



## NE Mental Health National Outcome Measures (NOMS): CMHS Uniform Reporting System:



### URS Year 6 Reporting

Utilization Rates/Number of Consumers Served	US FY 2007	NE	U.S. Rate	States
Penetration Rate per 1,000 population	6,121,641	21.02	20.14	58
Community Utilization per 1,000 population	5,553,938	18.64	19.01	55
State Hospital Utilization per 1,000 population	175,583	1.10	0.60	52
Other Psychiatric Inpatient Utilization per 1,000	358,295	3.74	1.43	40

Adult Employment Status	US FY 2007	NE	U.S. Rate	States
Employment Status (percent in Labor Force)	664,394	60%	39%	55
Employment Status (percent with Employment Data)	664,394	37%	21%	55

Adult Consumer Survey measures	US FY 2007	NE	U.S. Rate	States
Positive About Outcomes	-	73%	71%	55

Child/Family Consumer Survey measures	US FY 2007	NE	U.S. Rate	States
Positive About Outcomes	-	53%	65%	51

Readmission Rates: (Civil "non-Forensic" clients)	US FY 2007	NE	U.S. Rate	States
State Hospital Readmissions: 30 Days	15,008	3.1%	9.4%	49
State Hospital Readmissions: 180 Days	31,741	7.9%	19.9%	48
State Hospital Readmissions: 30 Days: Adults	12,945	3.5%	9.8%	48
State Hospital Readmissions: 180 Days: Adults	26,953	9.3%	20.3%	47
State Hospital Readmissions: 30 Days: Children	981	1.9%	6.7%	38
State Hospital Readmissions: 180 Days: Children	2,249	2.9%	15.3%	42

Living Situation	US FY 2007	NE	U.S. Rate	States
Living Situation: Private Residence	3,617,109	80.5%	80.1%	54
Living Situation: Homeless/Shelter	133,838	4.4%	3.0%	51
Living Situation: Jails/Prisons	98,813	1.3%	2.2%	51

Implementation of Evidence-Based Practices	US FY 2007	NE	U.S. Rate	States
Supported Housing	82,230	2.9%	5%	32
Supported Employment	45,279		2%	37
Assertive Community Treatment	57,054	1.2%	3%	37
Family PsychoEducation	14,875		2%	14
Dual Diagnosis Treatment	38,673	14.36%	4%	22
Illness Self Management	118,413		15%	18
Medications Management	194,637	12.49%	29%	14

Child/Adolescent EBP Services	US FY 2007	NE	U.S. Rate	States
Therapeutic Foster Care	12,462		3%	27
Multi Systemic Therapy	4,680	1.8%	1%	16
Functional Family Therapy	6,204		4%	10

Change in Social Connectedness	US FY 2007	NE	U.S. Rate	States
Adult Improved Social Connectedness		74%	72%	45
Child/Family Improved Social Connectedness		80%	84%	36



# STATE MENTAL HEALTH MEASURES: CMHS Uniform Reporting System Measures



## STATE: Nebraska

## URS Year 6 Reporting

Basic Measures	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Indicator 1: Penetration Rate per 1,000 population	37,163	21.02	6,121,641	20.14	58
Indicator 2: Community Utilization per 1,000 population	32,963	18.64	5,553,938	19.01	55
Indicator 3: State Hospital Utilization per 1,000 population	1,946	1.10	175,583	0.60	52
Indicator 4: Medicaid Funding Status	8,507	56%	2,860,234	57%	46
Indicator 5: Employment Status (percent employed)	12,249	37%	664,394	21%	55
Indicator 6: State Hospital Adult Admissions	313	0.18	155,892	1.00	50
Indicator 7: Community Adult Admissions	11,433	0.39	2,288,918	0.63	48
Indicator 8: State Hospital LOS Discharged Adult Patients	-	86 days	-	49 days	49
Indicator 9: State Hospital LOS Resident Adult Patients	-	211 days	-	83 days	49
Indicator 10: Percent of Client who meet SMI definition	-	57%	-	69%	57
Indicator 11: Adults with Co-occurring MH/SA Disorders	-	80%	-	24%	43
Indicator 12: Children with Co-occurring MH/SA Disorders	-	28%	-	6%	43
Basic Measure: Adult Consumer Survey measures	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Indicator 13: Positive About Access	-	81%	-	85%	54
Indicator 14: Positive About Quality and Appropriateness	-	85%	-	86%	54
Indicator 15: Positive About Outcomes	-	73%	-	71%	55
Indicator 16: Positive on Participation in Treatment Planning	-	78%	-	82%	54
Indicator 17: Positive General Satisfaction with Services	-	81%	-	87%	55
Basic Measure: Child/Family Consumer Survey measures	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Indicator 18: Positive About Access	-	78%	-	85%	50
Indicator 19: Positive about General Satisfaction	-	66%	-	84%	51
Indicator 20: Positive About Outcomes	-	53%	-	65%	51
Indicator 21: Positive on Participation in Treatment Planning	-	71%	-	87%	51
Indicator 22: Positive Cultural Sensitivity of Providers	-	77%	-	93%	50
Basic Measure: Consumer Living Situations	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Indicator 23: Private Residence	29,874	81%	3,617,109	80%	54
Indicator 24: Jail/Correctional Facility	466	1%	98,813	2%	51
Indicator 25: Homeless or Shelter	1,636	4%	133,844	3%	51
Basic Measure: Hospital Readmissions	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Indicator 26: State Hospital Readmissions: 30 Days	15	3%	15,008	9%	49
Indicator 27: State Hospital Readmissions: 180 Days	38	8%	31,741	20%	48
Indicator 28: Readmission to any psychiatric hospital: 30 Days	153	6%	37,362	14%	28
Basic Measure: State Mental Health Finance	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Indicator 29: SMHA Expenditures for Community MH	\$40,000,000	38%	\$20,673,112,328	70%	51
Indicator 30: SMHA Revenues from State Sources	\$116,000,000	100%	\$13,400,813,293	46%	51
Indicator 31: Total SMHA Expenditures	\$106,050,000		\$29,397,421,631		51
Developmental Measures	State Number	Rate	US FY 2007	Rate	States
Dev 1: Percent Adults with SMI and Children with SED	20,982	56%	4,030,266	66%	57
Developmental Measure: Adult Evidence Based Practices	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Dev 2: Assertive Community Treatment	221	1.2%	57,054	2.7%	49
Dev 3: Supported Housing	557	2.9%	82,230	5.4%	32
Dev 4: Supported Employment			45,279	2.5%	37
Dev 5: Family Psychoeducation			15,031	1.8%	14
Dev 6: Integrated Dual Diagnosis Treatment (MH/SA)	2,714	14.4%	38,673	4.2%	22
Dev 7: Illness Self-Management and Recovery			119,006	15.4%	18
Dev 8: Medications Management	2,360	12.5%	196,816	29.2%	14
Developmental Measure: Child Evidence Based Practices	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Dev 9: Therapeutic Foster Care			12,462	2.6%	27
Dev 10: Multisystemic Therapy	38	1.8%	4,680	1.4%	16
Dev 11: Functional Family Therapy	-	-	6,204	3.8%	10
Developmental Measure: Use of New Generation Medications	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Dev 12: New Generation Meds: State Hospitals	-		32,589	72.9%	32
Dev 13: New Generation Meds: Community MH			139,641	51.5%	26
Dev 14: New Generation Meds: Total System	-		111,421	50.3%	19
Developmental Measure: Outcome	State Number	Rate	U.S. FY 2007	Rate	States
Dev 15: Adult Criminal Justice Contacts			29,045	7.0%	39
Dev 16: Juvenile Justice Contacts			4,262	6.1%	36
Dev 17: School Attendance (Improved)	-	-	6,919	34.2%	31

# Mental Health Community Services Block Grant: CMHS Uniform Reporting System: 2007 State Report



## Nebraska

**State URS Contact Person**

Jim Harvey

NE DHHS Division of Behavioral Health ; P.O. Box 98925; Lincoln

Phone: 402-471-7824

Email: jim.harvey@dhhs.ne.gov

FY 2005 Mental Health Block Grant Expenditures	\$2,000,000
FY 2005 SMHA Community MH Expenditures	\$40,000,000
FY 2005 Per Capita Community MH Expenditures	\$22.99
FY 2005 Community Percent of Total SMHA Spending	38%
FY 2005 Total SMHA Mental Health Expenditures	\$106,050,000
FY 2005 Per Capita Total SMHA Mental Health Expenditures	\$60.96

## Statewide Mental Health Agency Data\*

37,163 Total Clients Served by SMHA System	21.02	Total Utilization rate per 1,000 population
32,963 Clients Served in Community Settings	18.64	Community Utilization rate per 1,000 population
1,946 Clients Served in State Hospitals	1.10	Hospital Utilization rate per 1,000 population

**Gender**

44%	Female
56%	Male

**Age**

5.2%	Age 0 to 12
5.8%	Age 13-17
6.4%	Age 18 to 20
80.7%	Age 21 to 64
1.3%	Age 65 to 74
0.5%	Age 75 and over
-	Age Not Available

**Race/Ethnicity:**

2.9%	American Indian/Alaskan Native
0.6%	Asian
7.5%	Black or African American
0.1%	Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander
79.5%	White
9.3%	Multi-Racial
3.3%	Hispanic or Latino
0.0%	Race not available

**Living Situation (with known status)**

80.5%	Private Residence
0.4%	Foster Home
2.3%	Residential Care
-	Crisis Residence
0.1%	Children's Residential Treatment
4.8%	Institutional Setting
1.3%	Jail/Correctional Facility
4.4%	Homeless or Shelter
6.3%	Other Living Situation
-	Living Situation Not Available

**Employment Adult With Known Status:**

37%	Percent Employed
38%	Percent Not In Labor Force
24%	Percent Unemployed

**Medicaid Funding Status of Consumers**

43%	Medicaid Only
44%	SMHA Funds Only (Non-Medicaid)
13.1%	Both Medicaid and Other Funds

**Consumer Perception of Care: (Children/Adolescents)**

78%	Access to Services
66%	Quality/Appropriateness of Services
53%	Outcomes from Services
71%	Participation in Treatment Planning
77%	Cultural Sensitivity of Providers

**Consumer Perception of Care: (Adults):**

81%	Access to Services
85%	Quality/Appropriateness of Services
73%	Outcomes from Services
78%	Participation in Treatment Planning
81%	Overall Satisfaction with Care

**Outcome Measures Developmental**

-	Adults Arrested this Year
-	Youth Arrested this year
-	Improved School Attendance

**Implementation of Evidence-Based Practices**

1.2%	Assertive Community Treatment
2.9%	Supported Housing
-	Supported Employment
-	Family Psychoeducation
14.4%	Integrated Dual Diagnosis Treatment (MH/SA)
-	Illness Self-Management and Recovery
12.5%	Medications Management
-	Therapeutic Foster Care
1.83%	MultiSystemic Therapy
-	Family Functional Therapy

**State Hospital Readmissions (Civil Status Patients)**

3%	State Hospital Readmissions: 30 Days
8%	State Hospital Readmissions: 180 Days
6%	Readmission to any psychiatric hospital: 30 Days

\* Based on 2007 URS data provided by US States and Territories per annual reporting guidelines.



The Community Mental Health Block Grant is administered by the Center for Mental Health Services within the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration of the US Department of Health and Human Services



## STATE MENTAL HEALTH INDICATORS BY REGION: CMHS Uniform Reporting System: URS Year 6

Basic Measures	North	South	Midwest	West	SouthWest	Rate	States Responding
Indicator 1: Penetration Rate (per 1000 population)	26.40	20.14	19.52	19.15	16.15	20.14	58
Indicator 2: Community Utilization (per 1000 population)	25.41	19.42	16.77	18.06	15.56	19.01	55
Indicator 3: State Hospital Utilization (per 1000 population)	0.46	0.90	0.56	0.31	0.68	0.60	52
Indicator 4: Medicaid Funding Status of Clients Served	58.2%	54.8%	61.1%	63.3%	50.0%	57.3%	46
Indicator 5: Employment Status (% employed)	23%	19%	24%	12%	25%	37%	55
Indicator 6: State Hospital Admission Rate	16.79	11.34	13.46	21.49	9.16	1.01	50
Indicator 7: Community Admission Rate	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.65	48
Indicator 8: State Hospital Median LOS Discharged Adults	49	21	28	76	20	49.0	49
Indicator 9: State Hospital Median LOS Resident Adults	65	39	49.5	98	49	964	49
Indicator 10: Percent of Client who meet SMI definition	60%	73%	76%	86%	62%	69.1%	57
Indicator 11: Adults with Co-occurring MH/SA Disorders	26%	17%	21%	23%	24%	23.8%	43
Indicator 12: Children with Co-occurring MH/SA Disorders	5%	4%	8%	15%	4%	6.3%	43

Adult Consumer Survey Measures	North	South	Midwest	West	SouthWest	Rate	States Responding
Indicator 13: Positive About Access	85%	86%	80%	85%	82%	85%	54
Indicator 14: Positive About Quality and Appropriateness	88%	88%	84%	86%	83%	86%	54
Indicator 15: Positive About Outcomes	78%	71%	68%	70%	69%	71%	55
Indicator 16: Positive on Participation in Treatment Planning	83%	80%	78%	86%	76%	82%	54
Indicator 17: Positive General Satisfaction with Services	87%	88%	82%	88%	86%	87%	55

Child/Family Consumer Survey Measures	North	South	Midwest	West	SouthWest	Rate	States Responding
Indicator 18: Positive About Access	82%	85%	84%	85%	81%	85%	50
Indicator 19: Positive About General Satisfaction	78%	85%	81%	85%	81%	84%	51
Indicator 20: Positive About Outcomes	65%	67%	83%	64%	71%	65%	51
Indicator 21: Positive on Participation in Treatment Planning	82%	87%	88%	88%	87%	87%	51
Indicator 22: Positive Cultural Sensitivity of Providers	79%	92%	92%	95%	91%	93%	50

Consumer Living Situations	North	South	Midwest	West	SouthWest	Rate	States Responding
Indicator 23: Living Situation: Private Residence	75%	82%	83%	79%	85%	80.1%	54
Indicator 24: Living Situation: Jails/Prisons	3.4%	1.4%	0.9%	3.9%	1.6%	2.2%	51
Indicator 25: Homeless Status of Clients	3.5%	2.1%	2.5%	4.6%	2.8%	3.0%	51

Hospital Readmissions	North	South	Midwest	West	SouthWest	Rate	States Responding
Indicator 26: State Hospital readmissions: 30 Days	8.1%	9.5%	12.6%	6.0%	7.5%	9.4%	49
Indicator 27: State Hospital readmissions: 180 Days	18.4%	22.6%	18.3%	13.4%	17.9%	19.9%	48
Indicator 28: Readmission to any psychiatric hospital: 30 Days	18.7%	6.5%	11.4%	8.8%	10.3%	14.2%	28

Mental Health Finance	North	South	Midwest	West	SouthWest	Rate	States Responding
Indicator 29: Percent of SMHA Expenditures for Community MH	71%	57%	71%	79%	71%	70%	51
Indicator 30: Percent of SMHA Revenues from State Sources	52%	49%	45%	36%	45%	46%	51

Regional groupings of States based on SAMHSA's Block Grant Regions.

