.2%		27.5	25.9	50
White	179,391	74.6%	3,794,159	62.0%	9.2	14.3	15.8	57
Hispanic	*	*	215,485	3.5%	*	27.2	13.7	11
Multi-Racial	5,877	2.4%	95,116	1.6%	21.6	19.4	22.4	46
Not Available	491	0.2%	622,301	10.2%				34
TOTAL	240,443	100%	6,121,641	100%	10.2	16.2	20.1	58

Hispanic Origin	Total Served: State N	Total Served: State %	Total Served: U.S. N	Total Served: U.S. N	Penetration Rates (rate per 1,000 population): State	Penetration Rate (rate per 1,000 population): SouthWest	Penetration Rates (rate per 1,000 population): U.S.	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	63,210	26.3%	519,995	9.7%	7.5	11.3	17.0	48
Not Hispanic or Latino	176,758	73.5%	4,116,658	77.1%	11.7	16.4	18.7	51
Hispanic Status Unknown	475	0.2%	702,945	13.2%				53
TOTAL	240,443	100%	5,339,598	100%	10.2	16.2	20.3	53

Are Client Counts Unduplicated?

Unduplicated

Number States w/ Unduplicated Counts

47

Note:

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 2a, Table 2b and from the US Census Bureau.

All denominators use US Census data from 2006.

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

Regional groupings of States based on SAMHSA's Block Grant Regions.

* Reported under Hispanic Origin

State Notes:

2A: Age Age is expressed as the consumer's age at fiscal year end in all reports.

Gender None

Race: Other race has been reported as Unknown. Though Pacific Islander is now being captured as a racial category, it is only captured at registration time. Due to very low counts (less than 50 individuals) it has been grouped into the Asian category.

Overall: See "general footnotes."


2B: Age Age is expressed as the consumer's age at fiscal year end in all reports.

Gender None


Race: Other race has been reported as Unknown. Though Pacific Islander is now being captured as a racial category, it is only captured at registration time. Due to very low counts (less than 50 individuals) it has been grouped into the Asian category.

Overall: See "general footnotes."

Rate of Mental Health Service Utilization By Age (data from Access Domain: Table1):
URS Year 6: Rate per 1000 population



Rate of Mental Health Service Utilization By Race & Ethnicity: (data from Access Domain: Table 1) URS Year 6: Rate per 1000 population



ACCESS DOMAIN: TABLE 2: Persons Served in Community Mental Health Programs by Age and Gender, FY 2007**STATE: Texas**

Age	Served in Community: State N	Served in Community: State %	Served in Community: U.S. N	Served in Community: U.S. %	Penetration Rate per 1,000 population: State	Penetration Rate per 1,000 population: U.S.	States Reporting
0 to 17	46,342	19%	1,510,592	27%	7.1	21.3	54
18 to 20	10,564	4%	249,091	4%	10.4	20.6	55
21 to 64	174,910	73%	3,530,571	64%	12.8	20.9	55
65 and Over	6,436	3%	254,866	5%	2.8	7.1	55
Not Available	-	-	8,818	0%			27
TOTAL	238,252	100%	5,553,938	100%	10.1	19.0	55

Gender	Served in Community: State N	Served in Community: State %	Served in Community: U.S. N	Served in Community: U.S. %	Penetration Rate per 1,000 population: State	Penetration Rate per 1,000 population: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	123,523	52%	2,843,031	51%	10.5	19.2	55
Male	114,254	48%	2,676,064	48%	9.8	18.6	55
Not Available	475	0%	34,843	1%			35
TOTAL	238,252	100%	5,553,938	100%	10.1	19.0	55

Note:

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 3.


US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

State Notes:

Gender: None

Age: Age is expressed as the consumer's age at fiscal year end in all reports.

Overall: See "general footnotes."



2007 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

ACCESS DOMAIN: TABLE 3: Persons Served in State Psychiatric Hospitals by Age and Gender, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Age	Served in State Psychiatric Hospitals: State N	Served in State Psychiatric Hospitals: State %	Served in State Psychiatric Hospitals: U.S. N	Served in State Psychiatric Hospitals: U.S. %	Penetration rate per 1,000 population: State	Penetration rate per 1,000 population: U.S.	States Reporting
0 to 17	1,185	8%	15,785	9%	0.18	0.24	44
18 to 20	824	5%	8,664	5%	0.81	0.72	51
21 to 64	12,810	84%	143,502	82%	0.94	0.85	52
65 and Over	423	3%	7,622	4%	0.18	0.21	51
Not Available	-	-	10	0%			8
TOTAL	15,242	100%	175,583	100%	0.65	0.61	52

Gender	Served in State Psychiatric Hospitals: State N	Served in State Psychiatric Hospitals: State %	Served in State Psychiatric Hospitals: U.S. N	Served in State Psychiatric Hospitals: U.S. %	Penetration rate per 1,000 population: State	Penetration rate per 1,000 population: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	6,307	41%	64,076	36%	0.53	0.43	52
Male	8,915	58%	111,180	63%	0.76	0.77	52
Not Available	20	0%	327	0%			15
TOTAL	15,242	100%	175,583	100%	0.65	0.60	52

Note:

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 3.

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

State Notes:

Gender: None

Age: Age is expressed as the consumer's age at fiscal year end in all reports.

Overall: See "general footnotes."

ACCESS DOMAIN: TABLE 4: Persons Served by SMHA System through Medicaid and Other Funding Sources by Race and Gender, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Race/Ethnicity	Medicaid Only: State N	Non-Medicaid Only: State N	Both Medicaid & Other: State N	Total Served with Known Funding Status: State N	Medicaid Only: State %	Non-Medicaid Only: State %	Both Medicaid & Other: State %	Medicaid Only: U.S. N	Non-Medicaid Only: U.S. N	Both Medicaid & Other: U.S. N	Total Served with Known Funding Status: U.S. N	Medicaid Only: U.S. %	Non-Medicaid Only: U.S. %	Both Medicaid & Other: U.S. %	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	-	339	214	553	61%	39%		31,885	26,636	9,435	67,956	47%	39%	14%	42
Asian	-	1,258	664	1,922	65%	35%		22,386	26,432	19,533	68,351	33%	39%	29%	44
Black/African American	-	24,325	27,884	52,209	47%	53%		452,453	345,373	164,903	962,729	47%	36%	17%	43
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	-	-	-	-	-	-		2,331	5,422	3,169	10,922	21%	50%	29%	36
White	-	109,762	69,629	179,391	61%	39%		1,089,010	1,387,493	583,712	3,060,215	36%	45%	19%	45
Hispanic	-	*	*	*	*	*		64,554	85,429	56,959	206,942	31%	41%	28%	9
Multi-Racial	-	3,700	2,177	5,877	63%	37%		29,874	31,425	25,042	86,341	35%	36%	29%	34
Not Available	-	144	347	491	29%	71%		221,285	220,125	83,703	525,113	42%	42%	16%	43
TOTAL	-	139,528	100,915	240,443	58%	42%		1,913,778	2,128,335	946,456	4,988,569	38%	43%	19%	46

Hispanic Origin	Medicaid Only: State N	Non-Medicaid Only: State N	Both Medicaid & Other: State N	Total Served with Known Funding Status: State N	Medicaid Only: State %	Non-Medicaid Only: State %	Both Medicaid & Other: State %	Medicaid Only: U.S. N	Non-Medicaid Only: U.S. N	Both Medicaid & Other: U.S. N	Total Served with Known Funding Status: U.S. N	Medicaid Only: U.S. %	Non-Medicaid Only: U.S. %	Both Medicaid & Other: U.S. %	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	-	33,587	29,623	63,210	53%	47%		170,049	184,832	101,899	456,780	37%	40%	22%	37
Not Hispanic or Latino	-	105,810	70,948	176,758	60%	40%		1,262,437	1,453,183	513,690	3,229,310	39%	45%	16%	37
Not Available	-	131	344	475	28%	72%		253,260	132,454	29,452	415,166	61%	32%	7%	33
TOTAL	-	139,528	100,915	240,443	58%	42%		1,685,746	1,770,469	645,041	4,101,256	41%	43%	16%	40

Gender	Medicaid Only: State N	Non-Medicaid Only: State N	Both Medicaid & Other: State N	Total Served with Known Funding Status: State N	Medicaid Only: State %	Non-Medicaid Only: State %	Both Medicaid & Other: State %	Medicaid Only: U.S. N	Non-Medicaid Only: U.S. N	Both Medicaid & Other: U.S. N	Total Served with Known Funding Status: U.S. N	Medicaid Only: U.S. %	Non-Medicaid Only: U.S. %	Both Medicaid & Other: U.S. %	States Reporting
Female	-	70,974	53,205	124,179	57%	43%		1,017,126	1,043,465	495,413	2,556,004	40%	41%	19%	45
Male	-	68,423	47,366	115,789	59%	41%		886,734	1,067,399	448,817	2,402,950	37%	44%	19%	45
Not Available	-	131	344	475	28%	72%		9,918	17,471	2,226	29,615	33%	59%	8%	27
TOTAL	-	139,528	100,915	240,443	58%	42%		1,913,778	2,128,335	946,456	4,988,569	38%	43%	19%	46

* Reported Under Hispanic Origin

Note:

This table uses data from DIG Tables 5a, and 5b (Hispanic Origin).

Duplication**Type of Medicaid Data Reported**

Data based on Medicaid Paid Claims -

Data based on Medicaid Eligibility, not Claims Yes



State Notes

5a Age Age is expressed as the consumer's age at fiscal year end in all reports.

5a Gender None

5a Overall About 15-20% of the Texas population is served in a managed care model called North STAR. Many of the measures that excluded North STAR in prior to 2005 now have North STAR included. North STAR understates served count due to the lag in claim reporting. Clients served late in the year may not be represented when the reports are produced in November.