## ACCESS DOMAIN: TABLE 1: Demographic Characteristics of Persons Served by the State Mental Health Authority, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

Age	Total Served: State N	Total Served: State %	Total Served: U.S. N	Total Served: U.S. N	Penetration Rates (rate per 1,000 population): State	Penetration Rate (rate per 1,000 population): Midwest	Penetration Rates (rate per 1,000 population): U.S.	States Reporting
0 to 12	1,922	5.2%	883,202	14.4%	6.1	16.5	16.9	58
13 to 17	2,173	5.8%	807,963	13.2%	17.0	34.0	37.7	58
18 to 20	2,386	6.4%	273,626	4.5%	30.0	21.1	21.8	58
21 to 64	30,003	80.7%	3,866,031	63.2%	29.7	21.5	22.0	58
65 to 74	493	1.3%	169,368	2.8%	4.4	7.2	9.0	58
75 and over	186	0.5%	111,912	1.8%	1.5	4.9	6.1	57
Not Available	-	-	9,539	0.2%				31
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>37,163</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>6,121,641</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>19.5</b>	<b>20.1</b>	<b>58</b>

Gender	Total Served: State N	Total Served: State %	Total Served: U.S. N	Total Served: U.S. N	Penetration Rates (rate per 1,000 population): State	Penetration Rate (rate per 1,000 population): Midwest	Penetration Rates (rate per 1,000 population): U.S.	States Reporting
Female	16,302	43.9%	3,134,214	51.2%	18.3	19.8	20.3	58
Male	20,861	56.1%	2,947,828	48.2%	23.8	19.2	19.7	58
Not Available	-	-	39,599	0.6%	-			38
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>37,163</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>6,121,641</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>19.5</b>	<b>20.1</b>	<b>58</b>

Race/Ethnicity	Total Served: State N	Total Served: State %	Total Served: U.S. N	Total Served: U.S. N	Penetration Rates (rate per 1,000 population): State	Penetration Rate (rate per 1,000 population): Midwest	Penetration Rates (rate per 1,000 population): U.S.	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	1,092	2.9%	72,878	1.2%	61.3	35.2	25.1	53
Asian	209	0.6%	80,296	1.3%	7.3	4.5	6.1	56
Black/African American	2,801	7.5%	1,228,744	20.1%	36.1	31.9	32.0	56
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	37	0.1%	12,662	0.2%	30.2	34.1	25.9	50
White	29,554	79.5%	3,794,159	62.0%	18.2	16.7	15.8	57
Hispanic	*	*	215,485	3.5%	*	17.0	13.7	11
Multi-Racial	3,462	9.3%	95,116	1.6%	169.4	19.7	22.4	46
Not Available	8	0.0%	622,301	10.2%				34
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>37,163</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>6,121,641</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>19.5</b>	<b>20.1</b>	<b>58</b>

Hispanic Origin	Total Served: State N	Total Served: State %	Total Served: U.S. N	Total Served: U.S. N	Penetration Rates (rate per 1,000 population): State	Penetration Rate (rate per 1,000 population): Midwest	Penetration Rates (rate per 1,000 population): U.S.	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	1,208	3.3%	519,995	9.7%	9.2	11.7	17.0	48
Not Hispanic or Latino	17,861	48.1%	4,116,658	77.1%	10.9	18.2	18.7	51
Hispanic Status Unknown	18,094	48.7%	702,945	13.2%				53
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>37,163</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>5,339,598</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>21.0</b>	<b>19.5</b>	<b>20.3</b>	<b>53</b>

Are Client Counts Unduplicated?

Unduplicated

Number States w/ Unduplicated Counts

47

**Note:**

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 2a, Table 2b and from the US Census Bureau.

All denominators use US Census data from 2006.

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

Regional groupings of States based on SAMHSA's Block Grant Regions.

\* Reported under Hispanic Origin

**State Notes:**

2A: Age The age was calculated as follows: [(July 1, 2006-- (Consumer Birth Date)) / 365.25]

Gender If multiple genders listed, the most frequent gender was reported.

Race: For race listed as "Other", reported in "More than one race reported".

Overall: See General Comments.


2B: Age The age was calculated as follows: [(July 1, 2006-- (Consumer Birth Date)) / 365.25]

Gender If multiple genders listed, the most frequent gender was reported.


Race: For Hispanic Origin Not Available, 76% of the data overall is missing from the database and 22% is listed as "Not Available".

Overall: See General Comments.

**Rate of Mental Health Service Utilization By Age (data from Access Domain: Table1):**  
**URS Year 6: Rate per 1000 population**



**Rate of Mental Health Service Utilization By Race & Ethnicity: (data from Access Domain: Table 1) URS Year 6: Rate per 1000 population**



## ACCESS DOMAIN: TABLE 2: Persons Served in Community Mental Health Programs by Age and Gender, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

Age	Served in Community: State N	Served in Community: State %	Served in Community: U.S. N	Served in Community: U.S. %	Penetration Rate per 1,000 population: State	Penetration Rate per 1,000 population: U.S.	States Reporting
0 to 17	3,680	11%	1,510,592	27%	8.3	21.3	54
18 to 20	2,141	6%	249,091	4%	26.9	20.6	55
21 to 64	26,601	81%	3,530,571	64%	26.4	20.9	55
65 and Over	530	2%	254,866	5%	2.3	7.1	55
Not Available	11	0%	8,818	0%			27
TOTAL	32,963	100%	5,553,938	100%	18.6	19.0	55

Gender	Served in Community: State N	Served in Community: State %	Served in Community: U.S. N	Served in Community: U.S. %	Penetration Rate per 1,000 population: State	Penetration Rate per 1,000 population: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	14,505	44%	2,843,031	51%	16.3	19.2	55
Male	18,458	56%	2,676,064	48%	21.1	18.6	55
Not Available	-	-	34,843	1%			35
TOTAL	32,963	100%	5,553,938	100%	18.6	19.0	55

**Note:**

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 3.


US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

**State Notes:**

Gender: If multiple genders listed, the most frequent gender was reported.

Age: The age was calculated as follows: [(July 1, 2006– (Consumer Birth Date)) / 365.25]

Overall: See General Comments





2007 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

ACCESS DOMAIN: TABLE 3: Persons Served in State Psychiatric Hospitals by Age and Gender, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

Age	Served in State Psychiatric Hospitals: State N	Served in State Psychiatric Hospitals: State %	Served in State Psychiatric Hospitals: U.S. N	Served in State Psychiatric Hospitals: U.S. %	Penetration rate per 1,000 population: State	Penetration rate per 1,000 population: U.S.	States Reporting
0 to 17	174	9%	15,785	9%	0.39	0.24	44
18 to 20	83	4%	8,664	5%	1.04	0.72	51
21 to 64	1,620	83%	143,502	82%	1.61	0.85	52
65 and Over	69	4%	7,622	4%	0.29	0.21	51
Not Available	-	-	10	0%			8
TOTAL	1,946	100%	175,583	100%	1.10	0.61	52

Gender	Served in State Psychiatric Hospitals: State N	Served in State Psychiatric Hospitals: State %	Served in State Psychiatric Hospitals: U.S. N	Served in State Psychiatric Hospitals: U.S. %	Penetration rate per 1,000 population: State	Penetration rate per 1,000 population: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	620	32%	64,076	36%	0.70	0.43	52
Male	1,326	68%	111,180	63%	1.51	0.77	52
Not Available	-	-	327	0%			15
TOTAL	1,946	100%	175,583	100%	1.10	0.60	52

**Note:**

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 3.

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

**State Notes:**

Gender: If multiple genders listed, the most frequent gender was reported.

Age: The age was calculated as follows: [(July 1, 2006– (Consumer Birth Date)) / 365.25]

Overall: See General Comments



## ACCESS DOMAIN: TABLE 4: Persons Served by SMHA System through Medicaid and Other Funding Sources by Race and Gender, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

Race/Ethnicity	Medicaid Only: State N	Non-Medicaid Only: State N	Both Medicaid & Other: State N	Total Served with Known Funding Status: State N	Medicaid Only: State %	Non-Medicaid Only: State %	Both Medicaid & Other: State %	Medicaid Only: U.S. N	Non-Medicaid Only: U.S. N	Both Medicaid & Other: U.S. N	Total Served with Known Funding Status: U.S. N	Medicaid Only: U.S. %	Non-Medicaid Only: U.S. %	Both Medicaid & Other: U.S. %	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	268	173	55	496	54%	35%	11%	31,885	26,636	9,435	67,956	47%	39%	14%	42
Asian	53	43	7	103	51%	42%	7%	22,386	26,432	19,533	68,351	33%	39%	29%	44
Black/African American	765	226	124	1,115	69%	20%	11%	452,453	345,373	164,903	962,729	47%	36%	17%	43
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	8	5	-	13	62%	38%	-	2,331	5,422	3,169	10,922	21%	50%	29%	36
White	4,913	5,771	1,678	12,362	40%	47%	14%	1,089,010	1,387,493	583,712	3,060,215	36%	45%	19%	45
Hispanic	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	64,554	85,429	56,959	206,942	31%	41%	28%	9
Multi-Racial	524	413	112	1,049	50%	39%	11%	29,874	31,425	25,042	86,341	35%	36%	29%	34
Not Available	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	221,285	220,125	83,703	525,113	42%	42%	16%	43
TOTAL	6,531	6,631	1,976	15,138	43%	44%	13%	1,913,778	2,128,335	946,456	4,988,569	38%	43%	19%	46

Hispanic Origin	Medicaid Only: State N	Non-Medicaid Only: State N	Both Medicaid & Other: State N	Total Served with Known Funding Status: State N	Medicaid Only: State %	Non-Medicaid Only: State %	Both Medicaid & Other: State %	Medicaid Only: U.S. N	Non-Medicaid Only: U.S. N	Both Medicaid & Other: U.S. N	Total Served with Known Funding Status: U.S. N	Medicaid Only: U.S. %	Non-Medicaid Only: U.S. %	Both Medicaid & Other: U.S. %	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	201	128	33	362	56%	35%	9%	170,049	184,832	101,899	456,780	37%	40%	22%	37
Not Hispanic or Latino	3,159	2,738	927	6,824	46%	40%	14%	1,262,437	1,453,183	513,690	3,229,310	39%	45%	16%	37
Not Available	3,171	3,765	1,016	7,952	40%	47%	13%	253,260	132,454	29,452	415,166	61%	32%	7%	33
TOTAL	6,531	6,631	1,976	15,138	43%	44%	13%	1,685,746	1,770,469	645,041	4,101,256	41%	43%	16%	40

Gender	Medicaid Only: State N	Non-Medicaid Only: State N	Both Medicaid & Other: State N	Total Served with Known Funding Status: State N	Medicaid Only: State %	Non-Medicaid Only: State %	Both Medicaid & Other: State %	Medicaid Only: U.S. N	Non-Medicaid Only: U.S. N	Both Medicaid & Other: U.S. N	Total Served with Known Funding Status: U.S. N	Medicaid Only: U.S. %	Non-Medicaid Only: U.S. %	Both Medicaid & Other: U.S. %	States Reporting
Female	3,569	2,917	981	7,467	48%	39%	13%	1,017,126	1,043,465	495,413	2,556,004	40%	41%	19%	45
Male	2,962	3,714	995	7,671	39%	48%	13%	886,734	1,067,399	448,817	2,402,950	37%	44%	19%	45
Not Available	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9,918	17,471	2,226	29,615	33%	59%	8%	27
TOTAL	6,531	6,631	1,976	15,138	43%	44%	13%	1,913,778	2,128,335	946,456	4,988,569	38%	43%	19%	46

\* Reported under Hispanic Origin

**Note:**

This table uses data from DIG Tables 5a, and 5b (Hispanic Origin).

**Duplication**

**Type of Medicaid Data Reported**

Data based on Medicaid Paid Claims -

Data based on Medicaid Eligibility, not Claims Yes

**State Notes**


5a Age The age was calculated as follows: [(July 1, 2006- (Consumer Birth Date))] / 365.25)

5a Gender If multiple genders listed, the most frequent gender was reported.


5a Overall See General Comments.

5b Overall See General Comments.

**Persons Served by Medicaid Funding Status**  
(data from Access Domain Table4): URS Year 6: State



**Persons Served by Medicaid Funding Status**  
(data from Access Domain Table4): URS Year 6: US



**ACCESS DOMAIN: TABLE 5: Demographic Characteristics of Adults with SMI and Children with SED Served by the State Mental Health Authority, FY 2007****STATE: Nebraska**

<b>Age</b>	<b>Total Served with SMI/SED: State N</b>	<b>Total Served with SMI/SED: State %</b>	<b>Total Served with SMI/SED: U.S. N</b>	<b>Total Served with SMI/SED: U.S. %</b>	<b>Penetration rate per 1,000 population: State</b>	<b>Penetration rate per 1,000 population: Midwest</b>	<b>Penetration rate per 1,000 population: U.S.</b>	<b>States Reporting</b>
0 to 12	965	4.6%	559,716	13.9%	3.0	16.5	10.7	57
13 to 17	1,116	5.3%	542,532	13.5%	8.7	24.3	25.3	57
18 to 20	967	4.6%	155,516	3.9%	12.2	9.9	12.4	56
21 to 64	17,375	82.8%	2,587,412	64.2%	17.2	13.4	14.7	57
65 to 74	408	1.9%	114,847	2.8%	3.6	4.7	6.1	57
75 and over	151	0.7%	65,801	1.6%	1.2	3.0	3.6	56
Not Available	-	-	4,442	0.1%				26
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>20,982</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>4,030,266</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>11.9</b>	<b>12.5</b>	<b>13.3</b>	<b>57</b>

<b>Gender</b>	<b>Total Served with SMI/SED: State N</b>	<b>Total Served with SMI/SED: State %</b>	<b>Total Served with SMI/SED: U.S. N</b>	<b>Total Served with SMI/SED: U.S. %</b>	<b>Penetration rate per 1,000 population: State</b>	<b>Penetration rate per 1,000 population: Midwest</b>	<b>Penetration rate per 1,000 population: U.S.</b>	<b>States Reporting</b>
Female	10,016	47.7%	2,056,178	51.0%	11.2	12.7	13.3	57
Male	10,966	52.3%	1,951,882	48.4%	12.5	12.3	13.0	57
Not Available	-	-	22,206	0.6%				39
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>20,982</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>4,030,266</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>11.9</b>	<b>12.5</b>	<b>13.3</b>	<b>57</b>

<b>Race/Ethnicity</b>	<b>Total Served with SMI/SED: State N</b>	<b>Total Served with SMI/SED: State %</b>	<b>Total Served with SMI/SED: U.S. N</b>	<b>Total Served with SMI/SED: U.S. %</b>	<b>Penetration rate per 1,000 population: State</b>	<b>Penetration rate per 1,000 population: Midwest</b>	<b>Penetration rate per 1,000 population: U.S.</b>	<b>States Reporting</b>
American Indian/Alaskan Native	579	2.8%	41,084	1.0%	32.5	23.4	14.1	53
Asian	103	0.5%	59,023	1.5%	3.6	2.7	4.5	56
Black/African American	1,881	9.0%	857,305	21.3%	24.2	20.8	22.4	55
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	16	0.1%	9,728	0.2%	13.1	22.7	20.0	48
White	16,433	78.3%	2,466,419	61.2%	10.1	10.7	10.3	57
Hispanic	*	*	149,441	3.7%	*	17.0	9.8	10
Multi-Racial	1,970	9.4%	72,521	1.8%	96.4	14.3	17.3	45
Not Available	-	-	374,745	9.3%	-			
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>20,982</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>4,030,266</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>11.9</b>	<b>12.5</b>	<b>13.3</b>	<b>57</b>

<b>Hispanic Origin</b>	<b>Total Served with SMI/SED: State N</b>	<b>Total Served with SMI/SED: State %</b>	<b>Total Served with SMI/SED: U.S. N</b>	<b>Total Served with SMI/SED: U.S. %</b>	<b>Penetration rate per 1,000 population: State</b>	<b>Penetration rate per 1,000 population: Midwest</b>	<b>Penetration rate per 1,000 population: U.S.</b>	<b>States Reporting</b>
Hispanic or Latino	577	2.7%	326,513	9.9%	4.4	6.8	10.7	48
Not Hispanic or Latino	10,741	51.2%	2,672,294	81.2%	6.6	11.9	12.1	51
Hispanic Status Unknown	9,664	46.1%	290,932	8.8%				52
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>20,982</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>3,289,739</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>11.9</b>	<b>12.5</b>	<b>12.8</b>	<b>52</b>

**Note:**

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 14a, Table 14b and from the US Census Bureau.

All denominators use US Census data from 2006.

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

Regional groupings of States based on SAMHSA's Block Grant Regions.

\* Reported under Hispanic Origin

**State Used Federal SMI Definition?** -**State Used Federal SED Definition?** -**State Notes:**

14a Age The age was calculated as follows: July 1, 2006 - (consumer birth date)/365.25. Only adolescents age 3-17 were included in this table, ages 0-2 were excluded.

Gender If multiple genders listed, the most frequent gender was reported.

Race For race listed as "other," reported in "More than one race reported."

Overall See General Comments


14b Age The age was calculated as follows: [(July 1, 2006-- (Consumer Birth Date))] / 365.25]. Only adolescents age 3-17 were included in this table, ages 0-2 were excluded.

Gender If multiple genders listed, the most frequent gender was reported.


Race For Hispanic Origin Not Available, 76% of the data overall is missing from the database and 22% is listed as "Not Available".

Overall See General Comments

**Rate of Mental Health Service Utilization By Age: (data from Access Domain: Table 5) URS  
2007: Rate per 1000 population**



**Rate of Mental Health Service Utilization By Race & Hispanic Origin: (data from Access Domain: Table 5) URS 2007: Rate per 1000 population**



2007 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 1: Number of Admissions During the Year to State Hospital Inpatient and Community-Based Programs, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

State Psychiatric Hospitals	State Admissions During the Year	State Total Served at the Start of Year	State Total Served During Year	U.S. Admissions During Year	U.S. Total Served at Start of Year	U.S. Total Served During Year	Admission Rate: State	Admission Rate: U.S.	States Reporting
Total	457	398	1,946	172,853	44,901	171,533	0.23	1.01	50
Children	144	43	174	16,957	2,134	15,776	0.83	1.07	40
Adults	313	355	1,772	155,892	42,762	155,739	0.18	1.00	50
Age NA	-	-	-	4	5	5	-	0.80	3

Other Inpatient	State Admissions During the Year	State Total Served at the Start of Year	State Total Served During Year	U.S. Admissions During Year	U.S. Total Served at Start of Year	U.S. Total Served During Year	Admission Rate: State	Admission Rate: U.S.	States Reporting
Total	2,382	4,641	6,605	446,963	36,580	346,751	0.36	1.29	38
Children	120	137	249	62,790	3,000	53,398	0.48	1.18	30
Adults	2,262	4,504	6,353	384,149	33,560	293,277	0.36	1.31	38
Age NA	-	-	3	24	20	23	-	1.04	4

Residential Treatment Centers	State Admissions During the Year	State Total Served at the Start of Year	State Total Served During Year	U.S. Admissions During Year	U.S. Total Served at Start of Year	U.S. Total Served During Year	Admission Rate: State	Admission Rate: U.S.	States Reporting
Total	23	25	53	39,855	9,478	21,583	0.43	1.85	30
Children	23	23	50	25,927	5,894	16,631	0.46	1.56	29
Adults	-	2	3	13,928	3,584	4,885	-	2.85	19
Age NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Community Programs	State Admissions During the Year	State Total Served at the Start of Year	State Total Served During Year	U.S. Admissions During Year	U.S. Total Served at Start of Year	U.S. Total Served During Year	Admission Rate: State	Admission Rate: U.S.	States Reporting
Total	12,888	21,984	32,963	3,237,586	2,769,298	5,011,812	0.39	0.65	48
Children	1,455	2,290	3,680	945,160	707,519	1,360,397	0.40	0.69	47
Adults	11,433	19,694	29,272	2,288,918	2,059,818	3,642,822	0.39	0.63	48
Age NA	-	-	11	3,508	1,961	5,745	-	0.61	15

**Note:**

Admission Rate = number of admissions divided by total served during the year.

US Admissions During Year uses data from states reporting data only. States are only included in "US Total Served" if they also reported data on admissions.

US Total Served During Year is calculated using data in URS/DIG Table 3, State Total Served During Year is calculated using data from URS/DIG Tables 3.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Tables 3 and 6.

**State Notes:**

3 Age The age was calculated as follows: [(July 1, 2006– (Consumer Birth Date)) / 365.25]

Gender If multiple genders listed, the most frequent gender was reported.

Overall See General Comments

6 Hospital Includes Adults admitted to an inpatient unit and Adolescents admitted to either a sex offender unit or a chemical dependency unit at a State Regional Mental Health Center.

Other Inpatient Includes clients admitted to one of the psychiatric inpatient hospitals within Nebraska, other than a State Regional Mental Health Center.

Residential Adolescents included here were admitted to one of the adolescent psychiatric units at a state psychiatric hospital. None of the discharged children had a length of stay over 1 year.

Community Includes clients receiving outpatient services at a State Regional Mental Health Center or clients receiving services at a community provider.

Overall See General Comments. There is a limited capacity to serve people in the community base settings. That translates into limited program capacity in local based programs. Limited capacity means that the local program could be forced to ask people seeking admission to be placed on a waiting list. That would lead to decreased admissions.

## APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 2: Length of Stay (LOS) in State Psychiatric Hospitals, Other Psychiatric Inpatient and Residential Treatment Centers, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

	Average LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: State	Median LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: State	In Facility <1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: State	In Facility <1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: State	In Facility >1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: State	In Facility >1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: State	Average LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: U.S.	Median LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: U.S.	In Facility <1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	In Facility <1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	In Facility >1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	In Facility >1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	States Reporting
<b>State Hospitals</b>													
All Age Groups	-	-	-	-	-	-	150	46	74	59	1,177	769	6
Children	161	126	167	152	492	449	70	50	61	46	328	300	36
Adults	299	86	194	211	1,257	855	157	49	151	83	1,634	964	49
NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	104	0	-	-	60	60	-

	Average LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: State	Median LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: State	In Facility <1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: State	In Facility <1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: State	In Facility >1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: State	In Facility >1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: State	Average LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: U.S.	Median LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: U.S.	In Facility <1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	In Facility <1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	In Facility >1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	In Facility >1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	States Reporting
<b>Other Inpatient</b>													
All Age Groups	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	6	18	11	245	211	5
Children	56	3	184	197	1,028	1,044	11	6	22	16	280	281	24
Adults	97	8	180	179	999	1,029	22	13	29	21	965	880	32
NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-

	Average LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: State	Median LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: State	In Facility <1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: State	In Facility <1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: State	In Facility >1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: State	In Facility >1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: State	Average LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: U.S.	Median LOS (Days) Discharged Clients: U.S.	In Facility <1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	In Facility <1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	In Facility >1 Yr Average LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	In Facility >1 Yr Median LOS: Resident Clients: U.S.	States Reporting
<b>Residential Treatment Centers</b>													
All Age Groups	-	-	-	-	-	-	98	85	41	37	214	160	2
Children	165	157	154	113	663	606	141	127	99	92	375	348	23
Adults	120	120	-	-	-	-	187	144	81	71	502	412	14
NA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

**Note:**

Resident clients are clients who were receiving services in inpatient settings at the end of the reporting period.  
This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 6.

**State Notes:**


Hospital Includes Adults admitted to an inpatient unit and Adolescents admitted to either a sex offender unit or a chemical dependency unit at a State Regional Mental Health Center.

Other Inpatient Includes clients admitted to one of the psychiatric inpatient hospitals within Nebraska, other than a State Regional Mental Health Center.

Residential Adolescents included here were admitted to one of the adolescent psychiatric units at a state psychiatric hospital. None of the discharged children had a length of stay over 1 year.

Community Includes clients receiving outpatient services at a State Regional Mental Health Center or clients receiving services at a community provider.

Overall See General Comments. There is a limited capacity to serve people in the community base settings. That translates into limited program capacity in local based programs. Limited capacity means that the local program could be forced to ask people seeking admission to be placed on a waiting list. That would lead to decreased admissions.



2007 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

**APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 3: Percent of Adults & Children Served who Meet the Federal Definition of SMI/SED, and Percent of Adults & Children Served who have Co-Occurring MH/AOD Disorders, FY 2007**

**STATE: Nebraska**

<b>Adults and Children who meet the Federal Definitions of SMI/SED</b>	<b>State Average</b>	<b>US Average</b>	<b>US Median</b>	<b>States Reporting</b>
Percent of Adults served through the SMHA who meet the Federal definition for SMI	57%	69%	70%	57
Percent of Children served through the SMHA who meet the Federal definition for SED	51%	69%	74%	56

<b>Co-occurring MH and Substance Abuse Consumers</b>	<b>State Average</b>	<b>US Average</b>	<b>US Median</b>	<b>States Reporting</b>
Percent of Adults served through the SMHA who had a co-occurring MH and AOD disorder	80%	24%	20%	43
Percent of Children through the SMHA who had a co-occurring MH and AOD disorder	28%	6%	4%	43
Percent of Adults served through the SMHA who had a co-occurring MH and AOD disorder who meet the Federal definition for SMI	77%	26%	19%	45
Percent of Children served through the SMHA who had a co-occurring MH and AOD disorder who meet the Federal definition for SED	17%	8%	4%	42

**Note:**

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 12.

Do All Adults Served by SMHA meet the Federal Definitions of SMI?

No

Do All Children Served by SMHA meet the Federal Definitions of SED?