5b Overall see "general notes"



ACCESS DOMAIN: TABLE 5: Demographic Characteristics of Adults with SMI and Children with SED Served by the State Mental Health Authority, FY 2007**STATE: Texas**

	Total Served with SMI/SED:	Total Served with SMI/SED:	Total Served with SMI/SED:	Total Served with SMI/SED:	Penetration rate per 1,000 population:	Penetration rate per 1,000 population:	Penetration rate per 1,000 population:	States Reporting
Age	State N	State %	U.S. N	U.S. %	population: State	SouthWest	U.S.	
0 to 12	18,404	8.6%	559,716	13.9%	3.9	12.3	10.7	57
13 to 17	21,859	10.2%	542,532	13.5%	12.4	28.0	25.3	57
18 to 20	8,773	4.1%	155,516	3.9%	8.6	9.9	12.4	56
21 to 64	158,216	74.1%	2,587,412	64.2%	11.6	11.9	14.7	57
65 to 74	4,709	2.2%	114,847	2.8%	3.8	4.0	6.1	57
75 and over	1,431	0.7%	65,801	1.6%	1.3	1.8	3.6	56
Not Available	-	-	4,442	0.1%				26
TOTAL	213,392	100%	4,030,266	100%	9.1	10.0	13.3	57

	Total Served with SMI/SED:	Total Served with SMI/SED:	Total Served with SMI/SED:	Total Served with SMI/SED:	Penetration rate per 1,000 population:	Penetration rate per 1,000 population:	Penetration rate per 1,000 population:	States Reporting
Gender	State N	State %	U.S. N	U.S. %	population: State	SouthWest	U.S.	
Female	111,277	52.1%	2,056,178	51.0%	9.4	10.5	13.3	57
Male	101,794	47.7%	1,951,882	48.4%	8.7	9.4	13.0	57
Not Available	321	0.2%	22,206	0.6%				39
TOTAL	213,392	100%	4,030,266	100%	9.1	10.0	13.3	57

	Total Served with SMI/SED:	Total Served with SMI/SED:	Total Served with SMI/SED:	Total Served with SMI/SED:	Penetration rate per 1,000 population:	Penetration rate per 1,000 population:	Penetration rate per 1,000 population:	States Reporting
Race/Ethnicity	State N	State %	U.S. N	U.S. %	population: State	SouthWest	U.S.	
American Indian/Alaskan Native	485	0.2%	41,084	1.0%	3.0	9.0	14.1	53
Asian	1,794	0.8%	59,023	1.5%	2.3	2.8	4.5	56
Black/African American	47,321	22.2%	857,305	21.3%	16.9	17.1	22.4	55
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	-	-	9,728	0.2%		17.1	20.0	48
White	158,318	74.2%	2,466,419	61.2%	8.1	8.7	10.3	57
Hispanic	*	*	149,441	3.7%	*	27.2	9.8	10
Multi-Racial	5,137	2.4%	72,521	1.8%	18.9	12.6	17.3	45
Not Available	337	0.2%	374,745	9.3%	-			
TOTAL	213,392	100%	4,030,266	100%	9.1	10.0	13.3	57

	Total Served with SMI/SED:	Total Served with SMI/SED:	Total Served with SMI/SED:	Total Served with SMI/SED:	Penetration rate per 1,000 population:	Penetration rate per 1,000 population:	Penetration rate per 1,000 population:	States Reporting
Hispanic Origin	State N	State %	U.S. N	U.S. %	population: State	SouthWest	U.S.	
Hispanic or Latino	56,329	26.4%	326,513	9.9%	6.7	6.7	10.7	48
Not Hispanic or Latino	156,742	73.5%	2,672,294	81.2%	10.4	10.6	12.1	51
Hispanic Status Unknown	321	0.2%	290,932	8.8%				52
TOTAL	213,392	100%	3,289,739	100%	9.1	10.0	12.8	52

Note:

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 14a, Table 14b and from the US Census Bureau.

All denominators use US Census data from 2006.

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

Regional groupings of States based on SAMHSA's Block Grant Regions.

* Reported under Hispanic Origin

State Used Federal SMI Definition? Yes**State Used Federal SED Definition?** Yes**State Notes:**

14a Age Age is expressed as the consumer's age at fiscal year end in all reports.

Gender None

Race Other race has been reported as Unknown. Though Pacific Islander is now being captured as a racial category, it is only captured at registration time. Due to very low counts (less than 50 individuals) it has been grouped into the Asian category.

Overall See "general footnotes."


14b Age Age is expressed as the consumer's age at fiscal year end in all reports.

Gender None


Race Other race has been reported as Unknown. Though Pacific Islander is now being captured as a racial category, it is only captured at registration time. Due to very low counts (less than 50 individuals) it has been grouped into the Asian category.

Overall See "general footnotes."

**Rate of Mental Health Service Utilization By Age: (data from Access Domain: Table 5) URS
2007: Rate per 1000 population**



Rate of Mental Health Service Utilization By Race & Hispanic Origin: (data from Access Domain: Table 5) URS 2007: Rate per 1000 population



APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 1: Number of Admissions During the Year to State Hospital Inpatient and Community-Based Programs, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

State Psychiatric Hospitals	State Admissions During the Year	State Total Served at the Start of Year	State Total Served During Year	U.S. Admissions During Year	U.S. Total Served at Start of Year	U.S. Total Served During Year	Admission Rate: State	Admission Rate: U.S.	States Reporting
Total	16,708	2,095	15,242	172,853	44,901	171,533	1.10	1.01	50
Children	1,203	130	1,185	16,957	2,134	15,776	1.02	1.07	40
Adults	15,505	1,965	14,057	155,892	42,762	155,739	1.10	1.00	50
Age NA	-	-	-	4	5	5	-	0.80	3

Other Inpatient	State Admissions During the Year	State Total Served at the Start of Year	State Total Served During Year	U.S. Admissions During Year	U.S. Total Served at Start of Year	U.S. Total Served During Year	Admission Rate: State	Admission Rate: U.S.	States Reporting
Total	13,861	173	10,912	446,963	36,580	346,751	1.27	1.29	38
Children	2,014	19	1,478	62,790	3,000	53,398	1.36	1.18	30
Adults	11,847	154	9,434	384,149	33,560	293,277	1.26	1.31	38
Age NA	-	-	-	24	20	23	-	1.04	4

Residential Treatment Centers	State Admissions During the Year	State Total Served at the Start of Year	State Total Served During Year	U.S. Admissions During Year	U.S. Total Served at Start of Year	U.S. Total Served During Year	Admission Rate: State	Admission Rate: U.S.	States Reporting
Total	139	77	214	39,855	9,478	21,583	0.65	1.85	30
Children	137	69	204	25,927	5,894	16,631	0.67	1.56	29
Adults	2	8	10	13,928	3,584	4,885	0.20	2.85	19
Age NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Community Programs	State Admissions During the Year	State Total Served at the Start of Year	State Total Served During Year	U.S. Admissions During Year	U.S. Total Served at Start of Year	U.S. Total Served During Year	Admission Rate: State	Admission Rate: U.S.	States Reporting
Total	142,828	95,424	238,252	3,237,586	2,769,298	5,011,812	0.60	0.65	48
Children	30,055	16,287	46,342	945,160	707,519	1,360,397	0.65	0.69	47
Adults	112,773	79,137	191,910	2,288,918	2,059,818	3,642,822	0.59	0.63	48
Age NA	-	-	-	3,508	1,961	5,745	-	0.61	15

Note:

Admission Rate = number of admissions divided by total served during the year.

US Admissions During Year uses data from states reporting data only. States are only included in "US Total Served" if they also reported data on admissions.

US Total Served During Year is calculated using data in URS/DIG Table 3, State Total Served During Year is calculated using data from URS/DIG Tables 3.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Tables 3 and 6.

State Notes:

3 Age Age is expressed as the consumer's age at fiscal year end in all reports.

Gender None

Overall See "general footnotes."

6 Hospital None

Other Inpatient None

Residential None

Community None

Overall Because age is measured at fiscal year end, consumers discharged from residential treatment centers on or near their 18th birthday will appear in the report as adults. Additional notes in "general footnotes."

APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 2: Length of Stay (LOS) in State Psychiatric Hospitals, Other Psychiatric Inpatient and Residential Treatment Centers, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

	Average LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: State	Median LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: State	In Facility <1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: State	In Facility <1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: State	In Facility >1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: State	In Facility >1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: State	Average LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: U.S.	Median LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: U.S.	In Facility <1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	In Facility <1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	In Facility >1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	In Facility >1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	States Reporting
State Hospitals													
All Age Groups	-	-	-	-	-	-	150	46	74	59	1,177	769	6
Children	45	19	61	31	535	557	70	50	61	46	328	300	36
Adults	43	14	72	37	1,681	1,024	157	49	151	83	1,634	964	49
NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	104	0	-	-	60	60	-

	Average LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: State	Median LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: State	In Facility <1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: State	In Facility <1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: State	In Facility >1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: State	In Facility >1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: State	Average LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: U.S.	Median LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: U.S.	In Facility <1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	In Facility <1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	In Facility >1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	In Facility >1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	States Reporting
Other Inpatient													
All Age Groups	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	6	18	11	245	211	5
Children	5	3	3	3	752	752	11	6	22	16	280	281	24
Adults	6	3	8	5	526	526	22	13	29	21	965	880	32
NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-

	Average LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: State	Median LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: State	In Facility <1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: State	In Facility <1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: State	In Facility >1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: State	In Facility >1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: State	Average LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: U.S.	Median LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: U.S.	In Facility <1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	In Facility <1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	In Facility >1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	In Facility >1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	States Reporting
Residential Treatment Centers													
All Age Groups	-	-	-	-	-	-	98	85	41	37	214	160	2
Children	201	199	99	89	369	369	141	127	99	92	375	348	23
Adults	220	207	-	-	-	-	187	144	81	71	502	412	14
NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-


Note:

Resident clients are clients who were receiving services in inpatient settings at the end of the reporting period.
This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 6.

State Notes:

Hospital None
Other Inpatient None
Residential None
Community None

Overall Because age is measured at fiscal year end, consumers discharged from residential treatment centers on or near their 18th birthday will appear in the report as adults. Additional notes in "general footnotes."



2007 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 3: Percent of Adults & Children Served who Meet the Federal Definition of SMI/SED, and Percent of Adults & Children Served who have Co-Occurring MH/AOD Disorders, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Adults and Children who meet the Federal Definitions of SMI/SED	State Average	US Average	US Median	States Reporting
Percent of Adults served through the SMHA who meet the Federal definition for SMI	89%	69%	70%	57
Percent of Children served through the SMHA who meet the Federal definition for SED	86%	69%	74%	56

Co-occurring MH and Substance Abuse Consumers	State Average	US Average	US Median	States Reporting
Percent of Adults served through the SMHA who had a co-occurring MH and AOD disorder	24%	24%	20%	43
Percent of Children through the SMHA who had a co-occurring MH and AOD disorder	6%	6%	4%	43
Percent of Adults served through the SMHA who had a co-occurring MH and AOD disorder who meet the Federal definition for SMI	27%	26%	19%	45
Percent of Children served through the SMHA who had a co-occurring MH and AOD disorder who meet the Federal definition for SED	7%	8%	4%	42

Note:

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 12.

Do All Adults Served by SMHA meet the Federal Definitions of SMI?

Yes

Do All Children Served by SMHA meet the Federal Definitions of SED?