No

**State Notes:**

None

**APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 4: Living Situation of Consumers Served by State Mental Health Agency Systems, FY 2007**
**STATE: Nebraska**

<b>All Persons Served</b>	<b>Living Situation: State</b>	<b>Percent in Living Situation: State</b>	<b>Percent with Known Living Situation: State</b>	<b>Living Situation: U.S.</b>	<b>Percent in Living Situation: U.S.</b>	<b>Percent with Known Living Situation: U.S.</b>	<b>States Reporting</b>
Private Residence	29,874	80.4%	80.5%	3,617,109	66.2%	80.1%	54
Foster Home	135	0.4%	0.4%	97,694	1.8%	2.2%	49
Residential Care	838	2.3%	2.3%	192,921	3.5%	4.3%	52
Crisis Residence	-			56,562	1.0%	1.3%	25
Residential Tx Centers	43	0.1%	0.1%	14,359	0.3%	0.3%	38
Institutional Setting	1,770	4.8%	4.8%	155,433	2.8%	3.4%	50
Jail/Correctional Facility	466	1.3%	1.3%	98,813	1.8%	2.2%	51
Homeless or Shelter	1,636	4.4%	4.4%	133,844	2.4%	3.0%	51
Other Living Situation	2,334	6.3%	6.3%	146,830	2.7%	3.3%	45
Living Situation NA	67	0.2%		950,164	17.4%		46
<b>Total</b>	<b>37,163</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>5,463,730</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>55</b>

<b>Children under age 18</b>	<b>Living Situation: State</b>	<b>Percent in Living Situation: State</b>	<b>Percent with Known Living Situation: State</b>	<b>Living Situation: U.S.</b>	<b>Percent in Living Situation: U.S.</b>	<b>Percent with Known Living Situation: U.S.</b>	<b>States Reporting</b>
Private Residence	3,629	88.6%	88.9%	1,001,930	70.1%	84.3%	53
Foster Home	99	2.4%	2.4%	70,743	4.9%	5.9%	49
Residential Care	23	0.6%	0.6%	26,246	1.8%	2.2%	40
Crisis Residence	-			10,561	0.7%	0.9%	21
Residential Tx Centers	43	1.1%	1.1%	12,617	0.9%	1.1%	37
Institutional Setting	171	4.2%	4.2%	17,035	1.2%	1.4%	43
Jail/Correctional Facility	22	0.5%	0.5%	24,023	1.7%	2.0%	46
Homeless or Shelter	30	0.7%	0.7%	5,310	0.4%	0.4%	46
Other Living Situation	63	1.5%	1.5%	20,632	1.4%	1.7%	42
Living Situation NA	15	0.4%		240,930	16.8%		42
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,095</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1,430,027</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>54</b>

<b>Adults over age 18</b>	<b>Living Situation: State</b>	<b>Percent in Living Situation: State</b>	<b>Percent with Known Living Situation: State</b>	<b>Living Situation: U.S.</b>	<b>Percent in Living Situation: U.S.</b>	<b>Percent with Known Living Situation: U.S.</b>	<b>States Reporting</b>
Private Residence	26,245	79.4%	79.5%	2,612,080	64.9%	78.7%	54
Foster Home	36	0.1%	0.1%	26,842	0.7%	0.8%	43
Residential Care	815	2.5%	2.5%	166,495	4.1%	5.0%	52
Crisis Residence	-			45,999	1.1%	1.4%	22
Residential Tx Centers	-			1,734	0.0%	0.1%	19
Institutional Setting	1,599	4.8%	4.8%	138,251	3.4%	4.2%	50
Jail/Correctional Facility	444	1.3%	1.3%	74,758	1.9%	2.3%	50
Homeless or Shelter	1,606	4.9%	4.9%	128,128	3.2%	3.9%	51
Other Living Situation	2,271	6.9%	6.9%	125,890	3.1%	3.8%	44
Living Situation NA	52	0.2%		704,407	17.5%		43
<b>Total</b>	<b>33,068</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>4,024,584</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>55</b>

**Note:**

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table15.

**State Notes**

See general notes. Living status based on living status at time of most recent admission, if more than one.



## APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 5: Persons who were Homeless by Age, Gender, and Race, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

Age	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State N	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State %	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S. N	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S. %	Percent of Total Consumers Served Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State	Percent of Total Consumers Served Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S.	States Reporting
0 to 17	30	2%	5,310	4%	0.7%	0.4%	46
18 to 64	1,591	97%	124,741	93%	4.9%	4.0%	51
65 and Over	15	1%	3,387	3%	2.2%	1.6%	44
Not Available	-	-	406	0%		9.5%	13
TOTAL	1,636	100.0%	133,844	100%	4.4%	3.0%	51

Gender	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State N	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State %	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S. N	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S. %	Percent of Total Consumers Served Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State	Percent of Total Consumers Served Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	552	34%	51,963	39%	3.4%	2.2%	51
Male	1,084	66%	81,639	61%	5.2%	3.7%	51
Not Available	-	-	236	0%		1.8%	19
TOTAL	1,636	100.0%	133,838	100%	4.4%	3.0%	51

Race	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State N	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State %	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S. N	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S. %	Percent of Total Consumers Served Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State	Percent of Total Consumers Served Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S.	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	66	4%	2,039	2%	6.1%	3.8%	47
Asian	8	0%	1,018	1%	3.8%	1.9%	39
Black/African American	272	17%	42,941	32%	9.7%	4.6%	48
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	-	-	285	0%		3.3%	25
White	1,137	69%	71,234	53%	3.9%	2.5%	49
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	2,692	2%	*	1.9%	7
Multi-Racial	153	9%	2,197	2%	4.4%	2.8%	31
Not Available	-	-	11,620	9%		2.8%	40
TOTAL	1,636	100.0%	133,844	100%	4.4%	3.0%	51

Hispanic Origin	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State N	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State %	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S. N	Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S. %	Percent of Total Consumers Served Homeless/ Living in Shelters: State	Percent of Total Consumers Served Homeless/ Living in Shelters: U.S.	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	42	3%	11,929	11%	3.5%	2.6%	42
Not Hispanic or Latino	1,000	61%	86,696	78%	5.6%	2.8%	44
Not Available	594	36%	12,776	11%	3.3%	3.4%	30
TOTAL	1,636	100.0%	111,401	100%	4.4%	2.8%	47

When is Living Situation Measured:	At Admission	At Discharge	Updated Quarterly	Other Time Period
NE	Yes	Yes	-	-
U.S.	39	21	8	28

**Note:**

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 15.


US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

\* Reported under Hispanic Origin


**State Notes:**

See general notes. Living status based on living status at time of most recent admission, if more than one.


**Percent of Persons Served in MH Programs who were Homeless, by Age (data from Appropriateness Domain: Table 5): Year 6**



**Percent of Persons Served in MH Programs, who were Homeless, By Gender (data from Appropriateness Domain: Table 5): Year 6**



**Percent of Persons Served who were Homeless by Race (data from Appropriateness Domain: Table 5): Year 6**



## APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 6: Living in Private Residence by Age, Gender, and Race, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

Age	Private Residence: State N	Private Residence: State %	Private Residence: U.S. N	Private Residence: U.S. %	Percent of Total Consumers in Private Residence: State	Percent of Total Consumers in Private Residence: U.S.	States Reporting
0 to 17	3,629	12%	1,001,930	28%	88.9%	84.3%	53
18 to 64	25,741	86%	2,463,181	68%	79.6%	79.3%	54
65 and Over	504	2%	148,899	4%	74.4%	69.9%	53
Not Available	-	-	3,099	0%	-	72.2%	23
TOTAL	29,874	100.0%	3,617,109	100%	80.5%	80.1%	54

Gender	Private Residence: State N	Private Residence: State %	Private Residence: U.S. N	Private Residence: U.S. %	Percent of Total Consumers in Private Residence: State	Percent of Total Consumers in Private Residence: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	13,552	45%	1,936,995	54%	83.3%	83.5%	54
Male	16,322	55%	1,668,692	46%	78.4%	76.6%	54
Not Available	-	-	11,056	0%	-	83.9%	32
TOTAL	29,874	100.0%	3,616,743	100%	80.5%	80.1%	54

Race	Private Residence: State N	Private Residence: State %	Private Residence: U.S. N	Private Residence: U.S. %	Percent of Total Consumers in Private Residence: State	Percent of Total Consumers in Private Residence: U.S.	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	864	3%	41,640	1%	79.4%	78.2%	51
Asian	172	1%	43,202	1%	82.3%	81.4%	53
Black/African American	1,903	6%	699,728	19%	68.0%	75.1%	53
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	34	0%	6,903	0%	91.9%	79.9%	44
White	24,338	81%	2,312,798	64%	82.4%	81.7%	53
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	118,741	3%	*	83.1%	7
Multi-Racial	2,563	9%	62,081	2%	74.4%	78.4%	10
Not Available	-	-	331,872	9%	-	80.0%	43
TOTAL	29,874	100.0%	3,616,965	100%	80.5%	80.1%	52

Hispanic Origin	Private Residence: State N	Private Residence: State %	Private Residence: U.S. N	Private Residence: U.S. %	Percent of Total Consumers in Private Residence: State	Percent of Total Consumers in Private Residence: U.S.	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	1,015	3%	388,540	12%	84.1%	83.9%	45
Not Hispanic or Latino	13,579	45%	2,476,081	79%	76.3%	80.3%	48
Not Available	15,280	51%	281,740	9%	84.5%	74.8%	38
TOTAL	29,874	100.0%	3,146,361	100%	80.5%	80.2%	50

**Note:**

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 15.

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

\* Reported under Hispanic Origin Category

**State Notes:**

See general notes. Living status based on living status at time of most recent admission, if more than one.

## APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 7: Living in Jails &amp; Other Correctional Settings by Age, Gender and Race, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

Age	Jails & other Correctional Settings: State N	Jails & other Correctional Settings: State %	Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S. N	Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S. %	Percent of Consumers in Jails & other Correctional Settings: State	Percent of Consumers in Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S.	States Reporting
0 to 17	22	5%	24,023	24%	0.5%	2.0%	46
18 to 64	444	95%	73,952	75%	1.4%	2.4%	50
65 and Over	-	-	806	1%	-	0.4%	33
Not Available	-	-	32	0%	-	0.7%	8
TOTAL	466	100.0%	98,813	100%	1.3%	2.2%	51

Gender	Jails & other Correctional Settings: State N	Jails & other Correctional Settings: State %	Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S. N	Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S. %	Percent of Consumers in Jails & other Correctional Settings: State	Percent of Consumers in Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	117	25%	22,375	23%	0.7%	1.0%	50
Male	349	75%	76,293	77%	1.7%	3.5%	51
Not Available	-	-	145	0%	-	1.1%	16
TOTAL	466	100.0%	98,813	100%	1.3%	2.2%	51

Race	Jails & other Correctional Settings: State N	Jails & other Correctional Settings: State %	Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S. N	Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S. %	Percent of Consumers in Jails & other Correctional Settings: State	Percent of Consumers in Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S.	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	24	5%	1,103	1%	2.2%	2.1%	36
Asian	3	1%	664	1%	1.4%	1.3%	31
Black/African American	54	12%	31,230	32%	1.9%	3.4%	47
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	-	-	313	0%	-	3.6%	16
White	324	70%	44,385	45%	1.1%	1.6%	47
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	5,762	6%	*	4.0%	6
Multi-Racial	61	13%	1,414	1%	1.8%	1.8%	27
Not Available	-	-	13,942	14%	-	3.4%	42
TOTAL	466	100.0%	98,813	100%	1.3%	2.2%	50

Hispanic Origin	Jails & other Correctional Settings: State N	Jails & other Correctional Settings: State %	Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S. N	Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S. %	Percent of Consumers in Jails & other Correctional Settings: State	Percent of Consumers in Jails & other Correctional Settings: U.S.	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	18	4%	10,322	13%	1.5%	2.2%	38
Not Hispanic or Latino	253	54%	60,193	77%	1.4%	2.0%	45
Not Available	195	42%	8,032	10%	1.1%	2.1%	30
TOTAL	466	100.0%	78,547	100%	1.3%	2.0%	48

**Note:**

US totals are based on states reporting.


This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 15.

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

\* Reported under Hispanic Origin

**State Notes:**

See general notes. Living status based on living status at time of most recent admission, if more than one.



2007 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 8: Evidence-Based Practices Reported by SMHAs, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

Adult EBP Services	EBP Services: NE	SMI Population: NE	EBP Services: U.S.	SMI Population: U.S.	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Services: NE	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Services: U.S.	Measuring Fidelity: NE	Measuring Fidelity: U.S.	States Reporting
Supported Housing	557	18,901	82,230	1,530,173	2.9%	5.4%	No	3	32
Supported Employment	-		45,279	1,817,599		2.5%	No	13	37
Assertive Community Treatment	221	18,901	57,054	2,083,707	1.2%	2.7%	No	21	37
Family PsychoEducation	-		14,875	817,028		1.8%	-	3	14
Dual Diagnosis Treatment	2,714	18,901	38,673	919,841	14.4%	4.2%	-	9	22
Illness Self Management	-		118,413	771,596		15.3%	-	5	18
Medications Management	2,360	18,901	194,637	673,270	12.5%	28.9%	-	2	14

Child/Adolescent EBP Services	EBP Services: NE	SED Population: NE	EBP Services: U.S.	SED Population: U.S.	Penetration Rate: % of SED Consumers Receiving EBP Services: NE	Penetration Rate: % of SED Consumers Receiving EBP Services: U.S.	Measuring Fidelity: NE	Measuring Fidelity: U.S.	States Reporting
Therapeutic Foster Care	-		12,462	477,156		2.6%	-	7	27
Multi Systemic Therapy	38	2,081	4,680	346,460	1.8%	1.4%	No	7	16
Functional Family Therapy	-		6,204	162,890		3.8%	-	5	10

**Note:**

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Tables 16 and 17

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

**State Notes:**

T16 Nebraska established a new program title, "Housing Related Assistance Program." Under the Housing Related Assistance program, adults who are extremely low income with SMI may qualify for assistance, including rental payments, utility payments, security and utility deposits, and other related costs and payments. The first year of the program was FY 2006. Under

T17 Behavioral Health Reform ACT was expanded In addition when all adult psychiatric services were closed at the Hastings Regional Center the HRC ACT team was transferred to the See General Comments.

## APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 9: Evidence-Based Practices 1: Assertive Community Treatment (ACT), by Age, Gender and Race, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

Age	ACT: State N	ACT: State %	ACT: U.S. N	ACT: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: State	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: U.S.	States Reporting
18 to 20	1	0%	1,059	2%	967	83,732	0.1%	1.3%	44
21 to 64	215	97%	48,283	85%	17,375	1,527,478	1.2%	3.2%	44
65 to 74	5	2%	1,792	3%	408	65,695	1.2%	2.7%	44
75 and Over	-	-	418	1%	151	36,552	-	1.1%	44
Not Available	-	-	5,491	10%	-	361,186	-	1.5%	19
TOTAL	221	100%	57,054	100%	18,901	2,083,707	1.2%	2.7%	49

Gender	ACT: State N	ACT: State %	ACT: U.S. N	ACT: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: State	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	115	52%	23,293	41%	9,280	918,869	1.2%	2.5%	43
Male	106	48%	28,123	49%	9,621	713,255	1.1%	3.9%	43
Not Available	-	-	5,638	10%	-	776,811	-	0.7%	28
TOTAL	221	100%	57,054	100%	18,901	2,083,707	1.2%	2.7%	49

Race	ACT: State N	ACT: State %	ACT: U.S. N	ACT: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: State	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: U.S.	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	-	-	523	1%	508	14,641	-	3.6%	41
Asian	2	1%	683	1%	89	19,754	2.2%	3.5%	43
Black/African American	46	21%	13,871	24%	1,662	355,133	2.8%	3.9%	42
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	-	-	256	0%	15	4,536	-	5.6%	39
White	164	74%	32,773	57%	14,862	1,093,087	1.1%	3.0%	44
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	17	0%	*	3,681	*	0.5%	5
Multi-Racial	-	-	882	2%	1,765	18,180	-	4.9%	32
Not Available	9	4%	8,012	14%	-	757,785	-	1.1%	45
TOTAL	221	100%	57,054	100%	18,901	2,083,707	1.2%	2.7%	49

Hispanic Origin	ACT: State N	ACT: State %	ACT: U.S. N	ACT: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: State	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: U.S.	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	4	2%	3,214	6%	495	150,507	0.8%	2.1%	39
Not Hispanic or Latino	217	98%	42,549	75%	9,919	1,263,139	2.2%	3.4%	40
Not Available	-	-	8,938	16%	8,487	832,834	-	1.1%	39
TOTAL	221	100%	57,054	100%	18,901	2,083,707	1.2%	2.7%	49

Fidelity	ACT: State N	ACT: U.S. N	ACT: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: State	Penetration Rate: % of Consumers Receiving EBP Services: U.S.	States Reporting
Measures Fidelity	-	27,717	49%	-	1,211,877	-	2.3%	21
Does Not Measure Fidelity	221	29,337	51%	18,901	1,095,784	1.2%	2.7%	28

\* Reported under Hispanic Origin

1. State Measures Fidelity of EBP? No

States Measuring Fidelity = 21

2. What Fidelity Measure is Used? -

3. Who Conducts Fidelity Measure? -

4. How often is Fidelity Measured? -

5. Is the SAMHSA EBP Toolkit used to  
guide EBP Implementation?

Yes

SAMHSA Toolkit used = 15

6. Have staff been specifically trained  
to implement the EBP?

Yes

EBP Training = 22

**Note:**

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 16

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

**State Notes:**

Nebraska established a new program title, "Housing Related Assistance Program." Under the Housing Related Assistance program, adults who are extremely low income with SMI may qualify for assistance, including rental payments, utility payments, security and utility deposits, and other related costs and payments. The first year of the program was FY 2006. Under Behavioral Health Reform, ACT was expanded. In addition, when all adult psychiatric services were closed at the Hastings Regional Center, the HRC ACT team was transferred to the community.

## APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 10: Evidence-Based Practices 2: Supported Employment, by Age, Gender, &amp; Race, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

Age	Supported Employment: State N	Supported Employment: State %	Supported Employment: U.S. N	Supported Employment: U.S. %	Adults with SMI - State N	Adults with SMI (States reporting EBP): U.S. N	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
18 to 20	-	-	1,827	4%	967	60,149		3.0%	26
21 to 64	-	-	35,889	79%	17,375	1,292,772		2.8%	30
65 to 74	-	-	464	1%	408	53,742		0.9%	25
75 and Over	-	-	102	0%	151	24,628		0.4%	17
Not Available	-	-	6,296	14%	-	368,847	-	1.7%	12
TOTAL	-	-	45,279	98%	18,901	1,817,599		2.5%	37

Gender	Supported Employment: State N	Supported Employment: State %	Supported Employment: U.S. N	Supported Employment: U.S. %	Adults with SMI - State N	Adults with SMI (States reporting EBP): U.S. N	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	-	-	17,826	39%	9,280	765,959		2.3%	29
Male	-	-	20,437	45%	9,621	598,827		3.4%	29
Not Available	-	-	6,503	14%	-	777,887	-	0.8%	10
TOTAL	-	-	45,279	99%	18,901	1,817,599		2.5%	37

Race	Supported Employment: State N	Supported Employment: State %	Supported Employment: U.S. N	Supported Employment: U.S. %	Adults with SMI - State N	Adults with SMI (States reporting EBP): U.S. N	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	-	-	379	1%	508	9,777		3.9%	24
Asian	-	-	554	1%	89	17,608		3.1%	24
Black/African American	-	-	6,177	14%	1,662	271,947		2.3%	28
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	-	-	209	0%	15	4,197		5.0%	15
White	-	-	26,489	59%	14,862	921,249		2.9%	28
Hispanic or Latino	-	-	17	0%	*	3,324	-	0.5%	2
Multi-Racial	-	-	797	2%	1,765	12,021		6.6%	19
Not Available	-	-	10,741	24%	-	755,213	-	1.4%	29
TOTAL	-	-	45,279	100%	18,901	1,817,599		2.5%	37

Hispanic Origin	Supported Employment: State N	Supported Employment: State %	Supported Employment: U.S. N	Supported Employment: U.S. %	Adults with SMI - State N	Adults with SMI (States reporting EBP): U.S. N	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	-	-	2,120	5%	495	148,819		1.4%	25
Not Hispanic or Latino	-	-	33,018	73%	9,919	1,054,203		3.1%	26
Not Available	-	-	7,680	17%	8,487	776,024		1.0%	24
TOTAL	-	-	45,279	95%	18,901	1,817,599		2.5%	37

Fidelity	Supported Employment: State N	Supported Employment: U.S. N	Supported Employment: U.S. %	Adults with SMI - State N	Adults with SMI (States reporting EBP): U.S. N	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
Measures Fidelity	-	14,013	31%	-	1,211,877	-	1.2%	13
Does Not Measure Fidelity	-	31,507	69%	18,901	1,684,647	#VALUE!	1.9%	24

\* Reported under Hispanic Origin

1. State Measures Fidelity of EBP? No

States Measuring Fidelity = 13

2. What Fidelity Measure is Used? -

3. Who Conducts Fidelity Measure? -

4. How often is Fidelity Measured? -

5. Is the SAMHSA EBP Toolkit used to guide EBP Implementation? -

SAMHSA Toolkit used = 15

6. Have staff been specifically trained to implement the EBP? -

EBP Training = 15

**Note:**

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 16

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

**State Notes:**

Nebraska established a new program title, "Housing Related Assistance Program." Under the Housing Related Assistance program, adults who are extremely low income with SMI may qualify for assistance, including rental payments, utility payments, security and utility deposits, and other related costs and payments. The first year of the program was FY 2006. Under Behavioral Health Reform, ACT was expanded. In addition, when all adult psychiatric services were closed at the Hastings Regional Center, the HRC ACT team was transferred to the community.



## APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: TABLE 11: Evidence-Based Practices 3: Supported Housing by Age, Gender &amp; Race, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

Age	Supported Housing: State N	Supported Housing: State %	Supported Housing: U.S. N	Supported Housing: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
18 to 20	13	2%	2,247	3%	967	53,376	1.3%	4.2%	25
21 to 64	543	97%	69,303	84%	17,375	1,171,411	3.1%	5.9%	27
65 to 74	1	0%	2,140	3%	408	50,675	0.2%	4.2%	26
75 and Over	-	-	643	1%	151	25,411	-	2.5%	23
Not Available	-	-	7,897	10%	-	219,784	-	3.6%	10
TOTAL	557	100%	82,230	100%	18,901	1,530,173	2.9%	5.4%	32

Gender	Supported Housing: State N	Supported Housing: State %	Supported Housing: U.S. N	Supported Housing: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	331	59%	37,786	46%	9,280	681,264	3.6%	5.5%	26
Male	226	41%	36,493	44%	9,621	545,184	2.3%	6.7%	26
Not Available	-	-	8,146	10%	-	303,378	-	2.7%	9
TOTAL	557	100%	82,230	100%	18,901	1,530,173	2.9%	5.4%	32

Race	Supported Housing: State N	Supported Housing: State %	Supported Housing: U.S. N	Supported Housing: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	9	2%	1,164	1%	508	9,249	1.8%	12.6%	22
Asian	3	1%	1,138	1%	89	16,033	3.4%	7.1%	21
Black/African American	57	10%	16,323	20%	1,662	241,026	3.4%	6.8%	24
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	1	0%	307	0%	15	4,563	6.7%	6.7%	19
White	470	84%	50,039	61%	14,862	801,991	3.2%	6.2%	25
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	20	0%	*	27	*	74.1%	5
Multi-Racial	15	3%	-	-	1,765	18,872	0.8%	-	5
Not Available	2	0%	12,609	15%	-	289,689	-	4.4%	25
TOTAL	557	100%	82,230	99%	18,901	1,530,173	2.9%	5.4%	32

Hispanic Origin	Supported Housing: State N	Supported Housing: State %	Supported Housing: U.S. N	Supported Housing: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	20	4%	6,084	7%	495	138,219	4.0%	4.4%	21
Not Hispanic or Latino	522	94%	61,191	74%	9,919	965,124	5.3%	6.3%	25
Not Available	15	3%	14,969	18%	8,487	423,490	0.2%	3.5%	25
TOTAL	557	100%	82,230	100%	18,901	1,530,173	2.9%	5.4%	32

Fidelity	Supported Housing: State N	Supported Housing: U.S. N	Supported Housing: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
Measures Fidelity	-	7,934	10%	-	1,211,877	-	0.7%	3
Does Not Measure Fidelity	557	75,341	90%	18,901	2,025,895	-	3.7%	29

\* Reported under Hispanic Origin

1. State Measures Fidelity of EBP? No
2. What Fidelity Measure is Used? -
3. Who Conducts Fidelity Measure? -
4. How often is Fidelity Measured? -
5. Is the SAMHSA EBP Toolkit used to guide EBP Implementation? Yes
6. Have staff been specifically trained to implement the EBP? Yes

States Measuring Fidelity = 3

SAMHSA Toolkit used = 6

EBP Training = 9

**Note:**

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 16.

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

**State Notes:**

Nebraska established a new program title, "Housing Related Assistance Program." Under the Housing Related Assistance program, adults who are extremely low income with SMI may qualify for assistance, including rental payments, utility payments, security and utility deposits, and other related costs and payments. The first year of the program was FY 2006. Under Behavioral Health Reform, ACT was expanded. In addition, when all adult psychiatric services were closed at the Hastings Regional Center, the HRC ACT team was transferred to the community.

## APPROPRIATENESS DOMAIN: Table 12: Evidence-Based Practices 4: Family Psychoeducation by Age, Gender &amp; Race, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

Age	Family Psycho-education: State N	Family Psycho-education: State %	Family Psycho-education: U.S. N	Family Psycho-education: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
18 to 20	-	-	227	2%	967	8,804		2.6%	6
21 to 64	-	-	2,241	15%	17,375	244,651		0.9%	9
65 to 74	-	-	74	0%	408	5,475		1.4%	6
75 and Over	-	-	37	0%	151	3,961		0.9%	5
Not Available	-	-	12,452	83%		544,601	-	2.3%	7
TOTAL	-	-	15,031	100%	18,901	817,028		1.8%	14

Gender	Family Psycho-education: State N	Family Psycho-education: State %	Family Psycho-education: U.S. N	Family Psycho-education: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	-	-	1,046	7%	9,280	107,969		1.0%	7
Male	-	-	862	6%	9,621	80,548		1.1%	7
Not Available	-	-	13,123	87%		544,601	-	2.4%	9
TOTAL	-	-	15,031	100%	18,901	817,028		1.8%	14

Race	Family Psycho-education: State N	Family Psycho-education: State %	Family Psycho-education: U.S. N	Family Psycho-education: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	-	-	25	0%	508	1,014		2.5%	4
Asian	-	-	28	0%	89	847		3.3%	4
Black/African American	-	-	108	1%	1,662	37,295		0.3%	7
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	-	-	7	0%	15	166		4.2%	2
White	-	-	1,419	9%	14,862	113,980		1.2%	7
Hispanic or Latino	-	-			*		-	-	
Multi-Racial	-	-	10	0%	1,765	2,186		0.5%	2
Not Available	-	-	13,434	89%		544,602	-	2.5%	12
TOTAL	-	-	15,031	100%	18,901	817,028		1.8%	14

Hispanic Origin	Family Psycho-education: State N	Family Psycho-education: State %	Family Psycho-education: U.S. N	Family Psycho-education: U.S. %	Adults with SMI: State N	Adults with SMI: U.S. (states reporting EBP)	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: State	Penetration Rate: % of SMI Consumers Receiving EBP Service: U.S.	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	-	-	243	2%	495	17,223		1.4%	5
Not Hispanic or Latino	-	-	1,375	9%	9,919	134,391		1.0%	5
Not Available	-	-	13,350	89%	8,487	578,197		2.3%	12
TOTAL	-	-	15,031	100%	18,901	817,028		1.8%	14

\*Reported under Hispanic Origin

1. State Measures Fidelity of EBP? -
2. What Fidelity Measure is Used? -
3. Who Conducts Fidelity Measure? -
4. How often is Fidelity Measured? -

States Measuring Fidelity = 3

5. Is the SAMHSA EBP Toolkit used to guide EBP Implementation? -

SAMHSA Toolkit used = 5

6. Have staff been specifically trained to implement the EBP? -

EBP Training = 7

**Note:**

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Tables 16 and 17.

US totals are calculated uniquely for each data element based on only those states who reported numerator (clients served) data.