Yes

State Notes:

None

APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 4: Living Situation of Consumers Served by State Mental Health Agency Systems, FY 2007
STATE: Texas

	Living Situation: State	Percent in Living Situation: State	Percent with Known Living Situation: State	Living Situation: U.S.	Percent in Living Situation: U.S.	Percent with Known Living Situation: U.S.	States Reporting
All Persons Served							
Private Residence	158,445	65.9%	91.2%	3,617,109	66.2%	80.1%	54
Foster Home	384	0.2%	0.2%	97,694	1.8%	2.2%	49
Residential Care	6,367	2.6%	3.7%	192,921	3.5%	4.3%	52
Crisis Residence	26	0.0%	0.0%	56,562	1.0%	1.3%	25
Residential Tx Centers	34	0.0%	0.0%	14,359	0.3%	0.3%	38
Institutional Setting	427	0.2%	0.2%	155,433	2.8%	3.4%	50
Jail/Correctional Facility	3,018	1.3%	1.7%	98,813	1.8%	2.2%	51
Homeless or Shelter	4,654	1.9%	2.7%	133,844	2.4%	3.0%	51
Other Living Situation	454	0.2%	0.3%	146,830	2.7%	3.3%	45
Living Situation NA	66,634	27.7%		950,164	17.4%		46
Total	240,443	100.0%	100.0%	5,463,730	100.0%	100.0%	55

	Living Situation: State	Percent in Living Situation: State	Percent with Known Living Situation: State	Living Situation: U.S.	Percent in Living Situation: U.S.	Percent with Known Living Situation: U.S.	States Reporting
Children under age 18							
Private Residence	31,160	66.8%	93.9%	1,001,930	70.1%	84.3%	53
Foster Home	374	0.8%	1.1%	70,743	4.9%	5.9%	49
Residential Care	80	0.2%	0.2%	26,246	1.8%	2.2%	40
Crisis Residence	25	0.1%	0.1%	10,561	0.7%	0.9%	21
Residential Tx Centers	32	0.1%	0.1%	12,617	0.9%	1.1%	37
Institutional Setting	60	0.1%	0.2%	17,035	1.2%	1.4%	43
Jail/Correctional Facility	953	2.0%	2.9%	24,023	1.7%	2.0%	46
Homeless or Shelter	72	0.2%	0.2%	5,310	0.4%	0.4%	46
Other Living Situation	432	0.9%	1.3%	20,632	1.4%	1.7%	42
Living Situation NA	13,429	28.8%		240,930	16.8%		42
Total	46,617	100.0%	100.0%	1,430,027	100.0%	100.0%	54

	Living Situation: State	Percent in Living Situation: State	Percent with Known Living Situation: State	Living Situation: U.S.	Percent in Living Situation: U.S.	Percent with Known Living Situation: U.S.	States Reporting
Adults over age 18							
Private Residence	127,285	65.7%	90.5%	2,612,080	64.9%	78.7%	54
Foster Home	10	0.0%	0.0%	26,842	0.7%	0.8%	43
Residential Care	6,287	3.2%	4.5%	166,495	4.1%	5.0%	52
Crisis Residence	1	0.0%	0.0%	45,999	1.1%	1.4%	22
Residential Tx Centers	2	0.0%	0.0%	1,734	0.0%	0.1%	19
Institutional Setting	367	0.2%	0.3%	138,251	3.4%	4.2%	50
Jail/Correctional Facility	2,065	1.1%	1.5%	74,758	1.9%	2.3%	50
Homeless or Shelter	4,582	2.4%	3.3%	128,128	3.2%	3.9%	51
Other Living Situation	22	0.0%	0.0%	125,890	3.1%	3.8%	44
Living Situation NA	53,205	27.4%		704,407	17.5%		43
Total	193,826	100.0%	100.0%	4,024,584	100.0%	100.0%	55

Note:

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table15.

State Notes

NorthSTAR included starting in 2005. Living situation is part of the community assessment and will not generally be available for those in hospital.

APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 5: Persons who were Homeless by Age, Gender, and Race, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Age	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State N	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State %	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S. N	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S. %	Percent of Total Consumers Served Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State	Percent of Total Consumers Served Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S.	States Reporting
0 to 17	72	2%	5,310	4%	0.2%	0.4%	46
18 to 64	4,554	98%	124,741	93%	3.4%	4.0%	51
65 and Over	28	1%	3,387	3%	0.6%	1.6%	44
Not Available	-	-	406	0%		9.5%	13
TOTAL	4,654	100.0%	133,844	100%	2.7%	3.0%	51

Gender	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State N	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State %	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S. N	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S. %	Percent of Total Consumers Served Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State	Percent of Total Consumers Served Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	1,947	42%	51,963	39%	2.1%	2.2%	51
Male	2,707	58%	81,639	61%	3.4%	3.7%	51
Not Available	-	-	236	0%		1.8%	19
TOTAL	4,654	100.0%	133,838	100%	2.7%	3.0%	51

Race	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State N	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State %	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S. N	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S. %	Percent of Total Consumers Served Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State	Percent of Total Consumers Served Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S.	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	24	1%	2,039	2%	6.1%	3.8%	47
Asian	27	1%	1,018	1%	2.0%	1.9%	39
Black/African American	1,428	31%	42,941	32%	3.6%	4.6%	48
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	-	-	285	0%	-	3.3%	25
White	3,060	66%	71,234	53%	2.4%	2.5%	49
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	2,692	2%	*	1.9%	7
Multi-Racial	115	2%	2,197	2%	2.6%	2.8%	31
Not Available	-	-	11,620	9%		2.8%	40
TOTAL	4,654	100.0%	133,844	100%	2.7%	3.0%	51

Hispanic Origin	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State N	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State %	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S. N	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S. %	Percent of Total Consumers Served Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State	Percent of Total Consumers Served Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S.	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	729	16%	11,929	11%	1.6%	2.6%	42
Not Hispanic or Latino	3,925	84%	86,696	78%	3.0%	2.8%	44
Not Available	-	-	12,776	11%		3.4%	30
TOTAL	4,654	100.0%	111,401	100%	2.7%	2.8%	47

When is Living Situation Measured:	At Admission	At Discharge	Updated Quarterly	Other Time Period
TX	-	-	Yes	-
U.S.	39	21	8	28

Note:

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 15.


US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

* Reported under Hispanic Origin


State Notes:

NorthSTAR included starting in 2005. Living situation is part of the community assessment and will not generally be available for those in hospital.


Percent of Persons Served in MH Programs who were Homeless, by Age (data from Appropriateness Domain: Table 5): Year 6



Percent of Persons Served in MH Programs, who were Homeless, By Gender (data from Appropriateness Domain: Table 5): Year 6



Percent of Persons Served who were Homeless by Race (data from Appropriateness Domain: Table 5): Year 6



APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 6: Living in Private Residence by Age, Gender, and Race, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Age	Private Residence: State N	Private Residence: State %	Private Residence: U.S. N	Private Residence: U.S. %	Percent of Total Consumers in Private Residence: State	Percent of Total Consumers in Private Residence: U.S.	States Reporting
0 to 17	31,160	20%	1,001,930	28%	93.9%	84.3%	53
18 to 64	122,667	77%	2,463,181	68%	90.4%	79.3%	54
65 and Over	4,618	3%	148,899	4%	93.8%	69.9%	53
Not Available	-	-	3,099	0%	-	72.2%	23
TOTAL	158,445	100.0%	3,617,109	100%	91.2%	80.1%	54

Gender	Private Residence: State N	Private Residence: State %	Private Residence: U.S. N	Private Residence: U.S. %	Percent of Total Consumers in Private Residence: State	Percent of Total Consumers in Private Residence: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	87,118	55%	1,936,995	54%	93.6%	83.5%	54
Male	71,323	45%	1,668,692	46%	88.4%	76.6%	54
Not Available	4	0%	11,056	0%	80.0%	83.9%	32
TOTAL	158,445	100.0%	3,616,743	100%	91.2%	80.1%	54

Race	Private Residence: State N	Private Residence: State %	Private Residence: U.S. N	Private Residence: U.S. %	Percent of Total Consumers in Private Residence: State	Percent of Total Consumers in Private Residence: U.S.	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	351	0%	41,640	1%	89.1%	78.2%	51
Asian	1,262	1%	43,202	1%	92.3%	81.4%	53
Black/African American	34,652	22%	699,728	19%	88.5%	75.1%	53
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	-	-	6,903	0%	-	79.9%	44
White	118,117	75%	2,312,798	64%	91.9%	81.7%	53
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	118,741	3%	*	83.1%	7
Multi-Racial	4,059	3%	62,081	2%	91.6%	78.4%	10
Not Available	4	0%	331,872	9%	80.0%	80.0%	43
TOTAL	158,445	100.0%	3,616,965	100%	91.2%	80.1%	52

Hispanic Origin	Private Residence: State N	Private Residence: State %	Private Residence: U.S. N	Private Residence: U.S. %	Percent of Total Consumers in Private Residence: State	Percent of Total Consumers in Private Residence: U.S.	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	41,759	26%	388,540	12%	93.0%	83.9%	45
Not Hispanic or Latino	116,682	74%	2,476,081	79%	90.5%	80.3%	48
Not Available	4	0%	281,740	9%	80.0%	74.8%	38
TOTAL	158,445	100.0%	3,146,361	100%	91.2%	80.2%	50

Note:

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 15.

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

* Reported under Hispanic Origin Category

State Notes:

NorthSTAR included starting in 2005. Living situation is part of the community assessment and will not generally be available for those in hospital.

APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 7: Living in Jails & Other Correctional Settings by Age, Gender and Race, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Age	Jails & other Correctional Settings: State N	Jails & other Correctional Settings: State %	Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S. N	Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S. %	Percent of Consumers in Jails & other Correctional Settings: State	Percent of Consumers in Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S.	States Reporting
0 to 17	953	32%	24,023	24%	2.9%	2.0%	46
18 to 64	2,058	68%	73,952	75%	1.5%	2.4%	50
65 and Over	7	0%	806	1%	0.1%	0.4%	33
Not Available	-	-	32	0%	-	0.7%	8
TOTAL	3,018	100.0%	98,813	100%	1.7%	2.2%	51

Gender	Jails & other Correctional Settings: State N	Jails & other Correctional Settings: State %	Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S. N	Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S. %	Percent of Consumers in Jails & other Correctional Settings: State	Percent of Consumers in Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	617	20%	22,375	23%	0.7%	1.0%	50
Male	2,401	80%	76,293	77%	3.0%	3.5%	51
Not Available	-	-	145	0%	-	1.1%	16
TOTAL	3,018	100.0%	98,813	100%	1.7%	2.2%	51

Race	Jails & other Correctional Settings: State N	Jails & other Correctional Settings: State %	Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S. N	Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S. %	Percent of Consumers in Jails & other Correctional Settings: State	Percent of Consumers in Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S.	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	6	0%	1,103	1%	1.5%	2.1%	36
Asian	15	0%	664	1%	1.1%	1.3%	31
Black/African American	813	27%	31,230	32%	2.1%	3.4%	47
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	-	-	313	0%	-	3.6%	16
White	2,059	68%	44,385	45%	1.6%	1.6%	47
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	5,762	6%	*	4.0%	6
Multi-Racial	125	4%	1,414	1%	2.8%	1.8%	27
Not Available	-	-	13,942	14%	-	3.4%	42
TOTAL	3,018	100.0%	98,813	100%	1.7%	2.2%	50

Hispanic Origin	Jails & other Correctional Settings: State N	Jails & other Correctional Settings: State %	Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S. N	Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S. %	Percent of Consumers in Jails & other Correctional Settings: State	Percent of Consumers in Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S.	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	983	33%	10,322	13%	2.2%	2.2%	38
Not Hispanic or Latino	2,035	67%	60,193	77%	1.6%	2.0%	45
Not Available	-	-	8,032	10%	-	2.1%	30
TOTAL	3,018	100.0%	78,547	100%	1.7%	2.0%	48

Note:

US totals are based on states reporting.


This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 15.

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

* Reported under Hispanic Origin

State Notes:

NorthSTAR included starting in 2005. Living situation is part of the community assessment and will not generally be available for those in hospital.



2007 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 8: Evidence-Based Practices Reported by SMHAs, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Adult EBP Services	EBP Services: TX	SMI Population: TX	EBP Services: U.S.	SMI Population: U.S.	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Services: TX	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Services: U.S.	Measuring Fidelity: TX	Measuring Fidelity: U.S.	States Reporting
Supported Housing	4,831	171,213	82,230	1,530,173	2.8%	5.4%	Yes	3	32
Supported Employment	2,767	171,213	45,279	1,817,599	1.6%	2.5%	Yes	13	37
Assertive Community Treatment	3,597	171,213	57,054	2,083,707	2.1%	2.7%	Yes	21	37
Family PsychoEducation	-		14,875	817,028		1.8%	No	3	14
Dual Diagnosis Treatment	9,759	171,213	38,673	919,841	5.7%	4.2%	Yes	9	22
Illness Self Management	93,211	171,213	118,413	771,596	54.4%	15.3%	Yes	5	18
Medications Management	101,591	171,213	194,637	673,270	59.3%	28.9%	Yes	2	14

Child/Adolescent EBP Services	EBP Services: TX	SED Population: TX	EBP Services: U.S.	SED Population: U.S.	Penetration Rate: % of SED Consumers Receiving EBP Services: TX	Penetration Rate: % of SED Consumers Receiving EBP Services: U.S.	Measuring Fidelity: TX	Measuring Fidelity: U.S.	States Reporting
Therapeutic Foster Care	-		12,462	477,156		2.6%	No	7	27
Multi Systemic Therapy	169	39,988	4,680	346,460	0.4%	1.4%	Yes	7	16
Functional Family Therapy	-		6,204	162,890		3.8%	No	5	10

Note:

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Tables 16 and 17

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

State Notes:

T16 See "general footnotes."

T17 See "general footnotes."

APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 9: Evidence-Based Practices 1: Assertive Community Treatment (ACT), by Age, Gender and Race, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Age	ACT: State N	ACT: State %	ACT: U.S. N	ACT: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: State	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: U.S.	States Reporting
18 to 20	76	2%	1,059	2%	8,667	83,732	0.9%	1.3%	44
21 to 64	3,438	96%	48,283	85%	156,557	1,527,478	2.2%	3.2%	44
65 to 74	78	2%	1,792	3%	4,604	65,695	1.7%	2.7%	44
75 and Over	5	0%	418	1%	1,385	36,552	0.4%	1.1%	44
Not Available	-	-	5,491	10%	-	361,186	-	1.5%	19
TOTAL	3,597	100%	57,054	100%	171,213	2,083,707	2.1%	2.7%	49

Gender	ACT: State N	ACT: State %	ACT: U.S. N	ACT: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: State	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	1,637	46%	23,293	41%	96,723	918,869	1.7%	2.5%	43
Male	1,960	54%	28,123	49%	74,490	713,255	2.6%	3.9%	43
Not Available	-	-	5,638	10%	-	776,811	-	0.7%	28
TOTAL	3,597	100%	57,054	100%	171,213	2,083,707	2.1%	2.7%	49

Race	ACT: State N	ACT: State %	ACT: U.S. N	ACT: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: State	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: U.S.	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	5	0%	523	1%	415	14,641	1.2%	3.6%	41
Asian	53	1%	683	1%	1,552	19,754	3.4%	3.5%	43
Black/African American	1,027	29%	13,871	24%	36,988	355,133	2.8%	3.9%	42
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	-	-	256	0%	-	4,536	-	5.6%	39
White	2,476	69%	32,773	57%	128,354	1,093,087	1.9%	3.0%	44
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	17	0%	*	3,681	*	0.5%	5
Multi-Racial	36	1%	882	2%	3,904	18,180	0.9%	4.9%	32
Not Available	-	-	8,012	14%	-	757,785	-	1.1%	45
TOTAL	3,597	100%	57,054	100%	171,213	2,083,707	2.1%	2.7%	49

Hispanic Origin	ACT: State N	ACT: State %	ACT: U.S. N	ACT: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: State	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: U.S.	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	790	22%	3,214	6%	40,313	150,507	2.0%	2.1%	39
Not Hispanic or Latino	2,807	78%	42,549	75%	130,900	1,263,139	2.1%	3.4%	40
Not Available	-	-	8,938	16%	-	832,834	-	1.1%	39
TOTAL	3,597	100%	57,054	100%	171,213	2,083,707	2.1%	2.7%	49

Fidelity	ACT: State N	ACT: U.S. N	ACT: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: State	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: U.S.	States Reporting
Measures Fidelity	3,597	27,717	49%	171,213	1,211,877	2.1%	2.3%	21
Does Not Measure Fidelity	-	29,337	51%	-	1,095,784	-	2.7%	28

* Reported under Hispanic Origin

1. State Measures Fidelity of EBP?

Yes

States Measuring Fidelity = 21

2. What Fidelity Measure is Used?

State authority fidelity toolkit based on SAMHSA component

3. Who Conducts Fidelity Measure?

Local mental health authority and State authority

4. How often is Fidelity Measured?

Routine basis administered by Quality Management

5. Is the SAMHSA EBP Toolkit used to guide EBP Implementation?

Yes

SAMHSA Toolkit used = 15

6. Have staff been specifically trained to implement the EBP?

Yes

EBP Training = 22

Note:

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 16

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

State Notes:

See "general footnotes."

APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 10: Evidence-Based Practices 2: Supported Employment, by Age, Gender, & Race, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Age	Supported Employment: State N	Supported Employment: State %	Supported Employment: U.S. N	Supported Employment: U.S. %	Adults with SMI - State N	Adults with SMI (States reporting EBP): U.S. N	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
18 to 20	89	3%	1,827	4%	8,667	60,149	1.0%	3.0%	26
21 to 64	2,656	96%	35,889	79%	156,557	1,292,772	1.7%	2.8%	30
65 to 74	22	1%	464	1%	4,604	53,742	0.5%	0.9%	25
75 and Over	-	-	102	0%	1,385	24,628	-	0.4%	17
Not Available	-	-	6,296	14%	-	368,847	-	1.7%	12
TOTAL	2,767	100%	45,279	98%	171,213	1,817,599	1.6%	2.5%	37

Gender	Supported Employment: State N	Supported Employment: State %	Supported Employment: U.S. N	Supported Employment: U.S. %	Adults with SMI - State N	Adults with SMI (States reporting EBP): U.S. N	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	1,362	49%	17,826	39%	96,723	765,959	1.4%	2.3%	29
Male	1,405	51%	20,437	45%	74,490	598,827	1.9%	3.4%	29
Not Available	-	-	6,503	14%	-	777,887	-	0.8%	10
TOTAL	2,767	100%	45,279	99%	171,213	1,817,599	1.6%	2.5%	37

Race	Supported Employment: State N	Supported Employment: State %	Supported Employment: U.S. N	Supported Employment: U.S. %	Adults with SMI - State N	Adults with SMI (States reporting EBP): U.S. N	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	9	0%	379	1%	415	9,777	2.2%	3.9%	24
Asian	25	1%	554	1%	1,552	17,608	1.6%	3.1%	24
Black/African American	666	24%	6,177	14%	36,988	271,947	1.8%	2.3%	28
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	-	-	209	0%	-	4,197	-	5.0%	15
White	2,024	73%	26,489	59%	128,354	921,249	1.6%	2.9%	28
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	17	0%	*	3,324	*	0.5%	2
Multi-Racial	43	2%	797	2%	3,904	12,021	1.1%	6.6%	19
Not Available	-	-	10,741	24%	-	755,213	-	1.4%	29
TOTAL	2,767	100%	45,279	100%	171,213	1,817,599	1.6%	2.5%	37

Hispanic Origin	Supported Employment: State N	Supported Employment: State %	Supported Employment: U.S. N	Supported Employment: U.S. %	Adults with SMI - State N	Adults with SMI (States reporting EBP): U.S. N	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	622	22%	2,120	5%	40,313	148,819	1.5%	1.4%	25
Not Hispanic or Latino	2,145	78%	33,018	73%	130,900	1,054,203	1.6%	3.1%	26
Not Available	-	-	7,680	17%	-	776,024	-	1.0%	24
TOTAL	2,767	100%	45,279	95%	171,213	1,817,599	1.6%	2.5%	37

Fidelity	Supported Employment: State N	Supported Employment: U.S. N	Supported Employment: U.S. %	Adults with SMI - State N	Adults with SMI (States reporting EBP): U.S. N	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
Measures Fidelity	-	14,013	31%	-	1,211,877	-	1.2%	13
Does Not Measure Fidelity	2,767	31,507	69%	171,213	1,684,647	1.6%	1.9%	24

* Reported under Hispanic Origin

1. State Measures Fidelity of EBP?	Yes	States Measuring Fidelity = 13
2. What Fidelity Measure is Used?	State authority fidelity toolkit based on SAMHSA component	
3. Who Conducts Fidelity Measure?	Local mental health authority and State authority	
4. How often is Fidelity Measured?	Routine basis administered by Quality Management	
5. Is the SAMHSA EBP Toolkit used to guide EBP Implementation?	Yes	SAMHSA Toolkit used = 15
6. Have staff been specifically trained to implement the EBP?	Yes	EBP Training = 15

Note:

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 16

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

State Notes:

See "general footnotes."

APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 11: Evidence-Based Practices 3: Supported Housing by Age, Gender & Race, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Age	Supported Housing: State N	Supported Housing: State %	Supported Housing: U.S. N	Supported Housing: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
18 to 20	61	1%	2,247	3%	8,667	53,376	0.7%	4.2%	25
21 to 64	4,651	96%	69,303	84%	156,557	1,171,411	3.0%	5.9%	27
65 to 74	114	2%	2,140	3%	4,604	50,675	2.5%	4.2%	26
75 and Over	5	0%	643	1%	1,385	25,411	0.4%	2.5%	23
Not Available	-	-	7,897	10%	-	219,784	-	3.6%	10
TOTAL	4,831	100%	82,230	100%	171,213	1,530,173	2.8%	5.4%	32

Gender	Supported Housing: State N	Supported Housing: State %	Supported Housing: U.S. N	Supported Housing: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	2,621	54%	37,786	46%	96,723	681,264	2.7%	5.5%	26
Male	2,210	46%	36,493	44%	74,490	545,184	3.0%	6.7%	26
Not Available	-	-	8,146	10%	-	303,378	-	2.7%	9
TOTAL	4,831	100%	82,230	100%	171,213	1,530,173	2.8%	5.4%	32

Race	Supported Housing: State N	Supported Housing: State %	Supported Housing: U.S. N	Supported Housing: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	15	0%	1,164	1%	415	9,249	3.6%	12.6%	22
Asian	33	1%	1,138	1%	1,552	16,033	2.1%	7.1%	21
Black/African American	1,405	29%	16,323	20%	36,988	241,026	3.8%	6.8%	24
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	-	-	307	0%	-	4,563	-	6.7%	19
White	3,325	69%	50,039	61%	128,354	801,991	2.6%	6.2%	25
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	20	0%	*	27	*	74.1%	5
Multi-Racial	53	1%	-	-	3,904	18,872	1.4%	-	5
Not Available	-	-	12,609	15%	-	289,689	-	4.4%	25
TOTAL	4,831	100%	82,230	99%	171,213	1,530,173	2.8%	5.4%	32

Hispanic Origin	Supported Housing: State N	Supported Housing: State %	Supported Housing: U.S. N	Supported Housing: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	941	19%	6,084	7%	40,313	138,219	2.3%	4.4%	21
Not Hispanic or Latino	3,890	81%	61,191	74%	130,900	965,124	3.0%	6.3%	25
Not Available	-	-	14,969	18%	-	423,490	-	3.5%	25
TOTAL	4,831	100%	82,230	100%	171,213	1,530,173	2.8%	5.4%	32

Fidelity	Supported Housing: State N	Supported Housing: U.S. N	Supported Housing: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
Measures Fidelity	-	7,934	10%	-	1,211,877	-	0.7%	3
Does Not Measure Fidelity	-	75,341	90%	-	2,025,895	-	3.7%	29

* Reported under Hispanic Origin

1. State Measures Fidelity of EBP? Yes

States Measuring Fidelity = 3

2. What Fidelity Measure is Used? State authority fidelity toolkit based on SAMHSA component

3. Who Conducts Fidelity Measure? Local mental health authority and State authority

4. How often is Fidelity Measured? Routine basis administered by Quality Management

5. Is the SAMHSA EBP Toolkit used to guide EBP Implementation?

Yes

SAMHSA Toolkit used = 6

6. Have staff been specifically trained to implement the EBP?

Yes

EBP Training = 9

Note:

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 16.

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

State Notes:

See "general footnotes."

APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: Table 12: Evidence-Based Practices 4: Family Psychoeducation by Age, Gender & Race, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Age	Family Psycho-education: State N	Family Psycho-education: State %	Family Psycho-education: U.S. N	Family Psycho-education: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
18 to 20	-	-	227	2%	8,667	8,804		2.6%	6
21 to 64	-	-	2,241	15%	156,557	244,651		0.9%	9
65 to 74	-	-	74	0%	4,604	5,475		1.4%	6
75 and Over	-	-	37	0%	1,385	3,961		0.9%	5
Not Available	-	-	12,452	83%		544,601	-	2.3%	7
TOTAL	-	-	15,031	100%	171,213	817,028		1.8%	14

Gender	Family Psycho-education: State N	Family Psycho-education: State %	Family Psycho-education: U.S. N	Family Psycho-education: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	-	-	1,046	7%	96,723	107,969		1.0%	7
Male	-	-	862	6%	74,490	80,548		1.1%	7
Not Available	-	-	13,123	87%		544,601	-	2.4%	9
TOTAL	-	-	15,031	100%	171,213	817,028		1.8%	14

Race	Family Psycho-education: State N	Family Psycho-education: State %	Family Psycho-education: U.S. N	Family Psycho-education: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	-	-	25	0%	415	1,014		2.5%	4
Asian	-	-	28	0%	1,552	847		3.3%	4
Black/African American	-	-	108	1%	36,988	37,295		0.3%	7
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	-	-	7	0%		166	-	4.2%	2
White	-	-	1,419	9%	128,354	113,980		1.2%	7
Hispanic or Latino	-	-			*		-	-	
Multi-Racial	-	-	10	0%	3,904	2,186		0.5%	2
Not Available	-	-	13,434	89%		544,602	-	2.5%	12
TOTAL	-	-	15,031	100%	171,213	817,028		1.8%	14

Hispanic Origin	Family Psycho-education: State N	Family Psycho-education: State %	Family Psycho-education: U.S. N	Family Psycho-education: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	-	-	243	2%	40,313	17,223		1.4%	5
Not Hispanic or Latino	-	-	1,375	9%	130,900	134,391		1.0%	5
Not Available	-	-	13,350	89%		578,197	-	2.3%	12
TOTAL	-	-	15,031	100%	171,213	817,028		1.8%	14

*Reported under Hispanic Origin

1. State Measures Fidelity of EBP? No
 2. What Fidelity Measure is Used? -
 3. Who Conducts Fidelity Measure? -
 4. How often is Fidelity Measured? -

States Measuring Fidelity = 3

5. Is the SAMHSA EBP Toolkit used to guide EBP Implementation? No

SAMHSA Toolkit used = 5

6. Have staff been specifically trained to implement the EBP? No

EBP Training = 7

Note:

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Tables 16 and 17.

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

State Notes:

See "general footnotes."

2007 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

OUTCOMES DOMAIN: TABLE 1: Employment Status of Adult Mental Health Consumers Served in the Community by Age & Gender, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Age	Employed: State N	Unemployed: State N	Adults in Labor Force*: State N	Adults with Known Employment Status**: State N	Employed as % of those in Labor Force: State	Employed as % of those in Labor Force: U.S.	Employed as % of Served in Community: State	Employed as % of Served in Community: U.S.	States Reporting
18 to 20	931	1,063	1,994	4,539	47%	39%	21%	18%	39
21 to 64	23,244	19,701	42,945	130,043	54%	40%	18%	22%	43
65 and Over	188	184	372	4,921	51%	26%	4%	7%	40
Not Available	-	-	-	-	-	49%	-	13%	22
TOTAL	24,363	20,948	45,311	139,503	54%	39%	17%	21%	55

Gender	Employed: State N	Unemployed: State N	Adults in Labor Force*: State N	Adults with Known Employment Status**: State N	Employed as % of those in Labor Force: State	Employed as % of those in Labor Force: U.S.	Employed as % of Served in Community: State	Employed as % of Served in Community: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	14,482	11,326	25,808	81,506	56%	40%	18%	21%	55
Male	9,881	9,622	19,503	57,997	51%	40%	17%	22%	55
Not Available	-	-	-	-	-	23%	-	18%	27
TOTAL	24,363	20,948	45,311	139,503	54%	39%	17%	21%	55

What Populations are Reported:

-

All Clients = 32

Some Clients = 9

When is Employment Status Measured?	At Admission	At Discharge	Updated Monthly	Updated Quarterly	Other Time Period
Texas	-	-	-	Yes	-
U.S.	38	24	2	8	28

Note:

*Adults Served in Labor Force is the sum of MH consumers employed plus unemployed.

**Adults Served with Known Employment Status excludes persons for whom employment status was unknown.

MH Consumers Employed as % of Total Adults Served uses Adults Served as the denominator.

MH Consumers Employed as % of Adults served in Labor Force uses persons employed or Unemployed as the denominator.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 4.

State Notes:

Age Age is expressed as the consumer's age at fiscal year end in all reports.

Gender None

Overall See "general footnotes."

2007 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

OUTCOMES DOMAIN: TABLE 1a: Employment Status of Adult Mental Health Consumers Served in the Community by Diagnosis, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Diagnosis	Employed: State	Unemployed: State	Adults in Labor Force*: State	Adults with Known Employment Status**: State	Employed as % of those in Labor Force: State	Employed as % of those in Labor Force: U.S.	Employed as % of Served in Community: State	Employed as % of Served in Community: U.S.	States Reporting	Percent of Consumers with Dx: State	Percent of Consumers with Dx: U.S.
Schizophrenia and Related Disorders	3,179	3,618	6,797	37,344	47%	30%	9%	11%	39	21.2%	15.1%
Bipolar and Mood Disorders	19,995	16,136	36,131	96,614	55%	41%	21%	21%	39	56.8%	41.5%
Other Psychoses	46	37	83	267	55%	30%	17%	13%	39	0.5%	3.8%
All Other Diagnoses	266	249	515	1,598	52%	47%	17%	24%	39	3.0%	29.0%
No Diagnosis and Deferred Diagnosis	877	908	1,785	3,680	49%	56%	24%	35%	35	18.5%	10.5%
TOTAL	24,363	20,948	45,311	139,503	54%	43%	17%	21%	39	100.0%	100.0%

Consumers without a diagnosis primarily include those who only received a crisis or assessment service. Diagnoses are not required to perform crisis services. Diagnoses do not need recorded for consumers that are not eligible for ongoing services.

State Notes:

Note:



*Adults Served in Labor Force is the sum of MH consumers employed plus unemployed.

**Adults Served with Known Employment Status excludes persons for whom employment status was unknown.

MH Consumers Employed as % of Total Adults Served uses Adults Served as the denominator.

MH Consumers Employed as % of Adults served in Labor Force uses persons employed or Unemployed as the denominator.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 4a.



2007 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

OUTCOMES DOMAIN: TABLE 2: Consumer Survey Results, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Indicators	Children: TX	Children: U.S. Average	States Reporting	Adults: TX	Adults: U.S. Average	States Reporting
1. Percent Reporting Positively About Access.	80%	85%	50	74%	85%	54
2. Percent Reporting Positively About Quality and Appropriateness for Adults / Reporting Positively about Satisfaction for Children.	80%	84%	51	81%	86%	54
3. Percent Reporting Positively About Outcomes.	57%	65%	51	56%	71%	55
4. Percent of Family Members Reporting on Participation In treatment Planning.	86%	87%	51			
5. Percent of Family Members Reporting High Cultural Sensitivity of Staff.	91%	93%	50			
6. Percent of Adults Reporting on Participation In Treatment Planning.				66%	82%	54
7. Percent of Adults Reporting on Positively about General Satisfaction with Services.				86%	87%	55

Note: U.S. Average Children & Adult rates are only calculated for states that used a version of the MHSIP Consumer Survey

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 11.

Question 1	TX	U.S.	TX	US
1. Which Consumer Survey was used?	YSS-F	YSS-F = 42	MHSIP	28 Item MHSIP = 30 Other MHSIP = 25 Other Survey = 1

Question 2	TX	U.S.	TX	U.S.
2. Did you use a Spanish translation?	Yes	22	Yes	24

How many responses are the results based on?	TX	U.S.	States Reporting	TX	U.S.	States Reporting
Response Rate	18%	47%		18.6%	56.3%	
Number of Surveys Attempted (sent out)	1,880	127,044	44	2,069	198,199	40
Number of Surveys Contacts Made	-	109,592	37	-	191,277	34
Complete Surveys	339	56,756	46	384	148,565	48

Question 3	TX	U.S.	TX	U.S.
3. Populations covered in survey?	All Consumers	Sample = 39	All Consumers	Sample = 48
		All Consumers = 13		All Consumers = 8

4. Sample Approach	TX	U.S.	TX	U.S.
Random Sample Conducted?	Yes	18	Yes	17
Stratified Sample Conducted?	-	6	-	10
Convenience Sample Conducted?	-	19	-	22
Other Sample	-	14	-	12


5. Who is Sampled?	TX	U.S.	TX	U.S.
Current Clients	Yes	53	Yes	54
Former Clients	-	15	-	12

6. Please describe the populations included in your sample: (e.g., all adults, only adults with SMI, etc.)	TX	U.S.	TX	U.S.
All Adults or Children Served	All Children -	32	All Adults	31
SMI Adults or SED Children	All Children -	17	All Adults	20
Persons Covered by Medicaid	All Children -	9	All Adults	10


State Notes:

None

URS Year 6 Adult Consumer Survey Results (data from Outcomes Domain: Table 2)



URS Year 6 Child Consumer Survey Results (data from Outcomes Domain: Table 2)



OUTCOMES DOMAIN: TABLE 3: Consumer Survey Results, by Race/Ethnicity, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Race/Ethnicity	Reporting Positively About Access (Adults): State	Reporting Positively About Access (Adults): U.S. Average	Reporting Positively About Quality and Appropriateness (Adults): State	Reporting Positively About Quality & Appropriateness (Adults): U.S. Average	Reporting Positively About Outcomes (Adults): State	Reporting Positively About Outcomes (Adults): U.S. Average	Adults Reporting on Participation In Treatment Planning: State	Adults Reporting on Participation In Treatment Planning: U.S.	Adults Reporting Positively about General Satisfaction with Services: State	Adults Reporting Positively about General Satisfaction with Services: U.S.	Adults Positive About Social Connectedness: State	Adults Positive About Social Connectedness: U.S.	Adults Positive About Improved Functioning: State	Adults Positive About Improved Functioning: U.S.	States
Total	74%	84%	81%	86%	56%	70%	66%	82%	86%	87%	63%	52%	58%	46%	45
American Indian/Alaskan Native	-	81%	-	83%	-	68%	-	80%	-	85%	-	64%	-	60%	39
Asian	-	89%	-	86%	-	75%	-	87%	-	89%	-	71%	-	71%	35
Black/African American	73%	86%	80%	85%	49%	72%	63%	83%	85%	87%	67%	53%	46%	47%	41
Native Hawaiian/Pacific	-	85%	-	81%	-	76%	-	80%	-	85%	-	78%	-	74%	26
White	73%	84%	79%	86%	58%	69%	64%	82%	85%	87%	58%	51%	58%	45%	42
Multi-Racial	-	81%	-	84%	-	67%	-	81%	-	85%	-	47%	-	42%	25
Other	91%	87%	91%	83%	64%	71%	82%	86%	100%	89%	82%	46%	55%	39%	41
Hispanic	76%	88%	85%	87%	56%	75%	67%	87%	87%	90%	71%	70%	65%	65%	41

Race/Ethnicity	Reporting Positively About Access for Children: State	Reporting Positively About Access for Children: U.S.	Reporting Positively About General Satisfaction with Services for Children: State	Reporting Positively About General Satisfaction with Services for Children: U.S.	Reporting Positively About Outcomes: State	Reporting Positively About Outcomes: U.S.	Reporting Positively about Participation In Treatment Planning: State	Reporting Positively about Participation In Treatment Planning: U.S.	Percent Reporting High Cultural Sensitivity of Staff: State	Percent Reporting High Cultural Sensitivity of Staff: State	Families Positive About Social Connectedness: State	Families Positive About Social Connectedness: U.S.	Families Positive About Improved Functioning: State	Families Positive About Improved Functioning: U.S.	States
Total	80%	84%	80%	83%	57%	75%	86%	88%	91%	92%	77%	84%	57%	53%	39
American Indian/Alaskan Native	-	84%	-	83%	-	68%	-	86%	-	92%	-	86%	-	64%	32
Asian	-	83%	-	88%	-	70%	-	89%	-	91%	-	77%	-	67%	36
Black/African American	82%	77%	79%	84%	53%	78%	83%	89%	91%	95%	76%	84%	53%	51%	15
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	-	85%	-	88%	-	70%	-	90%	-	93%	-	86%	-	69%	23
White	75%	83%	77%	82%	55%	75%	84%	87%	89%	91%	73%	82%	54%	52%	35
Multi-Racial	-	83%	-	84%	-	68%	-	89%	-	94%	-	85%	-	54%	34
Other	56%	89%	100%	85%	67%	76%	89%	89%	100%	95%	89%	87%	56%	56%	24
Hispanic	86%	88%	84%	88%	62%	70%	89%	89%	94%	95%	82%	86%	65%	68%	21

Note:

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 11a.

State Notes:

TX oversampled for its Medicaid Managed Care program, then weighted the sample to adjust for its overrepresentation.

OUTCOMES DOMAIN: TABLE 4: Change in Social Connectedness & Functioning, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Indicators	Children: State	Children: U.S. Average	Children: U.S. Median	Children: States Reporting	Adults: State	Adults: U.S. Average	Adults: U.S. Median	Adults: States Reporting
1. Percent Reporting Improved Social Connectedness From Services	77%	84%	80%	36	63%	72%	70%	45
2. Percent Reporting Improved Functioning From Services	57%	64%	59%	39	58%	70%	69%	42

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 9.

Note: U.S. Average & Median rates are calculated only for states that used the recommended Social Connectedness & Functioning questions.

Adult Social Connectedness and Functioning Measures	State	U.S.
1. Did you use the recommended new Social Connectedness Questions?	Yes	41
If No, what measure did you use?	-	-
2: Did you use the recommended new Functioning Domain Questions?	Yes	39
If No, what measure did you use?	-	-
3. Did you collect these as part of your MHSIP Adult Consumer Survey?	Yes	38
If No, what source did you use?	-	-

Child/Family Social Connectedness and Functioning Measures	State	U.S.
4: Did you use the recommended new Social Connectedness Questions?	Yes	32
If No, what measure did you use?	-	-
5: Did you use the recommended new Functioning Domain Questions?	Yes	34
If No, what measure did you use?	-	-
6. Did you collect these as part of your YSS-F Survey?	Yes	31
If No, what source did you use?	-	-

State Notes:

None

OUTCOMES DOMAIN: TABLE 5: Readmission within 30 Days by Age, Gender, and Race, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Age	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State %	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	States Reporting	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State N	Forensic Patients Discharges: State N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State %	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Discharges: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	State Reporting
0 to 12	19	360	5.3%	242	3,212	7.5%	15	-	-	-	2	16	12.5%	1
13 to 17	71	1,336	5.3%	739	11,511	6.4%	25	-	8	-	25	375	6.7%	5
18 to 20	111	1,073	10.3%	788	8,275	9.5%	38	-	72	-	27	804	3.4%	8
21 to 64	1,266	13,167	9.6%	11,825	119,974	9.9%	48	41	1,356	3.0%	562	13,976	4.0%	29
65 to 74	17	222	7.7%	252	3,137	8.0%	33	-	25	-	31	636	4.9%	7
75 and Over	1	47	2.1%	80	1,341	6.0%	18	-	2	-	3	81	3.7%	2
Not Available	-	-	-	1,082	12,009	9.0%	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	1,485	16,205	9.2%	15,008	159,459	9.4%	49	41	1,463	2.8%	650	15,888	4.1%	29

Gender	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State %	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	States Reporting	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State N	Forensic Patients Discharges: State N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State %	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Discharges: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	State Reporting
Female	602	7,015	8.6%	5,368	59,960	9.0%	48	8	319	2.5%	118	2,888	4.1%	19
Male	883	9,190	9.6%	8,553	87,450	9.8%	48	33	1,144	2.9%	532	12,992	4.1%	28
Not Available	-	-	-	1,087	12,059	9.0%	5	-	-	-	-	8	-	-
TOTAL	1,485	16,205	9.2%	15,008	159,459	9.4%	49	41	1,463	2.8%	650	15,888	4.1%	29

Race/Ethnicity	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State %	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	States Reporting	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State N	Forensic Patients Discharges: State N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State %	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Discharges: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	State Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	34	2.9%	169	1,836	9.2%	14	-	-	-	5	158	3.2%	2
Asian	11	183	6.0%	96	1,177	8.2%	22	1	22	4.5%	7	256	2.7%	7
Black/African American	327	3,153	10.4%	4,569	40,724	11.2%	39	17	665	2.6%	297	6,134	4.8%	18
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	1	14	7.1%	3	98	3.1%	3	-	-	-	6	56	10.7%	1
White	1,129	12,604	9.0%	8,382	95,026	8.8%	47	23	767	3.0%	295	7,444	4.0%	26
Hispanic	*	*	*	421	4,872	8.6%	13	-	*	-	27	1,316	2.1%	6
Multi-Racial	16	217	7.4%	90	989	9.1%	13	-	9	-	7	122	5.7%	4
Race Not Available	-	-	-	1,273	14,720	8.6%	20	-	-	-	6	402	1.5%	3
TOTAL	1,485	16,205	9.2%	15,008	159,459	9.4%	49	41	1,463	2.8%	650	15,888	4.1%	29

Hispanic Origin	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State %	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	States Reporting	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State N	Forensic Patients Discharges: State N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State %	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Discharges: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	State Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	469	4,791	9.8%	1,048	10,271	10.2%	25	8	283	2.8%	29	1,075	2.7%	8
Not Hispanic or Latino	1,016	11,414	8.9%	6,992	84,196	8.3%	34	33	1,180	2.8%	365	8,248	4.4%	18
Not Available	-	-	-	2,402	27,435	8.8%	22	-	-	-	99	3,468	2.9%	10
TOTAL	1,485	16,205	9.2%	15,008	159,459	9.4%	49	41	1,463	2.8%	650	15,888	4.1%	29

Forensics included in "non forensic" data?