**State Notes:**

See General Comments.

2007 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

OUTCOMES DOMAIN: TABLE 1: Employment Status of Adult Mental Health Consumers Served in the Community by Age & Gender, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

Age	Employed: State N	Unemployed: State N	Adults in Labor Force*: State N	Adults with Known Employment Status**: State N	Employed as % of those in Labor Force: State	Employed as % of those in Labor Force: U.S.	Employed as % of Served in Community: State	Employed as % of Served in Community: U.S.	States Reporting
18 to 20	924	529	1,453	2,364	64%	39%	39%	18%	39
21 to 64	11,252	7,447	18,699	29,772	60%	40%	38%	22%	43
65 and Over	73	53	126	677	58%	26%	11%	7%	40
Not Available	-	-	-	-	-	49%	-	13%	22
TOTAL	12,249	8,029	20,278	32,813	60%	39%	37%	21%	55

Gender	Employed: State N	Unemployed: State N	Adults in Labor Force*: State N	Adults with Known Employment Status**: State N	Employed as % of those in Labor Force: State	Employed as % of those in Labor Force: U.S.	Employed as % of Served in Community: State	Employed as % of Served in Community: U.S.	States Reporting
Female	4,860	3,345	8,205	14,564	59%	40%	33%	21%	55
Male	7,389	4,684	12,073	18,249	61%	40%	40%	22%	55
Not Available	-	-	-	-	-	23%	-	18%	27
TOTAL	12,249	8,029	20,278	32,813	60%	39%	37%	21%	55

What Populations are Reported:

-

All Clients = 32

Some Clients = 9

When is Employment Status Measured?	At Admission	At Discharge	Updated Monthly	Updated Quarterly	Other Time Period
Nebraska	Yes	Yes	-	-	-
U.S.	38	24	2	8	28

**Note:**

\*Adults Served in Labor Force is the sum of MH consumers employed plus unemployed.

\*\*Adults Served with Known Employment Status excludes persons for whom employment status was unknown.

MH Consumers Employed as % of Total Adults Served uses Adults Served as the denominator.

MH Consumers Employed as % of Adults served in Labor Force uses persons employed or Unemployed as the denominator.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 4.

**State Notes:**

Age The age was calculated as follows: [(July 1, 2006– (Consumer Birth Date))] / 365.25]

Gender If multiple genders listed, the most frequent gender was reported.

Overall Based on most recent admission data, if more than one. See general notes

2007 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

OUTCOMES DOMAIN: TABLE 1a: Employment Status of Adult Mental Health Consumers Served in the Community by Diagnosis, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

Diagnosis	Employed: State	Unemployed: State	Adults in Labor Force*: State	Adults with Known Employment Status**: State	Employed as % of those in Labor Force: State	Employed as % of those in Labor Force: U.S.	Employed as % of Served in Community: State	Employed as % of Served in Community: U.S.	States Reporting	Percent of Consumers with Dx: State	Percent of Consumers with Dx: U.S.
Schizophrenia and Related Disorders	292	627	919	3,047	32%	30%	10%	11%	39	9.3%	15.1%
Bipolar and Mood Disorders	3,674	3,140	6,814	11,891	54%	41%	31%	21%	39	36.4%	41.5%
Other Psychoses	107	148	255	526	42%	30%	20%	13%	39	1.6%	3.8%
All Other Diagnoses	2,205	1,132	3,337	4,980	66%	47%	44%	24%	39	15.1%	29.0%
No Diagnosis and Deferred Diagnosis	5,971	2,982	8,953	12,369	67%	56%	48%	35%	35	37.5%	10.5%
TOTAL	12,249	8,029	20,278	32,813	60%	43%	37%	21%	39	100.0%	100.0%

State Notes:

See General comments.

Note:



\*Adults Served in Labor Force is the sum of MH consumers employed plus unemployed.

\*\*Adults Served with Known Employment Status excludes persons for whom employment status was unknown.

MH Consumers Employed as % of Total Adults Served uses Adults Served as the denominator.

MH Consumers Employed as % of Adults served in Labor Force uses persons employed or Unemployed as the denominator.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 4a.



## 2007 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

## OUTCOMES DOMAIN: TABLE 2: Consumer Survey Results, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

Indicators	Children: NE	Children: U.S. Average	States Reporting	Adults: NE	Adults: U.S. Average	States Reporting
1. Percent Reporting Positively About Access.	78%	85%	50	81%	85%	54
2. Percent Reporting Positively About Quality and Appropriateness for Adults / Reporting Positively about Satisfaction for Children.	66%	84%	51	85%	86%	54
3. Percent Reporting Positively About Outcomes.	53%	65%	51	73%	71%	55
4. Percent of Family Members Reporting on Participation In treatment Planning.	71%	87%	51			
5. Percent of Family Members Reporting High Cultural Sensitivity of Staff.	77%	93%	50			
6. Percent of Adults Reporting on Participation In Treatment Planning.				78%	82%	54
7. Percent of Adults Reporting on Positively about General Satisfaction with Services.				81%	87%	55

**Note:** U.S. Average Children & Adult rates are only calculated for states that used a version of the MHSIP Consumer Survey

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 11.

Question 1	NE	U.S.	NE	US
1. Which Consumer Survey was used?	YSS-F	YSS-F = 42	MHSIP	28 Item MHSIP = 30 Other MHSIP = 25 Other Survey = 1

Question 2	NE	U.S.	NE	U.S.
2. Did you use a Spanish translation?	Yes	22	Yes	24

How many responses are the results based on?	NE	U.S.	States Reporting	NE	U.S.	States Reporting
Response Rate	47%	47%		54.7%	56.3%	
Number of Surveys Attempted (sent out)	1,037	127,044	44	5,198	198,199	40
Number of Surveys Contacts Made	537	109,592	37	2,145	191,277	34
Complete Surveys	254	56,756	46	1,173	148,565	48

Question 3	NE	U.S.	NE	U.S.
3. Populations covered in survey?	Sample	Sample = 39 All Consumers = 13	Sample	Sample = 48 All Consumers = 8

4. Sample Approach	NE	U.S.	NE	U.S.
Random Sample Conducted?	Yes	18	Yes	17
Stratified Sample Conducted?	-	6	-	10
Convenience Sample Conducted?	-	19	-	22
Other Sample	-	14	-	12


5. Who is Sampled?	NE	U.S.	NE	U.S.
Current Clients	Yes	53	Yes	54
Former Clients	-	15	-	12

6. Please describe the populations included in your sample: (e.g., all adults, only adults with SMI, etc.)	NE	U.S.	NE	U.S.
All Adults or Children Served	All Children -	32	All Adults	31
SMI Adults or SED Children	All Children -	17	All Adults	20
Persons Covered by Medicaid	All Children -	9	All Adults	10


## State Notes:

The results of the consumer survey were collected using good survey methods and analyzed by knowledgeable people. The results need to be received as feedback from the people served, and used to improve the system.

### URS Year 6 Adult Consumer Survey Results (data from Outcomes Domain: Table 2)



### URS Year 6 Child Consumer Survey Results (data from Outcomes Domain: Table 2)



## OUTCOMES DOMAIN: TABLE 3: Consumer Survey Results, by Race/Ethnicity, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

Race/Ethnicity	Reporting Positively About Access (Adults): State	Reporting Positively About Access (Adults): U.S. Average	Reporting Positively About Quality and Appropriateness (Adults): State	Reporting Positively About Quality & Appropriateness (Adults): U.S. Average	Reporting Positively About Outcomes (Adults): State	Reporting Positively About Outcomes (Adults): U.S. Average	Adults Reporting on Participation In Treatment Planning: State	Adults Reporting on Participation In Treatment Planning: U.S.	Adults Reporting Positively about General Satisfaction with Services: State	Adults Reporting Positively about General Satisfaction with Services: U.S.	Adults Positive About Social Connectedness: State	Adults Positive About Social Connectedness: U.S.	Adults Positive About Improved Functioning: State	Adults Positive About Improved Functioning: U.S.	States
Total	81%	84%	85%	86%	73%	70%	78%	82%	81%	87%	74%	52%	77%	46%	45
American Indian/Alaskan Native	87%	81%	82%	83%	67%	68%	73%	80%	79%	85%	72%	64%	63%	60%	39
Asian	100%	89%	100%	86%	100%	75%	100%	87%	100%	89%	100%	71%	80%	71%	35
Black/African American	77%	86%	86%	85%	70%	72%	79%	83%	91%	87%	77%	53%	73%	47%	41
Native Hawaiian/Pacific	100%	85%	100%	81%	100%	76%	100%	80%	100%	85%	100%	78%	100%	74%	26
White	81%	84%	85%	86%	73%	69%	78%	82%	81%	87%	74%	51%	78%	45%	42
Multi-Racial	-	81%	-	84%	-	67%	-	81%	-	85%	-	47%	-	42%	25
Other	79%	87%	75%	83%	75%	71%	78%	86%	79%	89%	72%	46%	73%	39%	41
Hispanic	80%	88%	82%	87%	81%	75%	84%	87%	86%	90%	78%	70%	84%	65%	41

Race/Ethnicity	Reporting Positively About Access for Children: State	Reporting Positively About Access for Children: U.S.	Reporting Positively About General Satisfaction with Services for Children: State	Reporting Positively About General Satisfaction with Services for Children: U.S.	Reporting Positively About Outcomes: State	Reporting Positively About Outcomes: U.S.	Reporting Positively about Participation In Treatment Planning: State	Reporting Positively about Participation In Treatment Planning: U.S.	Percent Reporting High Cultural Sensitivity of Staff: State	Percent Reporting High Cultural Sensitivity of Staff: State	Families Positive About Social Connectedness: State	Families Positive About Social Connectedness: U.S.	Families Positive About Improved Functioning: State	Families Positive About Improved Functioning: U.S.	States
Total	78%	84%	66%	83%	53%	75%	71%	88%	77%	92%	80%	84%	58%	53%	39
American Indian/Alaskan Native	67%	84%	67%	83%	100%	68%	100%	86%	100%	92%	100%	86%	100%	64%	32
Asian	100%	83%	100%	88%	100%	70%	100%	89%	100%	91%	100%	77%	100%	67%	36
Black/African American	86%	77%	86%	84%	43%	78%	57%	89%	100%	95%	100%	84%	50%	51%	15
Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	-	85%	-	88%	-	70%	-	90%	-	93%	-	86%	-	69%	23
White	77%	83%	64%	82%	51%	75%	69%	87%	76%	91%	80%	82%	55%	52%	35
Multi-Racial	80%	83%	80%	84%	70%	68%	100%	89%	90%	94%	80%	85%	70%	54%	34
Other	88%	89%	71%	85%	59%	76%	76%	89%	76%	95%	76%	87%	80%	56%	24
Hispanic	83%	88%	68%	88%	68%	70%	72%	89%	79%	95%	86%	86%	70%	68%	21

**Note:**

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 11a.

**State Notes:**

None



## OUTCOMES DOMAIN: TABLE 4: Change in Social Connectedness &amp; Functioning, FY 2007

## STATE: Nebraska

Indicators	Children: State	Children: U.S. Average	Children: U.S. Median	Children: States Reporting	Adults: State	Adults: U.S. Average	Adults: U.S. Median	Adults: States Reporting
1. Percent Reporting Improved Social Connectedness From Services	80%	84%	80%	36	74%	72%	70%	45
2. Percent Reporting Improved Functioning From Services	58%	64%	59%	39	77%	70%	69%	42

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 9.

**Note:** U.S. Average & Median rates are calculated only for states that used the recommended Social Connectedness & Functioning questions.

Adult Social Connectedness and Functioning Measures	State	U.S.
1. Did you use the recommended new Social Connectedness Questions?	Yes	41
If No, what measure did you use?	-	-
2: Did you use the recommended new Functioning Domain Questions?	Yes	39
If No, what measure did you use?	-	-
3. Did you collect these as part of your MHSIP Adult Consumer Survey?	Yes	38
If No, what source did you use?	-	-

Child/Family Social Connectedness and Functioning Measures	State	U.S.
4: Did you use the recommended new Social Connectedness Questions?	Yes	32
If No, what measure did you use?	-	-
5: Did you use the recommended new Functioning Domain Questions?	Yes	34
If No, what measure did you use?	-	-
6. Did you collect these as part of your YSS-F Survey?	Yes	31
If No, what source did you use?	-	-

**State Notes:**

None

## OUTCOMES DOMAIN: TABLE 5: Readmission within 30 Days by Age, Gender, and Race, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

Age	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State %	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	States Reporting	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State N	Forensic Patients Discharges: State N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State %	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Discharges: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	State Reporting
0 to 12	-	-	-	242	3,212	7.5%	15	-	-	-	2	16	12.5%	1
13 to 17	2	105	1.9%	739	11,511	6.4%	25	-	18	-	25	375	6.7%	5
18 to 20	-	45	-	788	8,275	9.5%	38	-	10	-	27	804	3.4%	8
21 to 64	10	309	3.2%	11,825	119,974	9.9%	48	4	101	4.0%	562	13,976	4.0%	29
65 to 74	2	17	11.8%	252	3,137	8.0%	33	1	2	50.0%	31	636	4.9%	7
75 and Over	1	4	25.0%	80	1,341	6.0%	18	-	-	-	3	81	3.7%	2
Not Available	-	-	-	1,082	12,009	9.0%	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	15	480	3.1%	15,008	159,459	9.4%	49	5	131	3.8%	650	15,888	4.1%	29

Gender	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State %	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	States Reporting	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State N	Forensic Patients Discharges: State N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State %	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Discharges: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	State Reporting
Female	8	172	4.7%	5,368	59,960	9.0%	48	-	7	-	118	2,888	4.1%	19
Male	7	308	2.3%	8,553	87,450	9.8%	48	5	124	4.0%	532	12,992	4.1%	28
Not Available	-	-	-	1,087	12,059	9.0%	5	-	-	-	-	8	-	-
TOTAL	15	480	3.1%	15,008	159,459	9.4%	49	5	131	3.8%	650	15,888	4.1%	29

Race/Ethnicity	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State %	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	States Reporting	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State N	Forensic Patients Discharges: State N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State %	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Discharges: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	State Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	-	8	-	169	1,836	9.2%	14	-	3	-	5	158	3.2%	2
Asian	-	1	-	96	1,177	8.2%	22	-	-	-	7	256	2.7%	7
Black/African American	1	53	1.9%	4,569	40,724	11.2%	39	-	24	-	297	6,134	4.8%	18
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	-	1	-	3	98	3.1%	3	-	-	-	6	56	10.7%	1
White	10	350	2.9%	8,382	95,026	8.8%	47	4	85	4.7%	295	7,444	4.0%	26
Hispanic	-	*	*	421	4,872	8.6%	13	-	*	-	27	1,316	2.1%	6
Multi-Racial	4	67	6.0%	90	989	9.1%	13	1	19	5.3%	7	122	5.7%	4
Race Not Available	-	-	-	1,273	14,720	8.6%	20	-	-	-	6	402	1.5%	3
TOTAL	15	480	3.1%	15,008	159,459	9.4%	49	5	131	3.8%	650	15,888	4.1%	29

Hispanic Origin	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State %	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	States Reporting	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State N	Forensic Patients Discharges: State N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State %	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Discharges: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	State Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	-	34	-	1,048	10,271	10.2%	25	-	3	-	29	1,075	2.7%	8
Not Hispanic or Latino	15	446	3.4%	6,992	84,196	8.3%	34	5	128	3.9%	365	8,248	4.4%	18
Not Available	-	-	-	2,402	27,435	8.8%	22	-	-	-	99	3,468	2.9%	10
TOTAL	15	480	3.1%	15,008	159,459	9.4%	49	5	131	3.8%	650	15,888	4.1%	29

Forensics included in "non forensic" data?