No

Note:

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 20.

* Reported under Hispanic Origin

State Notes:

None

OUTCOMES DOMAIN: TABLE 6: Readmission within 180 Days by Age, Gender and Race, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Age	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State %	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	States Reporting	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State N	Forensic Patients Discharges: State N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State %	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Discharges: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	State Reporting
0 to 12	49	360	13.6%	508	3,212	15.8%	20	-	-	-	3	16	18.8%	1
13 to 17	188	1,336	14.1%	1,741	11,511	15.1%	30	1	8	12.5%	42	375	11.2%	7
18 to 20	209	1,073	19.5%	1,595	8,275	19.3%	42	10	72	13.9%	94	804	11.7%	21
21 to 64	2,948	13,167	22.4%	24,671	119,974	20.6%	47	259	1,356	19.1%	1,859	13,976	13.3%	38
65 to 74	31	222	14.0%	536	3,137	17.1%	36	2	25	8.0%	92	636	14.5%	12
75 and Over	4	47	8.5%	151	1,341	11.3%	24	1	2	50.0%	9	81	11.1%	7
Not Available	-	-	-	2,539	12,009	21.1%	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	3,429	16,205	21.2%	31,741	159,459	19.9%	48	273	1,463	18.7%	2,099	15,888	13.2%	38

Gender	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State %	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	States Reporting	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State N	Forensic Patients Discharges: State N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State %	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Discharges: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	State Reporting
Female	1,408	7,015	20.1%	11,485	59,960	19.2%	47	74	319	23.2%	399	2,888	13.8%	30
Male	2,021	9,190	22.0%	17,708	87,450	20.2%	47	199	1,144	17.4%	1,700	12,992	13.1%	38
Not Available	-	-	-	2,548	12,059	21.1%	5	-	-	-	-	8	-	-
TOTAL	3,429	16,205	21.2%	31,741	159,459	19.9%	48	273	1,463	18.7%	2,099	15,888	13.2%	38

Race/Ethnicity	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State %	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	States Reporting	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State N	Forensic Patients Discharges: State N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State %	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Discharges: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	State Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	4	34	11.8%	362	1,836	19.7%	22	-	-	-	26	158	16.5%	10
Asian	42	183	23.0%	224	1,177	19.0%	30	3	22	13.6%	25	256	9.8%	10
Black/African American	790	3,153	25.1%	9,252	40,724	22.7%	41	121	665	18.2%	973	6,134	15.9%	30
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	2	14	14.3%	10	98	10.2%	4	-	-	-	10	56	17.9%	1
White	2,557	12,604	20.3%	18,113	95,026	19.1%	46	149	767	19.4%	908	7,444	12.2%	35
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	*	638	4,872	13.1%	15	*	*	*	107	1,316	8.1%	10
Multi-Racial	34	217	15.7%	182	989	18.4%	17	-	9	-	23	122	18.9%	6
Not Available	-	-	-	2,955	14,720	20.1%	30	-	-	-	25	402	6.2%	10
TOTAL	3,429	16,205	21.2%	31,741	159,459	19.9%	48	273	1,463	18.7%	2,099	15,888	13.2%	38

Hispanic Origin	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State %	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	States Reporting	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State N	Forensic Patients Discharges: State N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State %	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Discharges: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	State Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	1,038	4,791	21.7%	2,111	10,271	20.6%	32	62	283	21.9%	125	1,075	11.6%	12
Not Hispanic or Latino	2,391	11,414	20.9%	16,826	84,196	20.0%	34	211	1,180	17.9%	1,139	8,248	13.8%	23
Not Available	-	-	-	5,389	27,435	19.6%	24	-	-	-	227	3,468	6.5%	14
TOTAL	3,429	16,205	21.2%	31,741	159,459	19.9%	48	273	1,463	18.7%	2,099	15,888	13.2%	38

Forensics included in "non forensic" data? No

Note:

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 20.

* Reported under Hispanic Origin

State Notes:

None

OUTCOMES DOMAIN: TABLE 7: Readmissions within 30 and 180 Days to any Psychiatric Inpatient Bed in the SMHA System by Age, Gender and Race, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Age	Readmissions within 30 days: State N	Discharges: State N	Readmissions within 30: State %	Readmissions within 30 days: U.S. N	Discharges: U.S. N	Readmissions within 30: U.S. %	States Reporting	Readmissions within 180 days: State N	Discharges: State N	Readmissions within 180: State %	Readmissions within 180 days: U.S. N	Discharges: U.S. N	Readmissions within 180: U.S. %	States Reporting
0 to 12	20	360	5.6%	1,069	10,224	10.5%	17	51	360	14.2%	2,457	10,224	24.0%	20
13 to 17	74	1,336	5.5%	2,475	22,861	10.8%	20	202	1,336	15.1%	5,387	22,861	23.6%	20
18 to 20	115	1,073	10.7%	1,818	13,889	13.1%	25	218	1,073	20.3%	3,612	13,889	26.0%	25
21 to 64	1,349	13,167	10.2%	31,279	207,587	15.1%	27	3,161	13,167	24.0%	63,748	207,587	30.7%	27
65 to 74	17	222	7.7%	536	5,999	8.9%	22	34	222	15.3%	1,227	5,999	20.5%	22
75 and Over	1	47	2.1%	185	3,224	5.7%	18	4	47	8.5%	428	3,224	13.3%	18
Not Available	-	-	-	-	36	-	3	-	-	-	17	36	47.2%	3
TOTAL	1,576	16,205	9.7%	37,362	263,820	14.2%	28	3,670	16,205	22.6%	76,876	263,820	29.1%	28

Gender	Readmissions within 30 days: State N	Discharges: State N	Readmissions within 30: State %	Readmissions within 30 days: U.S. N	Discharges: U.S. N	Readmissions within 30: U.S. %	States Reporting	Readmissions within 180 days: State N	Discharges: State N	Readmissions within 180: State %	Readmissions within 180 days: U.S. N	Discharges: U.S. N	Readmissions within 180: U.S. %	States Reporting
Female	639	7,015	9.1%	16,492	122,632	13.4%	27	1,508	7,015	21.5%	34,473	122,632	28.1%	27
Male	937	9,190	10.2%	20,835	140,750	14.8%	27	2,162	9,190	23.5%	42,337	140,750	30.1%	27
Not Available	-	-	-	45	596	7.6%	7	-	-	-	87	596	14.6%	7
TOTAL	1,576	16,205	9.7%	37,362	263,820	14.2%	28	3,670	16,205	22.6%	76,876	263,820	29.1%	28

Race	Readmissions within 30 days: State N	Discharges: State N	Readmissions within 30: State %	Readmissions within 30 days: U.S. N	Discharges: U.S. N	Readmissions within 30: U.S. %	States Reporting	Readmissions within 180 days: State N	Discharges: State N	Readmissions within 180: State %	Readmissions within 180 days: U.S. N	Discharges: U.S. N	Readmissions within 180: U.S. %	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1	34	2.9%	388	3,035	12.8%	18	4	34	11.8%	756	3,035	24.9%	18
Asian	12	183	6.6%	370	2,664	13.9%	18	47	183	25.7%	778	2,664	29.2%	18
Black/African American	355	3,153	11.3%	11,669	67,843	17.2%	21	860	3,153	27.3%	23,573	67,843	34.7%	21
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	1	14	7.1%	6	192	3.1%	9	2	14	14.3%	33	192	17.2%	9
White	1,189	12,604	9.4%	19,257	150,156	12.8%	24	2,721	12,604	21.6%	40,232	150,156	26.8%	24
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	*	1,280	7,190	17.8%	7	-	-	-	2,627	7,190	36.5%	7
Multi-Racial	18	217	8.3%	1,446	8,523	17.0%	13	36	217	16.6%	2,994	8,523	35.1%	13
Not Available	-	-	-	2,793	23,214	12.0%	19	-	-	-	5,564	23,214	24.0%	19
TOTAL	1,576	16,205	9.7%	37,362	263,820	14.2%	28	3,670	16,205	22.6%	76,876	263,820	29.1%	28

Hispanic Origin	Readmissions within 30 days: State N	Discharges: State N	Readmissions within 30: State %	Readmissions within 30 days: U.S. N	Discharges: U.S. N	Readmissions within 30: U.S. %	States Reporting	Readmissions within 180 days: State N	Discharges: State N	Readmissions within 180: State %	Readmissions within 180 days: U.S. N	Discharges: U.S. N	Readmissions within 180: U.S. %	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	484	4,791	10.1%	714	7,480	9.5%	17	1,078	4,791	22.5%	1,533	7,480	20.5%	17
Not Hispanic or Latino	1,092	11,414	9.6%	10,241	106,073	9.7%	20	2,592	11,414	22.7%	22,299	106,073	21.0%	20
Not Available	-	-	-	11,699	73,390	15.9%	15	-	-	-	22,513	73,390	30.7%	15
TOTAL	1,576	16,205	9.7%	37,362	263,820	14.2%	28	3,670	16,205	22.6%	76,876	263,820	29.1%	28

State Hospitals included in Table?

Yes

Forensics included in Table?

No

Note:

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 21.

* Reported under Hispanic Origin

State Notes:

None

2007 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

STRUCTURE DOMAIN: TABLE 1: State Mental Health Agency Controlled Expenditures for Mental Health, FY 2005

STATE: Texas

	Expenditures: State	Percent of Total Expenditures: State	Expenditures: U.S.	Percent of Total Expenditures: U.S.
State Hospitals-Inpatient	\$315,000,000	38%	\$8,077,088,973	27%
Other 24-Hour Care	\$33,700,000	4%	\$5,436,852,037	18%
Ambulatory/Community	\$469,900,000	56%	\$15,236,260,291	52%
TOTAL	\$832,200,000	-	\$29,397,421,631	-

Note:

Total also includes additional SMHA Expenditures for research, training, administration, and other central and regional office expenditures.