No

**Note:**

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 20.

\* Reported under Hispanic Origin

**State Notes:**

All non-forensic patients discharged between 2/1/06 - 1/31/07. See also General Comments

## OUTCOMES DOMAIN: TABLE 6: Readmission within 180 Days by Age, Gender and Race, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

Age	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State %	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	States Reporting	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State N	Forensic Patients Discharges: State N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State %	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Discharges: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	State Reporting
0 to 12	-	-	-	508	3,212	15.8%	20	-	-	-	3	16	18.8%	1
13 to 17	3	105	2.9%	1,741	11,511	15.1%	30	-	18	-	42	375	11.2%	7
18 to 20	1	45	2.2%	1,595	8,275	19.3%	42	-	10	-	94	804	11.7%	21
21 to 64	31	309	10.0%	24,671	119,974	20.6%	47	12	101	11.9%	1,859	13,976	13.3%	38
65 to 74	2	17	11.8%	536	3,137	17.1%	36	1	2	50.0%	92	636	14.5%	12
75 and Over	1	4	25.0%	151	1,341	11.3%	24	-	-	-	9	81	11.1%	7
Not Available	-	-	-	2,539	12,009	21.1%	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL	38	480	7.9%	31,741	159,459	19.9%	48	13	131	9.9%	2,099	15,888	13.2%	38

Gender	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State %	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	States Reporting	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State N	Forensic Patients Discharges: State N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State %	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Discharges: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	State Reporting
Female	17	172	9.9%	11,485	59,960	19.2%	47	-	7	-	399	2,888	13.8%	30
Male	21	308	6.8%	17,708	87,450	20.2%	47	13	124	10.5%	1,700	12,992	13.1%	38
Not Available	-	-	-	2,548	12,059	21.1%	5	-	-	-	-	8	-	-
TOTAL	38	480	7.9%	31,741	159,459	19.9%	48	13	131	9.9%	2,099	15,888	13.2%	38

Race	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State %	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	States Reporting	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State N	Forensic Patients Discharges: State N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State %	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Discharges: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	State Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	-	8	-	362	1,836	19.7%	22	-	3	-	26	158	16.5%	10
Asian	-	1	-	224	1,177	19.0%	30	-	-	-	25	256	9.8%	10
Black/African American	4	53	7.5%	9,252	40,724	22.7%	41	1	24	4.2%	973	6,134	15.9%	30
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	-	1	-	10	98	10.2%	4	-	-	-	10	56	17.9%	1
White	29	350	8.3%	18,113	95,026	19.1%	46	8	85	9.4%	908	7,444	12.2%	35
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	*	638	4,872	13.1%	15	-	*	-	107	1,316	8.1%	10
Multi-Racial	5	67	7.5%	182	989	18.4%	17	4	19	21.1%	23	122	18.9%	6
Not Available	-	-	-	2,955	14,720	20.1%	30	-	-	-	25	402	6.2%	10
TOTAL	38	480	7.9%	31,741	159,459	19.9%	48	13	131	9.9%	2,099	15,888	13.2%	38

Hispanic Origin	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: State N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: State %	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Discharges: U.S. N	"Civil" (Non-Forensic) Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	States Reporting	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State N	Forensic Patients Discharges: State N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: State %	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Discharges: U.S. N	Forensic Patients Readmissions: U.S. %	State Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	1	34	2.9%	2,111	10,271	20.6%	32	-	3	-	125	1,075	11.6%	12
Not Hispanic or Latino	37	446	8.3%	16,826	84,196	20.0%	34	13	128	10.2%	1,139	8,248	13.8%	23
Not Available	-	-	-	5,389	27,435	19.6%	24	-	-	-	227	3,468	6.5%	14
TOTAL	38	480	7.9%	31,741	159,459	19.9%	48	13	131	9.9%	2,099	15,888	13.2%	38

Forensics included in "non forensic" data? No

**Note:**

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 20.

\* Reported under Hispanic Origin

**State Notes:**

All non-forensic patients discharged between 2/1/06 - 1/31/07. See also General Comments

## OUTCOMES DOMAIN: TABLE 7: Readmissions within 30 and 180 Days to any Psychiatric Inpatient Bed in the SMHA System by Age, Gender and Race, FY 2007

## STATE: Nebraska

Age	Readmissions within 30 days: State N	Discharges: State N	Readmissions within 30: State %	Readmissions within 30 days: U.S. N	Discharges: U.S. N	Readmissions within 30: U.S. %	States Reporting	Readmissions within 180 days: State N	Discharges: State N	Readmissions within 180: State %	Readmissions within 180 days: U.S. N	Discharges: U.S. N	Readmissions within 180: U.S. %	States Reporting
0 to 12	1	30	3.3%	1,069	10,224	10.5%	17	4	30	13.3%	2,457	10,224	24.0%	20
13 to 17	8	203	3.9%	2,475	22,861	10.8%	20	11	203	5.4%	5,387	22,861	23.6%	20
18 to 20	8	225	3.6%	1,818	13,889	13.1%	25	28	225	12.4%	3,612	13,889	26.0%	25
21 to 64	129	2,232	5.8%	31,279	207,587	15.1%	27	364	2,232	16.3%	63,748	207,587	30.7%	27
65 to 74	5	36	13.9%	536	5,999	8.9%	22	6	36	16.7%	1,227	5,999	20.5%	22
75 and Over	2	29	6.9%	185	3,224	5.7%	18	3	29	10.3%	428	3,224	13.3%	18
Not Available	-	-	-	-	36	-	3	-	-	-	17	36	47.2%	3
TOTAL	153	2,755	5.6%	37,362	263,820	14.2%	28	416	2,755	15.1%	76,876	263,820	29.1%	28

Gender	Readmissions within 30 days: State N	Discharges: State N	Readmissions within 30: State %	Readmissions within 30 days: U.S. N	Discharges: U.S. N	Readmissions within 30: U.S. %	States Reporting	Readmissions within 180 days: State N	Discharges: State N	Readmissions within 180: State %	Readmissions within 180 days: U.S. N	Discharges: U.S. N	Readmissions within 180: U.S. %	States Reporting
Female	84	1,219	6.9%	16,492	122,632	13.4%	27	207	1,219	17.0%	34,473	122,632	28.1%	27
Male	69	1,536	4.5%	20,835	140,750	14.8%	27	209	1,536	13.6%	42,337	140,750	30.1%	27
Not Available	-	-	-	45	596	7.6%	7	-	-	-	87	596	14.6%	7
TOTAL	153	2,755	5.6%	37,362	263,820	14.2%	28	416	2,755	15.1%	76,876	263,820	29.1%	28

Race	Readmissions within 30 days: State N	Discharges: State N	Readmissions within 30: State %	Readmissions within 30 days: U.S. N	Discharges: U.S. N	Readmissions within 30: U.S. %	States Reporting	Readmissions within 180 days: State N	Discharges: State N	Readmissions within 180: State %	Readmissions within 180 days: U.S. N	Discharges: U.S. N	Readmissions within 180: U.S. %	States Reporting
American Indian/Alaskan Native	4	77	5.2%	388	3,035	12.8%	18	10	77	13.0%	756	3,035	24.9%	18
Asian	-	6	-	370	2,664	13.9%	18	-	6	-	778	2,664	29.2%	18
Black/African American	8	229	3.5%	11,669	67,843	17.2%	21	28	229	12.2%	23,573	67,843	34.7%	21
Native Hawaiian/ Pacific Islander	-	5	-	6	192	3.1%	9	-	5	-	33	192	17.2%	9
White	109	2,013	5.4%	19,257	150,156	12.8%	24	290	2,013	14.4%	40,232	150,156	26.8%	24
Hispanic or Latino	*	*	*	1,280	7,190	17.8%	7	-	-	-	2,627	7,190	36.5%	7
Multi-Racial	32	424	7.5%	1,446	8,523	17.0%	13	88	424	20.8%	2,994	8,523	35.1%	13
Not Available	-	1	-	2,793	23,214	12.0%	19	-	1	-	5,564	23,214	24.0%	19
TOTAL	153	2,755	5.6%	37,362	263,820	14.2%	28	416	2,755	15.1%	76,876	263,820	29.1%	28

Hispanic Origin	Readmissions within 30 days: State N	Discharges: State N	Readmissions within 30: State %	Readmissions within 30 days: U.S. N	Discharges: U.S. N	Readmissions within 30: U.S. %	States Reporting	Readmissions within 180 days: State N	Discharges: State N	Readmissions within 180: State %	Readmissions within 180 days: U.S. N	Discharges: U.S. N	Readmissions within 180: U.S. %	States Reporting
Hispanic or Latino	12	182	6.6%	714	7,480	9.5%	17	24	182	13.2%	1,533	7,480	20.5%	17
Not Hispanic or Latino	141	2,573	5.5%	10,241	106,073	9.7%	20	392	2,573	15.2%	22,299	106,073	21.0%	20
Not Available	-	-	-	11,699	73,390	15.9%	15	-	-	-	22,513	73,390	30.7%	15
TOTAL	153	2,755	5.6%	37,362	263,820	14.2%	28	416	2,755	15.1%	76,876	263,820	29.1%	28

State Hospitals included in Table?

Yes

Forensics included in Table?

No

**Note:**

US totals are based on states reporting.

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 21.

\* Reported under Hispanic Origin

**State Notes:**

All non-forensic and psychiatric inpatients patients discharged between 2/1/06 - 1/31/07. See also General Comments.

2007 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

STRUCTURE DOMAIN: TABLE 1: State Mental Health Agency Controlled Expenditures for Mental Health, FY 2005

STATE: Nebraska

	Expenditures: State	Percent of Total Expenditures: State	Expenditures: U.S.	Percent of Total Expenditures: U.S.
State Hospitals-Inpatient	\$62,900,000	59%	\$8,077,088,973	27%
Other 24-Hour Care	\$13,400,000	13%	\$5,436,852,037	18%
Ambulatory/Community	\$26,600,000	25%	\$15,236,260,291	52%
TOTAL	\$106,050,000	-	\$29,397,421,631	-

**Note:**

Total also includes additional SMHA Expenditures for research, training, administration, and other central and regional office expenditures.

Data from NRI's Funding Sources and Expenditures of State Mental Health Agencies, FY 2005 report, Table 18 "SMHA-Controlled Expenditures for Mental Health Services."

U.S. Totals are based on 51 states reporting.

More information on the State Mental Health Agency's Revenues & Expenditures as well as State Footnotes can be found on the NRI website:

<http://www.nri-inc.org/projects/Profiles/RevenuesExpenditures.cfm>

**STRUCTURE DOMAIN: TABLE 2: State Mental Health Agency Controlled Revenues by Funding Source, FY 2005****STATE: Nebraska**

	<b>State Hospital: State Revenues</b>	<b>State Hospital: Percent Total: State</b>	<b>State Hospital: Percent Total: U.S.</b>	<b>Ambulatory/Com munity: State Revenues</b>	<b>Ambulatory/Com munity % Total: State</b>	<b>Ambulatory/Com munity % Total: U.S.</b>
Medicaid	\$5,900,000	8.9%	25.9%	\$4,000,000	8.0%	50.3%
Community MH Block Grant	-	-	-	\$2,000,000	4.0%	1.9%
Other SAMHSA	-	-	0.0%	-	-	0.3%
Other Federal (non-SAMHSA)	\$2,600,000	3.9%	3.6%	-	-	3.1%
State	\$58,000,000	87.2%	66.4%	\$43,500,000	86.9%	37.5%
Other			4.2%	\$570,000	1.1%	6.8%
TOTAL	\$66,500,000	100%	100%	\$50,070,000	100%	100%

**Note:**

Data from NRI's Funding Sources and Expenditures of State Mental Health Agencies, FY 2005 report.

State Mental Health Agency Controlled Expenditures and Revenues, Tables 24, 25, and 26.

This Table does not show Revenues for state central office including Research, Training, and Administration expenses.