Data from NRI's Funding Sources and Expenditures of State Mental Health Agencies, FY 2005 report, Table 18 "SMHA-Controlled Expenditures for Mental Health Services."

U.S. Totals are based on 51 states reporting.

More information on the State Mental Health Agency's Revenues & Expenditures as well as State Footnotes can be found on the NRI website:

<http://www.nri-inc.org/projects/Profiles/RevenuesExpenditures.cfm>

STRUCTURE DOMAIN: TABLE 2: State Mental Health Agency Controlled Revenues by Funding Source, FY 2005**STATE: Texas**

	State Hospital: State Revenues	State Hospital: Percent Total: State	State Hospital: Percent Total: U.S.	Ambulatory/Com munity: State Revenues	Ambulatory/Com munity % Total: State	Ambulatory/Com munity % Total: U.S.
Medicaid	\$28,800,000	8.9%	25.9%	\$156,900,000	31.7%	50.3%
Community MH Block Grant	-	-	-	\$31,100,000	6.3%	1.9%
Other SAMHSA	-	-	0.0%	\$12,500,000	2.5%	0.3%
Other Federal (non-SAMHSA)	\$18,500,000	5.7%	3.6%	\$4,800,000	1.0%	3.1%
State	\$262,100,000	80.8%	66.4%	\$252,600,000	51.1%	37.5%
Other	\$15,000,000	4.6%	4.2%	\$36,300,000	7.3%	6.8%
TOTAL	\$324,400,000	100%	100%	\$494,200,000	100%	100%

Note:

Data from NRI's Funding Sources and Expenditures of State Mental Health Agencies, FY 2005 report.

State Mental Health Agency Controlled Expenditures and Revenues, Tables 24, 25, and 26.

This Table does not show Revenues for state central office including Research, Training, and Administration expenses.

More information on the State Mental Health Agency's Revenues & Expenditures as well as State Footnotes can be found on the NRI website:

<http://www.nri-inc.org/projects/Profiles/RevenuesExpenditures.cfm>

STRUCTURE DOMAIN: TABLE 3:Federal Mental Health Block Grant Expenditures for Non-Direct Service Activities, FY 2007

STATE : Texas

	State Non Direct Block Grant Expenditures	Percent Total: State	Percent Total: U.S.
Technical Assistance Activities	\$45,742	2.1%	34.3%
Planning Council	\$47,766	2.2%	2.9%
Administration	\$136,689	6.3%	20.5%
Data Collection/Reporting	\$212,364	9.8%	8.0%
Other Activities	\$1,720,506	79.5%	34.3%
Total	\$2,163,067	100.0%	100.0%

Note:

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 8.

State Notes:

None

2007 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

STRUCTURE DOMAIN: TABLE 4: Mental Health Programs Funded by the Federal Mental Health Block Grant, FY 2007

STATE: Texas

Programs = 41

\$24,045,607

Agency Name	Address	Agency Director	Phone Number	Mental Health Block Grant
Center for Life Resources	408 Mulberry, Brownwood, TX 76801	Ghasem Nahvipour	325-646-9574	\$158,705
Anderson Cherokee	913 N. Jackson St., Jacksonville, TX 75766	Allyn Lang	903-586-5507	\$162,406
Heart of Texas	110 South 12th St., Waco, TX 76701	Barbara Tate	254-752-3451	\$357,557
Gulf Coast	123 Rosenberg, Ste. 6, Galveston, TX 77550	Michael Winburn	409-763-2373	\$655,605
Gulf Bend	1502 East Airline, Suite 25, Victoria, TX 77901	Donald Polzin	361-575-0611	\$226,608
El Paso Center	1600 Montana, El Paso, TX 79902	Gary Larcenaire	915-783-3900	\$1,111,997
Denton County	2519 Scripture, Denton, TX 76201	Bill Drybread	940-381-5000	\$345,554
Coastal Plains Center	200 Marriott Dr., Portland, TX 78374	Charles Sportsman	361-777-3991	\$554,628
Hill Country	819 Water St., Ste. 300, Kerrville, TX 78028	Linda Werlein	830-792-3300	\$398,841
Central Counties	304 S. 22nd St., Temple, TX 76501	Eldon Tietje	254-298-7000	\$446,532
Lakes Regional	400 Airport Rd., Terrell, TX 75160	John Delaney	972-524-4159	\$128,811
Center for Health Care Svcs	3031 IH-10 West, San Antonio, TX 78201	Leon Evans	210-731-1300	\$1,231,922
Camino Real	19965 FM 3175 North, Lytle, TX 78052	Emma Garcia	210-357-0300	\$217,677
Burke Center	4101 S. Medford Drive, Lufkin, TX 75901	Susan Rushing	936-639-1141	\$550,340
Border Region	1500 Pappas St., Laredo, Texas 78041	Daniel Castillon	956-794-3000	\$672,416
Bluebonnet Trails	1009 Georgetown St., Round Rock, Texas 78664	Nancy Gettelfinger	512-255-1720	\$383,091
Betty Hardwick (Abilene)	2616 S. Clack, Abilene, TX 79606	Jenny Goode	325-690-5100	\$197,198
Austin-Travis County	1403 Collier St., Austin, Texas 78704	David Evans	512-447-4141	\$1,550,277
Andrews Center	2323 West Front Street, Tyler, Texas 75702	Waymon Stewart	903-597-1351	\$320,078
Central Plains	2700 Yonkers, Plainview, TX 79072	Ron Trusler	806-293-2636	\$155,644
Permian Basin	401 E. Illinois, Suite 401, Midland, TX 79701	Larry Carroll	432-570-3333	\$504,645

Agency Name	Address	Agency Director	Phone Number	Mental Health Block Grant
Department of Assistive and Rehabilitative Services (DARS)				\$35,000
El Centro de Corazon	5001 Navigation Blvd, Houston, TX 77001	Mary Jo May	713-926-1849	\$200,000
West Texas Center	319 Runnels, Big Spring, TX 79720	Shelley Smith	432-263-0007	\$285,345
Tropical Texas	5115 South McColl, Edinburg, TX 78539	Terry Crocker	956-383-0121	\$2,820,504
Tri-County	1506 FM 2854, Conroe, TX 77304	Cynthia Sill	936-756-8331	\$605,724
Texas Panhandle	901 Wallace, Amarillo, TX 79106	Bud Schertler	806-358-1681	\$447,411
Texana	4910 Airport Ave., Rosenberg, TX 77471	George Patterson	281-342-9387	\$753,084
Helen Farabee Center	1000 Brook, Wichita Falls, TX 76301	Raomond Atkins	940-397-3143	\$438,143
Community HeathCore	107 Woodbine Place, Longview, TX 75602	Inman White	903-758-2471	\$558,890
University of Texas at Austin _TIMA				\$120,000
Pecan Valley	650 W. Green St., Stephenville, TX 76401	Coke Betty	254-965-7806	\$386,341
Nueces County	1630 S. Brownlee, Corpus Christi TX 78404	Diane Lowrance	361-886-6900	\$427,153
Harris County	7011 Southwest Freeway, Houston, TX 77074	Steven Schnee	713-970-7000	\$3,081,806
Texoma	315 W. McLain, Sherman, TX 75092	Anthony S. Maddox	903-957-3416	\$207,348
Concho Valley	1501 W. Beauregard, San Angelo, TX 76901	Lynn Rutland	325-658-7750	\$147,647
Tarrant County	3840 Hulen Tower North, Fort Worth, TX 76107	Jim McDermott	817-569-4508	\$1,543,127
Brazos Valley	1504 S. Texas Ave., Bryan, TX 77802	Bill Kelly	979-822-6467	\$351,440
Lubbock	1602 10th St., Lubbock, TX 79410	Danette Castle	806-766-0310	\$403,369
Spindletop	655 S. 8th, Beaumont, TX 77701	Charles Harris	409-784-5400	\$902,743

2007 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

General Notes: TX, FY2007

Table Number	General Notes
2, 3, 4, 6, 5A,5B	About 15-20% of the Texas population is served in a managed care model called NorthSTAR. Many of the measures that excluded NorthSTAR in prior to 2005 now have NorthSTAR included. NorthSTAR understates served count due to the lag in claim reporting. Clients served late in the year may not be represented when the reports are produced in November.
3, 6	NorthSTAR is included in local hospital starting in 2005. NorthSTAR had significant late reporting of other hospitalizations in 2005 resulting in an under reporting of approximately 1000 to URS.
4	Consumers without a diagnosis primarily include those who only received a crisis or assessment service. Diagnoses are not required to perform crisis services. Diagnoses do not need recorded for consumers that are not eligible for ongoing services.
5A	A client is counted as Medicaid if they were eligible at any time in the year.
14A, 14B	DSHS operational definition of SMI/SED: consumers assessed to need or authorized for an RDM service package, consumers who received a crisis service or mental health hospitalization, and those with a mental health diagnostic assessment (past or present).
16	The introduction of Resiliency and Disease Management (RDM) to the Texas mental health service delivery system brought with it an integration of supported employment services within the delivery of evidence-based mental health services, such as counseling, psychosocial rehabilitative services, and case management. This integration brought changes in the manner by which supported employment services were recorded within the mental health service delivery system. The decreasing provision frequency of supported employment services from FY2005-FY2006 is likely the aftereffect of this integration and recording change.
16	Therapeutic Foster care has been discontinued in Texas and should be removed from future counts.
16	Best Practice programs delivered via NorthSTAR included starting in 2005. Clients only served in the hospital have been removed from the client count. ACT was counted via authorized level of care starting in 2005. In 2004 it was counted from CARE service assignments. ACT alternative (a modified model for Rural service areas) is included in the ACT count
16	Contractual fidelity measures for ACT services were introduced in late 2005. These likely contributed to a reduction in ACT service volumes.
17	Illness Self Management is counted as those who received a psychosocial rehabilitation service (assignment or encounter) as it is a component of those services. Fidelity is measured for rehabilitation services but not the illness self management component specifically.
17	Clients only served in the hospital have been removed from the client count. SA is counted via SA diagnosis authorized for ACT or Rehabilitative Case Management Service Packages (3 and 4). The Service Package criteria began in 2005 but more accurately reflects the best practice guidelines
18	Includes NorthSTAR starting in 2005. Includes ANY new generation medicine (NGM). NorthSTAR counts NGM using state pharmacy data but has been unable to obtain permission to access federal pharmacy data for Medicare part D. This will reduce the scope and accuracy of NGM data, particularly in 2007 and beyond. Beginning in 2006, hospital NGM counts are generated off doctor's orders rather than administrative data.