More information on the State Mental Health Agency's Revenues & Expenditures as well as State Footnotes can be found on the NRI website:

<http://www.nri-inc.org/projects/Profiles/RevenuesExpenditures.cfm>

## STRUCTURE DOMAIN: TABLE 3:Federal Mental Health Block Grant Expenditures for Non-Direct Service Activities, FY 2007

## STATE : Nebraska

	State Non Direct Block Grant Expenditures	Percent Total: State	Percent Total: U.S.
Technical Assistance Activities			34.3%
Planning Council			2.9%
Administration	\$102,510	95.3%	20.5%
Data Collection/Reporting			8.0%
Other Activities	\$5,000	4.7%	34.3%
Total	\$107,510	100.0%	100.0%

**Note:**

This table uses data from URS/DIG Table 8.

**State Notes:**

See general notes



2007 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

STRUCTURE DOMAIN: TABLE 4: Mental Health Programs Funded by the Federal Mental Health Block Grant, FY 2007

STATE: Nebraska

Programs = 6

\$1,928,524

Agency Name	Address	Agency Director	Phone Number	Mental Health Block Grant
Region 6 Behavioral Health Authority	3801 Harney Street, Omaha, NE 68131-3811	Patty Jurjevich, Region 6 Regional Administrator	(402) 444-6573	\$574,972
Region 5 Behavioral Health Authority	1645 "N" Street Suite A, Lincoln, NE 68508	CJ Johnson, Region 5 Regional Administrator	(402) 441-4343	\$438,759
Region 4 Behavioral Health Authority	206 Monroe Avenue, Norfolk, NE 68701	Ingrid Gansebom, Region 4 Regional Administrator	(402) 370-3100 x 120	\$272,545
Region 3 Behavioral Health Authority	4009 6th Avenue, Suite 65, P.O. Box 2555, Kearney, NE 68848	Beth Baxter, M.S., Region 3 Regional Administrator	(308) 237-5113	\$268,202
Region 2 Behavioral Health Authority	110 North Bailey Street; P.O. Box 1208, North Platte, NE 69103	Larry Brown, Ed.D., Region 2 Regional Administrator	(308) 534-0440	\$187,795
Region 1 Behavioral Health Authority	4110 Avenue D, Scottsbluff, NE 69361	Sharyn Wohlers, Region 1 Regional Administrator	(308) 635-3171	\$186,251

## 2007 CMHS Uniform Reporting System Output Table

General Notes: NE, FY2007

Table Number	General Notes
All Tables	Nebraska had a change in their approach to the data analysis for the FY2007 URS Table reporting. The changes are due to the NE Behavioral Health Reform efforts as well as the improved data analysis and reporting capacity from a contract with the College of Public Health with the University of Nebraska Medical Center.
2A	Data for this table were extracted from the Data Integration Grant (DIG) Database. The DIG Database is a collection of data from several different databases. The databases included in DIG are Magellan 2003, Magellan 2004, AIMS, and Avatar. The two Magellan databases hold collections of Community Based Data for Nebraska. The AIMS/Avatar database represents consumers served in one (or more) of the state's three regional centers. The DIG database contains Information about Mental Health, Dual Diagnosis, and Substance Abuse consumers. From the DIG database, Records for Mental Health and Dual Diagnosis Consumers are extracted. Mental Health Consumer records are included in this population when they meet one of three criteria: 1) Having a DSM-IV Axis 1 number which complies with a Mental Health Diagnosis or 2) Having a Service Authorization for a Mental Health Treatment Program or 3) Having a level of care for Mental Health reasons or 4) Having a Mental Health Reason for Admission.
2A	Consumers only being served for Substance Abuse or Mental Retardation/Developmental Disabilities are EXCLUDED from this population. These are not persons with Dual Diagnosis. They are people with a primary diagnosis are SUBSTANCE ABUSE. The population use for TABLE 2a is an UNDUPLICATED count of person in both regional center and community programs. The clients were identified by social security number and date of birth.
2B	This is the same population presented in Table 2A distributed by ethnicity.
3	Data in this table reflects a population which receives Mental Health Services in one of four settings. This table contains data which are duplicated across rows. Community Mental Health Programs includes clients receiving outpatient services at a State Regional Mental Health Center or clients receiving services at a community provider. State Psychiatric Hospitals include Adults admitted to an inpatient unit and Adolescents admitted to either a sex offender unit or a chemical dependency unit at a State Regional Mental Health Center.
3	Other Psychiatric Inpatients include clients admitted to one of the psychiatric inpatient hospitals within Nebraska, other than a State Regional Mental Health Center. Residential Treatment Center for Children population consists of adolescents admitted to one of the psychiatric units at a State Psychiatric Hospital, otherwise they were classified in State Psychiatric Hospitals if admitted to a sex offender or chemical dependency unit.
4	This table is a representation of the ADULT population first presented in Table 2a. Employment Status is based on most recent admission data, if more than one.
4A	This represents the same population as in Table 4. Primary diagnosis could not be determined, due to the nature of the database (ie several diagnoses at admission, with no clear identification of primary diagnosis). As an alternative, a hierarchal approach was used where the most frequent diagnosis of schizophrenia, bipolar and mood disorders, and other psychiatric diagnostic combinations was applied. Those individuals who did not fall into one of those three categories, were placed in 'all other diagnoses' if other mental health diagnostic codes were listed (Axis codes between 290-316, minus substance abuse codes). The remainder of the population was classified as "No Diagnosis and Deferred" if an appropriate code was listed (799.9 or V71.09) or no diagnosis was given at all.
5A	The Population for Table 5a is the same population represented in Table 2A. The distribution of patients was defined as follows: Medicaid Only: persons listed as eligible and receiving payments, without another source of medical insurance. Non-Medicaid Only: persons listed as having a non-Medicaid source of insurance. Both Medicaid and Non-Medicaid: Persons listed as eligible and receiving payments from Medicaid, that have another source of medical insurance. Medicaid Status Not Available: all persons not listed as having Medicaid or another source of medical insurance.
5B	This is the same population as presented in Table 5A distributed by ethnicity.
6	This table represents the turnover of the clients shown in Table 2A. Total Served at Beginning of Year (unduplicated): This population includes clients with admission dates before July 1, 2006 and who were discharged after July 1, 2006, or were not discharged. To unduplicate clients, a hierarchal method was applied so that a person could only be listed in one type of service program on July 1, 2006. Hierarchy structure: (1)State Hospitals (Currently admitted); (2)Residential Treatment Centers for Children (Currently admitted, but not concurrently admitted to a State Hospital; (3) Other Psychiatric Inpatient (Currently admitted, but not concurrently admitted to a State Hospital or RTC for Children; (4) Community Programs (Currently admitted, but concurrently not admitted to any of the above programs).
6	Admissions During the Year (duplicated), the population was limited to records with admission date which occurred between July 1, 2006 and June 30, 2007. Each admission to one of the service types was only counted once, however, a person could be admitted to more than one service type. Discharges During the year (duplicated), the population was limited to records with discharge date which occurred between July 1, 2006 and June 30, 2007. Persons that were discharged and readmitted within 7 days were not considered actual discharges and were not counted (ie Transfers). Each actual discharge from one of the service types was only counted once, however, a person could be discharged from more than one service type. Length of Stay (in Days): Discharged Patients, the population was limited to those persons included in the previous column. Mean and Median number of days was calculated by subtracting the Admission date from the Discharge date of each record.

Table Number	General Notes
6	For Clients in Facility for Less than 1 Year: Average Length of Stay (in Days): Residents at end of year, the population was limited to persons who were admitted and were not discharged and had been in treatment for less than 365 days (calculated as follows {June 30, 2007-Admission Date}). For Clients in Facility More than 1 Year: Average Length of Stay (in Days): Residents at end of year, the population was limited to persons who were admitted and were not discharged and had been in treatment for more than 365 days (calculated as follows {June 30, 2007-Admission Date}).
8	MHA Administration - These funds are used to help support the Adult Goal: Empower Consumers. MHA Activities Other Than Those Above - These funds are used to help support the "Independent Peer Review" (per Section 1943 in Attachment A - Community Mental Health Services Block Grant Funding Agreements)
12	Percent of adults meeting federal definition of SMI represents the total number of adults (18 and above) found in Table 14A (SMI population) divided by the total number of adults (18 and above) found in Table 2A (Total MH population served). See Table 14A comments for SMI definitions Percent of children meeting federal definition of SED represents the total number of children/adolescent (less than 18 years old) found in Table 14A (SED population) divided by the total number of children/adolescent (less than 18 years old) found in Table 2A (Total MH population served). See Table 14A comments for SED definitions
12	Percentage of adults served by the SMHA who also have a diagnosis of substance abuse problems represents ADULTS (18 years old and greater) in the population served by SMHA who also have a diagnosis of Substance Abuse divided by the total number of Adults in the population. A Person with a Substance Abuse Diagnosis is defined as someone who: (1) Has a DSM-IV Axis 1 number which complies with a Substance Abuse Diagnosis (291.1-292.99 and 303.0-305.99) or (2) Has a Service Authorization for a Substance Abuse Program or (3) Has a Substance Abuse Related "Reason for Admission." or (4) Has a level of care relating to Substance Abuse or (5) Was seen at a provider that provides care only for Substance Abuse disorders. Percentage of children/adolescents served by the SMHA who also have a diagnosis of substance abuse problems represents children/adolescents (less than 18 years of age) in the population served by SMHA who also have a diagnosis of Substance Abuse divided by the total number of children/adolescents in the population.
12	Percentage of adults meeting federal definition of SMI who also have a diagnosis of substance abuse problems represents ADULTS (18 years old and greater) in the population who meet the Federal definition for SMI, who have a diagnosis Substance Abuse problem divided by the total number of ADULTS with SMI in the population (Table 14A). Percentage of children/adolescents meeting the federal definition of SED who also have a diagnosis of substance abuse problem represents children/adolescents (less than 18 years of age) in the population who meet the Federal definition for SED, who have a diagnosed Substance Abuse problem divided by the total number of children/adolescents with SED in the population (Table 14A).
14A	This table represents the distribution of the adult SMI and children/adolescent SED population. This population is a subset of the population presented in Table 2A. NE State SMI Definition: Axis diagnosis codes between 295-298.9 AND GAF score= 0-59 OR SSI/SSDI eligible OR Income Source = SSI or SSDI OR Have a Services authorized or Level of care or Admission reason that were classified as SMI related services.
14A	NE State SED Definition: Age 3-17 AND One of the following Axis diagnosis codes 314.00, 314.01, 314.9, 295.xx, 295.4, 295.7, 297.1, 297.3, 298.8, 298.9, 296.0x, 296.4x, 296.5x, 296.6x, 296.7, 296.80, 296.89, 296.90, 301.13, 296.2x, 296.3x, 300.01, 300.21, 300.30, 307.1, 307.51, 309.81, 312.34, 307.23 AND SSI/SSDI eligible OR Income Source = SSI or SSDI OR Have a Services authorized or Level of care or Admission reason that were classified as SED related services. NE State SED Definition: Age 3-17 AND One of the following Axis diagnosis codes 314.00, 314.01, 314.9, 295.xx, 295.4, 295.7, 297.1, 297.3, 298.8, 298.9, 296.0x, 296.4x, 296.5x, 296.6x, 296.7, 296.80, 296.89, 296.90, 301.13, 296.2x, 296.3x, 300.01, 300.21, 300.30, 307.1, 307.51, 309.81, 312.34, 307.23 AND SSI/SSDI eligible OR Income Source = SSI or SSDI OR Have a Services authorized or Level of care or Admission reason that were classified as SED related services.
14B	This is the same population as presented in Table 14A distributed by ethnicity.
15	Living Situation information is based upon Self-Report Data collected at the time of the most recent admission (if more than one). "Children's Residential Treatment, is not recorded in the database. This field was populated by adolescents listed as living in an Institutional Setting that also met the requirements to be considered receiving treatment in a Children's Residential Treatment Center (see Table 3).
16	Receiving Assertive Community Treatment (ACT) was obtained by selecting individuals listed as receiving ACT in an admission or discharge unit, service authorized, or level of care. Adults with SMI served is the same adult population found in Table 14A. Receiving Multi-Systemic Therapy (ACT) was obtained by selecting individuals listed as receiving MST in a service authorized. Children with SED is the same child/adolescent population found in Table 14a.
17	Receiving Integrated Treatment for Co-occurring Disorders (MH/SA) represents individuals listed as receiving treatment in a dual-diagnosis program based on services authorized, admission reason, and level of care. Receiving Medication Management represents individuals listed as receiving medication management in services authorized, or level of care.
20A	This table includes all persons discharged from a state psychiatric hospital between 2/1/06-1/31/07 (allows for readmissions to be counted for 180 days), that were not admitted to or discharge from a forensic unit. The total number of discharges includes individuals treated at the state psychiatric hospitals only for substance abuse disorders, as well as individuals treated for both substance abuse and mental health disorders and those treated for mental health disorders only. Transfers between different state psychiatric hospitals are not counted as discharges. A discharge is counted if the person has been discharged for more then seven days without a readmission, and if the discharge status does not indicate a transfer. Readmissions are counted if they occurred greater than seven days after a discharge, and if the discharge status does not indicate a transfer.

Table Number	General Notes
20B	This table includes all persons discharged from a state psychiatric hospital between 2/1/06-1/31/07 (allows for readmissions to be counted for 180 days) that were admitted to or discharged from a forensic unit. Transfers between different state psychiatric hospitals are not counted as discharges. A discharge is counted if the person has been discharged for more than seven days without a readmission, and if the discharge status does not indicate a transfer. Readmissions are counted if they occurred greater than seven days after a discharge, and if the discharge status does not indicate a transfer.
21	This table includes all persons discharged from a state psychiatric hospital or a psychiatric inpatient hospital between 2/1/06-1/31/07 (allows for readmissions to be counted for 180 days), that were not admitted to or discharge from a forensic unit. The total number of discharges includes individuals treated only for substance abuse disorders, as well as individuals treated for both substance abuse and mental health disorders and those treated for mental health disorders only. Transfers are not counted as discharges. A discharge is counted if the person has been discharged for more than seven days without a readmission, and if the discharge status does not indicate a transfer. Readmissions are counted if they occurred greater than seven days after a discharge, and if the discharge status does not indicate a transfer.